



Adobe Acrobat Reader

Finding Words

You can use the Find command to find a complete word or part of a word in the current PDF document. Acrobat Reader looks for the word by reading every word on every page in the file, including text in form fields.

To find a word using the Find command:

- 1. Click the Find button (**Binoculars**), or choose Edit > Find.
- 2. Enter the text to find in the text box.
- 3. Select search options if necessary:

 Match Whole Word Only finds only occurrences of the complete word you enter in the box. For example, if you search for the word *stick*, the words *tick* and *sticky* will not be highlighted.

Match Case finds only words that contain exactly the same capitalization you enter in the box.

Find Backwards starts the search from the current page and goes backwards through the document.

4. Click Find. Acrobat Reader finds the next occurrence of the word.

To find the next occurrence of the word, Do one of the following:

Choose Edit > Find Again Reopen the find dialog box, and click Find Again. (The word must already be in the Find text box.)

Copying and pasting text and graphics to another application

You can select text or a graphic in a PDF document, copy it to the Clipboard, and paste it into another application such as a word processor. You can also paste text into a PDF document note or into a bookmark. Once the selected text or graphic is on the Clipboard, you can switch to another application and paste it into another document.

Note: If a font copied from a PDF document is not available on the system displaying the copied text, the font cannot be preserved. A default font is substituted.



To select and copy it to the clipboard:

1. Select the text tool T, and do one of the following: To select a line of text, select the first letter of the sentence or phrase and drag to the last letter.

To select multiple columns of text (horizontally), hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option (Mac OS) as you drag across the width of the document.

To select a column of text (vertically), Hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option+Command (Mac OS) as you drag the length of the document.

To select all the text on the page, choose Edit > Select All. In single page mode, all the text on the current page is selected. In Continuous or Continuous – facing mode, most of the text in the document is selected. When you release the mouse button, the selected text is highlighted. To deselect the text and start over, click anywhere outside the selected text. The Select All command will not select all the text in the document. A workaround for this (Windows) is to use the Edit > Copy command. Choose Edit > Copy to copy the selected text to the clipboard.

2. To view the text, choose Window > Show Clipboard

In Windows 95, the Clipboard Viewer is not installed by default and you cannot use the Show Clipboard command until it is installed. To install the Clipboard Viewer, Choose Start > Settings > Control Panel > Add/Remove Programs, and then click the Windows Setup tab. Double-click Accessories, check Clipboard Viewer, and click OK.



1	[REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION
2	ON NOVEMBER 23, 2010 BEGINS ON PAGE 214.]
3	
4	
5	
6	SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: GOOD MORNING. WE'RE GOING TO BEGIN THIS
7	MORNING'S MEETING. OUR INVOCATION THIS MORNING WILL BE LED BY
8	THE REVEREND NEAL NUEUENSCHWANDER, IF I DIDN'T PRONOUNCED THAT
9	CORRECTLY. OH GOOD. HE'S FROM THE FIRST PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH IN
10	SAN PEDRO. OUR PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE THIS MORNING WILL BE LED
11	BY DEFOREEST WRIGHT, JR. WHO IS A COMMANDER WITH THE WILL
12	ROGERS POST 539. WOULD YOU ALL PLEASE STAND? REVEREND?
13	
14	REV. NEAL NUEUENSCHWANDER: LET US PRAY. GRACIOUS AND HOLY GOD.
15	IN THIS WEEK THAT OUR NATION HAS DECLARED A DAY FOR
16	THANKSGIVING WE HAVE SO MANY REASONS TO GIVE THANKS. WE THANK
17	YOU FOR THE GREAT DIVERSITY OF OUR COUNTY. DOZENS OF
18	LANGUAGES, CULTURES, CUISINES AND ETHNIC GROUPS. WE THANK YOU
19	FOR THE ENGINEERS WHOSE BRILLIANCE AND HARD WORK BUILT
20	RESERVOIRS AND CANALS THAT SUPPORT 11 MILLION PEOPLE IN THIS
21	SEMI-ARID PLACE. WE THANK YOU FOR THE WONDERS AROUND US, FROM
22	BROAD BEACHES ON THE WEST TO HIGH MOUNTAINS ON THE EAST, SEA
23	CLIFFS ON THE SOUTH, THE SCENIC CANYONS ON THE NORTH. THE VERY
24	LAND AROUND US SINGS YOUR PRAISE. AS WE EAT RICH FOODS THIS
25	WEEK, LORD, HELP US TO REMEMBER THOSE WHO ARE HUNGRY. AS WE



- 1 GATHER WITH FRIENDS OR LOVED ONES, HELP US TO REMEMBER THOSE
- 2 WHO ARE LONELY. AS WE TAKE A BREAK FROM WORK, HELP US REMEMBER
- 3 THOSE WHO CRAVE WORK. AS WE GO TO BED IN PEACE, HELP US
- 4 REMEMBER THOSE WHO HAVE NO PLACE TO SLEEP. THEN STIR US TO
- 5 COMPASSION OUT OF GRATITUDE AND PRAISE TO SHARE THE MANY GIFTS
- 6 WE HAVE RECEIVED SO THAT OTHERS MAY PRAISE YOU FOR OUR SERVICE
- 7 IN THE WORLD AS WE ASK IT IN YOUR HOLY NAME, AMEN.

8

- 9 DEFOREEST WRIGHT, JR.: PLEASE REMAIN STANDING AND JOIN ME IN
- 10 SAYING THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE TO OUR FLAG. [PLEDGE OF
- 11 ALLEGIANCE RECITED.]

12

13 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR KNABE? THANK YOU.

- 15 SUP. KNABE: THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD,
- 16 LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IT'S A PLEASURE FOR ME TO WELCOME AND TO
- 17 THANK REVEREND NEAL NUEUENSCHWANDER. I'VE BEEN PRACTICING THAT
- 18 ALL MORNING. PASTOR OF THE FIRST PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH IN SAN
- 19 PEDRO. HE'S A NATIVE OF KNOXVILLE, TENNESSEE. HE HAS SERVED
- 20 SEVERAL CHURCHES IN EAST TENNESSEE AND WESTERN WASHINGTON
- 21 BEFORE ACCEPTING SAN PEDRO'S CALL IN 2004. DURING HIS TENURE
- 22 HERE, THE FIRST PRESBYTERIAN HAS CONTINUED TO EXPAND ITS
- 23 OUTREACH TO THE COMMUNITY, PARTICULARLY ITS THANKSGIVING
- 24 BASKET DRIVE WHICH WILL FEED OVER 6,000 PEOPLE THIS WEEK. SO
- 25 ON BEHALF OF MYSELF AND MY COLLEAGUES AND THE RESIDENTS OF OUR



- 1 GREAT COUNTY, WE WANT TO THANK YOU FOR TAKING THE TIME DURING
- 2 A VERY, VERY BUSY WEEK FOR YOU AND YOUR CHURCH AND YOUR
- 3 CONGREGATION TO LEAD US IN THE INVOCATION. THANK YOU VERY
- 4 MUCH. [APPLAUSE.]

5

6 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

7

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR. IT'S A PRIVILEGE TO
- 9 PRESENT THIS CERTIFICATE TO DEFOREEST WRIGHT JR. WHO LED US IN
- 10 THE PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE THIS MORNING. MR. WRIGHT IS
- 11 REPRESENTING THE AMERICAN LEGION WILL ROGERS POST NO. 539,
- 12 WHICH HE HOLDS THE TITLE OF COMMANDER. HE SERVED IN THE UNITED
- 13 STATES ARMY FROM 1963 THROUGH '66 AS A SPECIALIST FOURTH
- 14 CLASS. SERVED IN THE FIRST BATTALION, 28TH ARTILLERY UNIT IN
- 15 GERMANY. AND HE IS -- MR. WRIGHT IS A CUSTOMER SERVICE TRAINER
- 16 FOR THE LOS ANGELES CITY DEPARTMENT OF WATER AND POWER. I HAVE
- 17 SOME THINGS I WANT TO DISCUSS WITH YOU ABOUT WATER AND POWER
- 18 LATER. HE'S MARRIED TO HIS WIFE, SHARON, HIS MARRIAGE OF 38
- 19 YEARS. HE'S LIVED IN OUR DISTRICT FOR 20 YEARS IN NORTH HILLS.
- 20 HE IS A GRADUATE OF ST. JOHN VIANNEY HIGH SCHOOL HERE IN LOS
- 21 ANGELES, AND ALSO A GRADUATE OF LOS ANGELES CITY COLLEGE.
- 22 THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MR. WRIGHT, FOR LEADING US IN THE PLEDGE
- 23 THIS MORNING. AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR SERVICE TO OUR NATION.
- 24 [APPLAUSE.]



- 1 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU. I'M GOING TO ASK OUR EXECUTIVE
- 2 OFFICER TO PLEASE CALL THE AGENDA.

3

- 4 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: GOOD MORNING, MADAM CHAIR, MEMBERS OF THE
- 5 BOARD. WE WILL BEGIN TODAY'S AGENDA ON PAGE 3, AGENDA FOR THE
- 6 MEETING OF THE HOUSING AUTHORITY. ON ITEM NO. 1-H, AS
- 7 INDICATED ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA, THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
- 8 OF THE HOUSING AUTHORITY REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE REFERRED
- 9 BACK TO HIS DEPARTMENT.

10

11 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT.

- 13 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** ON PAGE 4, PUBLIC HEARINGS, ITEMS NO. 1
- 14 THROUGH 12. ON ITEM NO. 2, THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS
- 15 REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED WITHOUT DISCUSSION TO
- 16 DECEMBER 7, 2010. ON ITEM NO. 3, THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS
- 17 REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED WITHOUT DISCUSSION TO
- 18 DECEMBER 7, 2010. AND ON ITEM NO. 4, THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC
- 19 WORKS REQUESTS THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED WITHOUT DISCUSSION
- 20 TO DECEMBER 7, 2010. THE REMAINING ITEMS FOR THE PUBLIC
- 21 HEARING WILL BE HELD FOR THE PUBLIC HEARING. ON PAGE 8,
- 22 ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ITEMS 13 THROUGH
- 23 19. ON ITEM NO. 14, AS INDICATED ON THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGENDA,
- 24 THE REWARD AMOUNT SHOULD BE \$20,000 INSTEAD OF \$10,000. ON
- 25 ITEM NO. 17, SUPERVISOR MOLINA AND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC



- 1 REQUEST THAT THIS ITEM BE HELD. THE REMAINING ITEMS UNDER THE
- 2 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ARE BEFORE YOU.

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ON THOSE ITEMS, MOVED BY SUPERVISOR KNABE.
- 5 SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY. IF THERE'S NO OBJECTION,
- 6 SO ORDERED.

7

- 8 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: CONSENT CALENDAR, ITEMS 20 THROUGH 23. ON
- 9 ITEM NO. 20, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH ABSTAINS FROM THE VOTE, AND
- 10 THERE IS A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS
- 11 ITEM. ON ITEM NO. 21, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH ABSTAINS FROM THE
- 12 VOTE AND ALSO THERE IS A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC
- 13 TO HOLD THIS ITEM. ON ITEM NO. 22, AS INDICATED ON THE POSTED
- 14 AGENDA, THE DIRECTOR OF PARKS AND RECREATION REQUESTS THAT
- 15 THIS ITEM BE REFERRED BACK TO HIS DEPARTMENT. ON ITEM NO. 23 -
- 16 -

17

18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT ITEM WAS THAT?

19

- 20 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: 22. ON ITEM NO. 23, SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH
- 21 VOTES NO ON THIS ITEM. AND THERE'S A REQUEST FROM A MEMBER OF
- 22 THE PUBLIC TO HOLD THIS ITEM. AND THAT COMPLETES THE READING
- 23 OF THE AGENDA. BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SPECIAL ITEMS BEGIN WITH
- 24 SUPERVISORIAL DISTRICT NO. 5.



1 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU. YES, YOUR --

2

- 3 SUP. ANTONOVICH: LET ME FIRST MOVE THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY
- 4 OF FRANCES DE PIETRO, WHO PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 97.
- 5 FRANCES WAS A GOOD FRIEND OF OUR FAMILY. SHE AND MY MOTHER
- 6 SERVED ON THE ELECTION BOARD FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS. SHE WAS
- 7 THE ELECTIONS OFFICER.

8

9 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: GO AHEAD, IT'S ALL RIGHT.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: FOR THE NEIGHBORHOOD POLLING PLACE. AND SHE
- 12 IS SURVIVED BY HER SONS, ROBERT AND DENNIS; AND HER THREE
- 13 CHILDREN. BESSIE BERRY, WHO WAS A RESIDENT OF THE ANTELOPE
- 14 VALLEY, QUITE INVOLVED IN THE FAMILY FARM AND AUTO PARK AND
- 15 WITH THE BANK OF AMERICA AND SANTA BARBARA SAVINGS. AND I'LL
- 16 DO THE REST AFTER MY PRESENTATIONS.

17

- 18 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. SO YOU'LL DO YOUR
- 19 PRESENTATIONS?

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WE JUST HAVE TWO LITTLE PUSSYCATS, A LITTLE
- 22 BROTHER AND SISTER. THEY'RE SAM AND MISTY, WHO ARE DOMESTIC
- 23 SHORT HAIRS. THEY'RE FIVE MONTHS OLD. THIS IS MISTY. HERE IS
- 24 SAM. SO ANYWAY, LITTLE SAM AND MISTY ARE LOOKING FOR A HOME.



- 1 ANYBODY LIKE TO ADOPT THEM, 562-728-4644, AND THEY CAN BE
- 2 YOURS. DO A LITTLE SET FOR THANKSGIVING. THAT'S ALL I HAVE.

- 4 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU SO MUCH. I DO HAVE A
- 5 PRESENTATION. I'M GOING TO ASK A GOOD FRIEND TO COME UP AND
- 6 JOIN US. GOOD MORNING. HOW ARE YOU? GOOD MORNING. THANK YOU SO
- 7 MUCH. HI THERE. IT'S MY HONOR THIS MORNING. WE ARE PAYING
- 8 TRIBUTE TO MR. TONY CARTAGENA, WHO RECENTLY RETIRED AFTER 35
- 9 YEARS OF DEDICATED COUNTY SERVICE. JOINING HIM TODAY ARE OF
- 10 COURSE HIS WIFE, NORI; AND SON, JASON; AND BROTHER, CLARO.
- 11 NICE TO HAVE YOU ALL HERE. PRIOR TO IMMIGRATING TO THE UNITED
- 12 STATES IN 1975, TONY SERVED AS A HIGH SCHOOL AND COLLEGE
- 13 EDUCATOR. IN 1976, HE BEGAN HIS COUNTY CAREER WITH THE
- 14 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES. HIS EXEMPLARY WORK ETHIC AS
- 15 WELL AS HIS LEADERSHIP SKILLS EARNED HIM NUMEROUS PROMOTIONS.
- 16 HE MOVED UP THE RANKS AND EVENTUALLY PROMOTED AS SUPERVISING
- 17 PATIENT FINANCIAL SERVICES CONTROL WORKER. HE RECEIVED MANY
- 18 COMMENDATIONS THROUGHOUT HIS CAREER AND HE NEVER FAILED TO GO
- 19 THE EXTRA MILE. OVER THE YEARS, HE HAS COORDINATED AN
- 20 ABUNDANCE OF MEMORABLE ACTIVITIES AND OUTREACH EFFORTS THAT
- 21 HAVE ENHANCED EMPLOYEE MORALE. WE WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE TONY'S
- 22 OUTSTANDING CIVIC CONTRIBUTIONS, AS WELL. HE CERTAINLY WAS A
- 23 GREAT HELP TO ME AND MY OFFICE WHEN WE INHERITED THE CITY OF
- 24 WALNUT INTO THE FIRST DISTRICT. HE CURRENTLY SERVES AS THE
- 25 MAYOR OF WALNUT AND HAS ALSO SERVED AS WELL AS THE PLANNING



- 1 COMMISSIONER AND THE WALNUT FAMILY FESTIVAL CHAIRMAN. HIS
- 2 BOARDS AND COUNCILS INCLUDE THE LEAGUE OF CALIFORNIA CITIES,
- 3 THE WALNUT EDUCATIONAL FOUNDATION, FOOTHILL TRANSIT, L.A.
- 4 COUNTY SANITATION DISTRICT AND THE CALIFORNIA CONTRACT CITIES,
- 5 AMONGST ALL OF THEM. AS YOU CAN TELL, TONY HAS STILL AN AWFUL
- 6 LOT OF ENERGY. HE KEEPS GOING AND GOING AND GOING. BUT WE ARE
- 7 VERY PROUD TODAY TO HONOR HIS CONTRIBUTION AS A PUBLIC SERVANT
- 8 TO THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES AND ALL THE RESIDENTS OF LOS
- 9 ANGELES COUNTY. CONGRATULATIONS, TONY. THANK YOU. GOOD JOB.
- 10 [APPLAUSE.] I'M GOING TO ASK TONY TO SHARE A FEW WORDS, IF YOU
- WOULD.

- 13 TONY CARTAGENA: GOOD MORNING. ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF WALNUT,
- 14 I WOULD LIKE TO GREET THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, THE COMMUNITY
- 15 MEMBERS. A BOUNTY OF BLESSINGS THIS COMING THANKSGIVING DAY. I
- 16 WOULD LIKE TO EXPRESS MY SPECIAL THANKS TO THE BOARD OF
- 17 SUPERVISORS, MOST IMPORTANTLY TO CHAIRPERSON OF BOARD, GLORIA
- 18 MOLINA FOR RECOGNIZING MY 35 YEARS OF COUNTY SERVICE AND MY
- 19 INVOLVEMENT IN THE COMMUNITY OF VARIOUS ACTIVITIES, LIKE THE
- 20 MAYOR, THE MAYOR PRO TEM, PLANNING, FAMILY FESTIVAL CHAIR,
- 21 REPRESENTATIVE FROM DIFFERENT GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES, AMONG
- 22 OTHER THINGS. I WOULD LIKE ALSO TO THANK MY FAMILY MEMBERS, MY
- 23 FRIENDS, MY WIFE, NORI, WHO IS RETIRED FROM THE L.A. TIMES, MY
- 24 INSPIRATION AND MY MENTOR. MY SON, JASON. HE IS A DEPUTY FOR
- 25 THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY. MY DAUGHTER, WHO CANNOT COME, SHE IS A



- 1 NURSE. AND MY BROTHER, CLARO, HE IS A RETIRED IN THE
- 2 ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH. AND THANK YOU VERY MUCH AND HAPPY
- 3 THANKSGIVING. GOD BLESS WALNUT, GOD BLESS AMERICA. [APPLAUSE.]

- 5 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: WELL NEXT WE'RE GOING TO HONOR A SERIES OF
- 6 DEPARTMENTS AND LEADERS WITHIN THOSE DEPARTMENTS THAT HAVE
- 7 BEEN A PART OF WINNING SOME AWARDS. THE NATIONAL ORGANIZATION
- 8 -- OR THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF COUNTIES, N.A.C.O. AS WE
- 9 KNOW IT, EACH YEAR ACKNOWLEDGES INNOVATIVE PROGRAMS THAT
- 10 MODERNIZE COUNTY GOVERNMENT AND INCREASE SERVICES TO COUNTY
- 11 RESIDENTS. THIS YEAR, 52 ENTRIES WERE SUBMITTED AND MORE THAN
- 12 50 PERCENT OF THOSE PROJECTS WERE HONORED. OUR C.E.O., BILL,
- 13 IS GOING TO COME UP AND JOIN WITH ME. HE IS VERY PROUD, AS WE
- 14 ALL ARE, OF THE WORK THAT THESE DEPARTMENTS HAVE DONE. BUT
- 15 MORE IMPORTANTLY, I THINK THAT WE'RE VERY PROUD OF THE WORK
- 16 THEY DO EVERY SINGLE DAY AND THE LEADERSHIP THEY PRESENT. SO
- 17 MANY OF THEM, THEY HAVE THEY ARE PLAQUES. THEY HAVE THEIR
- 18 PLAOUES AND THEIR AWARDS WITH THEM THAT THEY'RE GOING TO
- 19 SHOWCASE. BUT LET ME BEGIN BY PRESENTING A COUPLE OF THEM.
- 20 FIRST OF ALL, WE HAVE THE HIGHEST AWARD, WHICH IS A TRICOUNTY
- 21 AWARD THAT WAS PRESENTED TO N.A.C.O. TO OUR REGISTRAR-
- 22 RECORDER, WHICH IS OUR COUNTY CLERK, DEAN LOGAN. DEAN, COME ON
- 23 UP. DEAN COLLABORATED WITH THE COUNTY OF ORANGE, RIVERSIDE AND
- 24 SAN DIEGO ON THE SECURE PROJECT, A MULTI-COUNTY ELECTRONIC
- 25 DOCUMENT RECORDING SYSTEM WHICH REDUCES COUNTY COSTS AND



- 1 ALLOWS A SEAMLESS SUBMITTAL PROCESS FOR ALL OF THE COMPANIES.
- 2 WELL-DONE, CONGRATULATIONS TO DEAN. WHAT AN HONOR. GOOD JOB.
- 3 [APPLAUSE.] AGAIN, YOU CAN UNDERSTAND HOW THE EFFICIENCY AND
- 4 THE EFFECTIVENESS OF SUCH A PROGRAM, HE'S VERY DESERVING OF
- 5 THAT AWARD. SO LET ME BEGIN WITH SOME OF THE OTHER
- 6 DEPARTMENTS. WE'RE GOING TO START WITH THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER.
- 7 AGAIN, THEIR HEALTH INSURANCE PORTABILITY AND ACCOUNTABILITY
- 8 ACT, THEY CREATED THE SELF-CERTIFICATION PROGRAM. JOIN ME IN
- 9 CONGRATULATING THE AUDITOR'S OFFICE FOR THAT. [APPLAUSE.] ALL
- 10 RIGHT. OUR C.E.O. WON AN AWARD, AS WELL. THEY WON IN THEIR
- 11 DIVISION FOR THE EFFICIENCY INITIATIVE AND STEPS TO EXCELLENCE
- 12 PROJECT. GIVE THEM A ROUND OF APPLAUSE. [APPLAUSE.] THANK YOU.
- 13 NOW I'M GOING TO BRING OUT THE DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND
- 14 FAMILY SERVICES. AND THEY WON THEIR AWARD FOR THE LOS ANGELES
- 15 COUNTY VISITATION CENTERS. THEY ARE SERVICE PLANNING AREAS FOR
- 16 THE COMPTON OFFICE AND THE PREVENTION INITIATIVE DEMONSTRATION
- 17 PROJECT, AS WELL. CONGRATULATIONS TO THE ENTIRE TEAM. GOOD
- 18 JOB. [APPLAUSE.] CONGRATULATIONS. IN THAT PROJECT, I HAVE TO
- 19 DO A SPECIAL ACKNOWLEDGMENT, BECAUSE THAT WAS THE PROJECT THAT
- 20 WE SUBMITTED, RIGHT, MARTHA? OUR OFFICE WAS INVOLVED IN -- I
- 21 SHOULD JUST SHOWCASE IT, THE FOSTER YOUTH EDUCATION PROGRAM
- 22 THAT WE RECEIVED A N.A.C.O. AWARD FOR. SO WE'RE VERY PROUD OF
- 23 THAT AND WANT TO THANK MARTHA FOR THAT RECOGNITION, AS WELL. I
- 24 ALWAYS SAY MY STAFF MAKE ME LOOK VERY GOOD. THEY DO AN AWFUL
- 25 LOT OF HARD WORK. BUT I THINK THAT'S TRUE OF ALL OF OUR



- 1 EMPLOYEES AS FAR AS THE WORK THAT THEY DO IN WINNING THESE
- 2 AWARDS. NEXT WE HAVE THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION.
- 3 THEY WON IN THE CATEGORY FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING RESOURCE. DO I
- 4 HAVE THE WRONG ONE? OH, I SEE, WE DIDN'T TAKE A PICTURE OF THE
- 5 OTHER ONE. ALL RIGHT, COME ON BACK. MY LIST IS LIKE DON'T TURN
- 6 THE PAGE YET, GLORIA. THIS IS A SEPARATE AWARD FOR THE
- 7 DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN SERVICES. THIS WAS FOR THE EDUCATION
- 8 PILOT, WHICH I SAID WERE THE PROTOCOLS AND PROCEDURES THAT WE
- 9 WON. WE WERE HOPING TO TAKE THIS COUNTY-WIDE EVENTUALLY,
- 10 RIGHT? THIS TEAM HAS HAD TO SIT WITH ME PRETTY REGULARLY,
- 11 WHICH THEY DON'T APPRECIATE MUCH, BECAUSE WE KEEP GOING
- 12 THROUGH IT AND TRYING TO PERFECT IT AND MAKE IT BETTER. IT IS
- 13 TRYING TO GET BETTER OUTCOMES SO THAT EVERY SINGLE FOSTER
- 14 CHILD HAS AN OPPORTUNITY TO AT LEAST GRADUATE FROM HIGH SCHOOL
- 15 AND HOPEFULLY GO ON TO HIGHER EDUCATION. AND HOPEFULLY OTHER
- 16 COUNTIES WILL ADOPT THE SAME PROJECT. SO LET ME TURN AROUND
- 17 AND TAKE A PICTURE. LET'S GIVE THEM A ROUND OF APPLAUSE.
- 18 [APPLAUSE.] NOW I CAN TURN THE PAGE. ALL RIGHT. NEXT WE HAVE
- 19 THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION. THEY WON IN THEIR
- 20 CATEGORY ON THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING RESOURCE WEBSITE AND THE
- 21 CALL CENTER AS WELL AS THE ASSISTED LIVING WAIVER FOR SENIOR
- 22 PUBLIC HOUSING PROJECT, AS WELL. JOIN ME IN THANKING THE
- 23 DEPARTMENT, THE COMMISSION. [APPLAUSE.] NOW, THERE ARE A LOT
- 24 OF FOLKS. AND WHY? BECAUSE WE DID SO WELL IN WINNING THESE
- 25 AWARDS, AND THEY DESERVE ALL OF OUR ATTENTION ON ALL OF IT.



- 1 NEXT WE HAVE CONSUMER AFFAIRS, T.T.C. AND C.S.S., AGAIN,
- 2 SAVING SENIOR HOMES FROM PROPERTY TAX DEFAULT. AND THEY DID A
- 3 TERRIFIC JOB. WELL, I DON'T HAVE THE RIGHT LIST, OBVIOUSLY. SO
- 4 I WILL READ IT FROM HERE. C.D.C. ALSO FOR THE ASSISTED LIVING
- 5 WAIVER IN SENIOR PUBLIC HOUSING. ALL RIGHT. SORRY. NOW I'VE
- 6 GOT THE RIGHT GROUP, I THINK. CONSUMER AFFAIRS, T.C.C. AND
- 7 C.S.S. AND AGAIN, SAVING SENIOR HOMES FROM PROPERTY TAX
- 8 DEFAULT. COME UP AND JOIN ME, PLEASE. THIS WAS A COLLABORATIVE
- 9 EFFORT FROM VARIOUS DEPARTMENTS. AGAIN, PLEASE GIVE THEM A
- 10 ROUND OF APPLAUSE. WE NEED TO CONGRATULATE THEM. THEY DID A
- 11 TERRIFIC JOB. [APPLAUSE.] NEXT I'M GOING TO BRING UP THE
- 12 DISTRICT ATTORNEYS, THE CHILD SUPPORT ARREST WARRANT PROGRAM.
- 13 AGAIN, A VERY IMPORTANT PROGRAM. UNFORTUNATELY, MANY DADDIES
- 14 AND MOMMIES AREN'T TAKING CARE OF THEIR CHILDREN. SO THIS IS A
- 15 TEAM THAT HAS DEVELOPED A VERY GOOD PROGRAM IN THAT REGARD,
- 16 AND THEY SAVE MANY FAMILIES BECAUSE OF THE DOLLARS THAT THEY
- 17 RESCUE AND RECEIVE FROM RESPONSIBLE PARENTS. SO JOIN ME IN
- 18 CONGRATULATING THEM FOR THEIR AWARD. [APPLAUSE.] THANK YOU.
- 19 AGAIN A GREAT TEAM THAT PUT THAT TOGETHER. NEXT WE HAVE THE
- 20 DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES. AND THEIRS WAS FOR ACCESS FOR
- 21 HOUSING FOR HEALTH PILOT, THE MID-VALLEY RETINAL CAMERA AND
- 22 LASER CLINIC AND THE L.A. COUNTY U.S.C. MED CENTER, CAMINO DE
- 23 SALUD NETWORK, WHICH COORDINATES CARE FOR THE UNINSURED.
- 24 CONGRATULATIONS. PLEASE JOIN ME IN GIVING THEM APPLAUSE FOR
- 25 THEIR AWARD. [APPLAUSE.] NEXT WE HAVE THE MENTAL HEALTH



- 1 DEPARTMENT. THEY WON THEIR AWARD FOR CRISIS RESOLUTION
- 2 SERVICES AT THE DOWNTOWN MENTAL HEALTH CENTER AND INNOVATIVE
- 3 TECHNOLOGY FOR MEDICARE PART D. AGAIN, TECHNOLOGY IS A VERY
- 4 IMPORTANT PART. CONGRATULATIONS. JOIN ME IN THANKING THEM.
- 5 [APPLAUSE.] GREAT, THANK YOU. THANK YOU, SIR. THIS NEXT AWARD
- 6 GOES TO PARKS AND RECREATION FOR THEIR, QUOTE "THE BOARD
- 7 CLUB. " CONGRATULATIONS. GOOD JOB. [APPLAUSE.] I HAVE SEEN IT
- 8 BUT I DIDN'T KNOW WHAT IT WAS. IT'S ALL ABOUT SKATEBOARDING,
- 9 SURFBOARDING AND ALL KINDS OF BOARDS. THEY DO A TERRIFIC JOB,
- 10 PARTICULARLY THE SAFETY ISSUES THAT ARE INVOLVED.
- 11 CONGRATULATIONS ON THAT PROGRAM. NEXT WE HAVE THE PUBLIC
- 12 DEFENDER. AND THEY WON THEIR AWARD FOR FELONY LAWYER TRAINING
- 13 PROGRAM. JOIN ME IN CONGRATULATING THEM. [APPLAUSE.] NEXT I'M
- 14 GOING TO BRING UP THE PUBLIC HEALTH. AND THEY WON THEIR AWARD
- 15 FOR SEWAGE SPILL REPORTING, QUOTE "PROTECTING OUR BEACHES" AND
- 16 S.T.D. HOME TEST KIT THAT THEY PROVIDED. WOULD YOU JOIN ME IN
- 17 APPLAUDING THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH? [APPLAUSE.] THANKS
- 18 AGAIN AND CONGRATULATIONS. NEXT WE HAVE A LARGE TEAM COMING
- 19 UP, I THINK. THIS IS PUBLIC WORKS. THEY RECEIVED FIVE N.A.C.O.
- 20 ACHIEVEMENT AWARDS, MORE THAN ANY OTHER COUNTY DEPARTMENT,
- 21 WHICH IS PRETTY TERRIFIC. SO THEY WON AWARDS FOR SOMETHING
- 22 THAT IS CALLED THE CITY SERVICES REQUEST TRACKING, INTERACTIVE
- 23 CUSTOMER INQUIRY, INTERSTATE 5 HASLEY CANYON INTERCHANGE
- 24 PROJECT, THE MARIE CANYON WATER QUALITY IMPROVEMENT AND THE
- 25 SANITARY SEWER ASSESSMENT SYSTEM. PLEASE JOIN ME IN GIVING



- 1 THEM A ROUND OF APPLAUSE. GOOD JOB. [APPLAUSE.]
- 2 CONGRATULATIONS TO ALL OF YOU. GOOD JOB. I'M GOING TO BRING UP
- 3 THE REGISTRAR-RECORDER. THEY WON AWARDS FOR THE INSPECTOR
- 4 SURVEY, THE L.A. VITAL SYSTEM AND THE VOTING SYSTEMS
- 5 ASSESSMENT PROJECT, AS WELL. CONGRATULATIONS. [APPLAUSE.] NEXT
- 6 I'M BRINGING UP THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. (COUGHING). EXCUSE
- 7 ME. THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT WON FOR THEIR BICYCLE EDUCATION
- 8 AND REGISTRATION PROGRAM, WHICH IS KNOWN AS BEAR. THE INMATE
- 9 VOTING AWARENESS AND ELIGIBILITY AS WELL AS JUST IN REACH
- 10 PROGRAM. CONGRATULATIONS TO THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT.
- 11 [APPLAUSE.] OKAY. NEXT WE HAVE THE LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT,
- 12 AND THEY WON THEIR AWARD FOR THE COURT APPEARANCE REMINDER
- 13 SYSTEM, BETTER KNOWN AS CARS. CONGRATULATIONS. [APPLAUSE.] ALL
- 14 RIGHT. AGAIN, THESE ARE EMPLOYEES THAT REALLY DESERVE OUR
- 15 COMMENDATION. THEY GO UP AND BEYOND WHEN THEY CREATE THESE
- 16 KINDS OF INNOVATIVE PROGRAMS. WE WANT TO THANK N.A.C.O. FOR
- 17 GIVING SO MANY WORDS TO LOS ANGELES COUNTY. WOULD YOU JOIN ME
- 18 IN GIVING ALL OF THOSE DEPARTMENTS AND ALL OF THOSE
- 19 INDIVIDUALS A ROUND OF APPLAUSE FOR AN OUTSTANDING JOB?
- 20 [APPLAUSE.] THANK YOU SO MUCH. ALL RIGHT. THAT CONCLUDES OUR
- 21 PRESENTATIONS. SO WE'RE GOING TO GO BACK TO OUR SPECIALS. AND,
- 22 MIKE, IF YOU WOULD CONTINUE ON YOUR ADJOURNMENTS.

- 24 SUP. ANTONOVICH: RICHARD BLAKE, WHO PASSED -- RICHARD BLAKE
- 25 PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 68. HE WAS THE FORMER HUSBAND OF



- 1 JOAN SCHMIDT CLAYTON, WHO WAS ON THE MONROVIA-ARCADIA-DUARTE
- 2 TOWN COUNCIL FOR MANY YEARS AND ALSO A LOCAL NEWS REPORTER.
- 3 RICHARD SERVED IN VIETNAM, WORKED ON A DEFENSE DEPARTMENT
- 4 PROJECT AT J.P.L., AND HE LEAVES BEHIND HIS TWO DAUGHTERS.
- 5 BARBRA ANNE PALLOS, WHO WAS A NEWS AND FEATURE WRITER FOR THE
- 6 "MONTROSE LEDGER" FROM '64 TO '70. AND ONE OF HER BEATS WAS
- 7 THE GLENDALE BOARD OF EDUCATION. SHE WAS RECRUITED AS THE
- 8 DISTRICT'S FULL-TIME PUBLIC INFORMATION OFFICER. SHE IS
- 9 SURVIVED BY HER HUSBAND, VICTOR, OF 42 YEARS AND THEIR
- 10 DAUGHTER, EMILY. SHE AND VIC WERE QUITE INVOLVED AND HAVE BEEN
- 11 INVOLVED IN THE GLENDALE COMMUNITY. JULILIAN GALICH VON
- 12 WURMBRAND PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 82. SHE WAS QUITE
- 13 INVOLVED. SHE LEAVES BEHIND HER BROTHER, TOM; HER OTHER
- 14 BROTHER, GERALD, PASSED AWAY WHO WAS A CAPTAIN IN THE FIRE
- 15 DEPARTMENT A FEW YEARS AGO. BUT THEY WERE OUITE INVOLVED WITH
- 16 THE CROATIAN COMMUNITY. JUDY WHITLEY, RETIRED DEPUTY SHERIFF,
- 17 PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 69. SHE WAS LAST ASSIGNED WITH THE
- 18 PERSONNEL BUREAU. ROGER ROCHART PASSED AWAY. HE WAS A RESIDENT
- 19 OF GLENDALE, SURVIVED BY WIFE, MARIA, AND THEIR THREE
- 20 CHILDREN.

- 22 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: SO ORDERED ON THOSE ADJOURNMENTS. AND
- 23 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, IF YOU WOULD PERMIT ME, WE HAVE SOME
- 24 FOLKS HERE THAT NEED TO GET BACK TO WORK. IF WE COULD TAKE UP
- 25 ITEM NO. 17.



1

2

SUP. ANTONOVICH: OKAY.

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ITEM 17 IS VERY, VERY UNIQUE AND VERY
- 5 SPECIAL. TALK ABOUT OUTSTANDING EMPLOYEES. ITEM NO. 17 IS
- 6 ABOUT RENAMING THE EAST L.A. PROBATION OFFICE IN HONOR OF AN
- 7 UNBELIEVABLE COUNTY EMPLOYEE. AND SHE WAS MARY RIDGEWAY. AND
- 8 SO NOW THAT PROBATION OFFICE IS GOING TO BE KNOWN AS THE MARY
- 9 RIDGEWAY EAST LOS ANGELES PROBATION OFFICE IN HONOR OF MARY
- 10 AND HER DEDICATED WORK. OVER 43 YEARS SHE WORKED FOR THE
- 11 COUNTY PROBATION DEPARTMENT. AND WHEN I USED TO SEE HER ALL
- 12 THE TIME, THIS WAS A WOMAN WHO WAS CONSTANTLY HAPPY. SHE LOVED
- 13 WHAT SHE WAS DOING. SHE WAS A TERRIFIC PROBATION OFFICER. AND
- 14 WE'RE VERY HONORED TO MAKE THAT -- RENAME THAT FACILITY AFTER
- 15 HER. BUT WE HAVE A COUPLE OF FOLKS THAT ARE JOINING US THIS
- 16 MORNING THAT NEED TO GET BACK TO WORK. AND WE'D LIKE TO ASK
- 17 THEM TO COME BACK UP. FIRST WE HAVE SAL MARTINEZ, FOLLOWED BY
- 18 PETER SHUTAN.

- 20 PETER SHUTAN: GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISOR MOLINA AND BOARD. MY
- 21 NAME IS PETER SHUTAN, AND I'M WITH THE L.A. CITY ATTORNEY'S
- 22 OFFICE AND THE L.A. COUNTY PROBATION COMMISSION. I WANTED TO
- 23 COME HERE TO SUPPORT AND THANK YOU, SUPERVISOR MOLINA, FOR
- 24 HONORING MARY RIDGEWAY IN THIS WAY. AS YOU MENTIONED, MARY
- 25 RIDGEWAY WAS A 43-YEAR VETERAN OF THE PROBATION DEPARTMENT.



- 1 SHE STARTED HER CAREER AT SYLMAR JUVENILE HALL AND WENT TO
- 2 EAST L.A. PROBATION IN MARCH OF 1987, AND WAS THERE FOR 23
- 3 YEARS. OVER THOSE 23 YEARS, EVERYBODY KNEW, RESPECTED AND
- 4 APPRECIATED MARY RIDGEWAY'S INSIGHT, INTELLIGENCE,
- 5 STRAIGHTFORWARDNESS AND LEADERSHIP WHEN IT CAME TO DEALING
- 6 CONSTRUCTIVELY WITH GANG MEMBERS AND THEIR FAMILIES AS WELL AS
- 7 LAW ENFORCEMENT AND THE JUSTICE SYSTEM. MARY WAS A FRIEND AND
- 8 MENTOR TO SO MANY PROBATIONERS, PROBATION OFFICERS, POLICE
- 9 OFFICERS, DEPUTY SHERIFFS, EDUCATORS, SOCIAL WORKERS, PRIESTS
- 10 AND PROSECUTORS. SHE WILL BE SORELY MISSED AND SHE IS BEING
- 11 APPROPRIATELY REMEMBERED IN THE RENAMING OF THE EAST L.A.
- 12 PROBATION OFFICE. AND THERE ARE A NUMBER OF OTHER PROBATION
- 13 OFFICERS WHO ARE HERE, IF I CAN JUST ASK THEM TO STAND AND BE
- 14 RECOGNIZED, WHO ARE SUPPORTIVE OF YOUR MOTION, SUPERVISOR
- 15 MOLINA.

16

- 17 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: WOULD THOSE PROBATION OFFICERS PLEASE
- 18 STAND? I THINK THEY'RE EQUALLY AS PROUD OF RENAMING.
- 19 [APPLAUSE.]

20

- 21 PETER SHUTAN: I KNOW THAT I WORKED ALONGSIDE HER AS A GANG
- 22 PROSECUTOR. I WORKED FOR HER AS A RESERVE DEPUTY PROBATION
- 23 OFFICER. AND I ADMIRED HER AS A PROBATION COMMISSIONER. AND I
- 24 THANK YOU FOR THIS.



- 1 SAL MARTINEZ: GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISORS. I'M VERY PLEASED TO
- 2 BE HERE. MY NAME IS SAL MARTINEZ AND I AM A MEMBER OF THE
- 3 HOLLENBECK COMMUNITY POLICE ADVISORY BOARD. THIS COULD HAVE
- 4 NEVER HAPPENED WITHOUT MARY RIDGEWAY. I MET MARY RIDGEWAY IN
- 5 1988 AT CAMP WILLIAM MENDENHALL ON THE DAY BEFORE I WAS
- 6 GETTING RELEASED AFTER SERVING SEVEN MONTHS. AND PRIOR TO
- 7 THAT, MEETING MARY, I HAD BEEN SHOT BY AN L.A.P.D. OFFICER AND
- 8 I WAS ON A FAST TRACK TO NOT LIVING PAST MY 21ST BIRTHDAY. I
- 9 AM 40 YEARS OLD TODAY, AND I AM GLAD THAT I AM HERE IN FRONT
- 10 OF YOU TO TELL YOU THAT THIS IS A WELL-DESERVED HONOR. MARY
- 11 WAS MY FRIEND, MY MENTOR FOR 20 PLUS YEARS. I'D LIKE TO SAY
- 12 THAT BEFORE I MET MARY RIDGEWAY, I MET SUPERVISOR MICHAEL
- 13 ANTONOVICH AT CAMP WILLIAM MENDENHALL, SIR, WHEN YOU VISITED
- 14 THERE WITH SYBIL BRAND. AND I WAS HAPPY TO WALK WITH HER AND
- 15 BARRY NIRDORF, THE CHIEF OF PROBATION THEN, WHERE HE SAID
- 16 "SAL, THESE MEN AND WOMEN ARE HERE TODAY. AND YOU HAVE TO DO
- 17 SOMETHING SO THAT THEY CAN KEEP THESE CAMPS OPEN. " AND I'D
- 18 LIKE TO SAY THAT THE DISPLAY THAT I DID THEN MATTERED BECAUSE
- 19 THE CAMPS ARE STILL OPEN. NOW AS PART OF THE PAL PROGRAM, I
- 20 TAKE KIDS TO CAMP GLENN ROCKEY, PART OF THE SCARED STRAIGHT
- 21 PROGRAM. AND I LET THEM KNOW THAT THIS IS NOT WHERE THEIR LIFE
- 22 IS SUPPOSED TO BE. AND THAT IS MY BURDEN IN LIFE IS TO
- 23 CONTINUE MARY'S LEGACY, TO CHANGE THE LIVES OF PEOPLE THAT
- 24 WERE ONCE LIKE ME. AND I THANK YOU FOR HONORING HER HERE
- 25 TODAY. THANK YOU SO MUCH.



1

- 2 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: WE'RE VERY PROUD. [APPLAUSE.] MARY HAD A
- 3 PASSION THAT SHE WORE ON HER SLEEVE AND WITH EVERYBODY, SHE
- 4 FELT THAT EVERY SINGLE LIFE COULD BE SAVED AND SHE WORKED VERY
- 5 HARD AS A PROBATION OFFICER, WAY BEYOND HER 40 HOURS. I MEAN
- 6 SHE WAS DEDICATED AND A PASSIONATE WOULD WOMAN. WE LOVE HER
- 7 DEARLY. SO IT'S MY HONOR. AND SO 17 IS BEFORE US. MOVED BY
- 8 MYSELF. SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR MIKE ANTONOVICH. IF THERE'S NO
- 9 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED ON ITEM NO. 17. [APPLAUSE.] I'M GOING TO
- 10 HAVE OUR EXECUTIVE OFFICER SWEAR EVERYONE IN WHO WILL BE
- 11 TESTIFYING FOR OUR PUBLIC HEARINGS.

12

- 13 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ALL THOSE WHO PLAN TO TESTIFY BEFORE THE
- 14 BOARD ON ITEMS 1 THROUGH 12 PLEASE STAND AND RAISE YOUR RIGHT
- 15 HAND TO BE SWORN IN. IN THE TESTIMONY YOU MAY GIVE BEFORE THIS
- 16 BOARD, DO YOU SOLEMNLY AFFIRM TO TELL THE TRUTH, THE WHOLE
- 17 TRUTH AND NOTHING BUT THE TRUTH SO HELP YOU GOD? THANK YOU.
- 18 YOU MAY BE SEATED.

19

20 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT.

- 22 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: WE'LL BEGIN WITH ITEM NO. 1. AND THIS IS
- 23 THE HEARING ON ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION TO UPDATE TO THE
- 24 DEVELOPER FEE PROGRAM APPROVING THE DEVELOPER FEE DETAILED
- 25 FIRE STATION PLAN DATED OCTOBER 2010 AND THE CONSOLIDATED FIRE



- 1 PROTECTION DISTRICT DEVELOPER FEE FUNDS 2009-2010 FISCAL YEAR
- 2 END REPORT. THERE IS A DEPARTMENT STATEMENT ON THIS AND NO
- 3 CORRESPONDENCE WAS RECEIVED.

4

5 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT.

- 7 LORRAINE BUCK: GOOD MORNING. MY NAME STREET LORRAINE BUCK AND
- 8 I AM A SUPERVISING PLANNING ANALYST FOR THE CONSOLIDATED FIRE
- 9 PROTECTION DISTRICT. I AM FAMILIAR WITH THESE PROCEEDINGS TO
- 10 UPDATE THE DEVELOPER FEE PROGRAM. THE UPDATED DEVELOPER FEE
- 11 DETAILED FIRE STATION PLAN IN THE 2009-10 FISCAL YEAR END
- 12 REPORT WERE PREPARED IN MY OFFICE AND UNDER MY SUPERVISION.
- 13 THERE IS NO RECOMMENDED MODIFICATION TO THE DEVELOPER FEE
- 14 AMOUNTS. THE DEVELOPER FEES WILL CONTINUE TO BE USED TO FUND
- 15 THE ACQUISITION, CONSTRUCTION, IMPROVEMENT AND EQUIPPING OF
- 16 FIRE STATION FACILITIES IN THE AREAS OF BENEFIT. THERE
- 17 CONTINUES TO BE A REASONABLE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE USE OF
- 18 THE DEVELOPER FEE AND THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS UPON
- 19 WHICH THE FEE IS IMPOSED. THERE IS A REASONABLE RELATIONSHIP
- 20 BETWEEN THE NEED FOR THE FACILITIES TO BE FINANCED BY THE
- 21 DEVELOPER FEE AND THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS UPON WHICH
- 22 THE DEVELOPER FEE IS IMPOSED. THERE IS ALSO A REASONABLE
- 23 RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE AMOUNT OF THE DEVELOPER FEE AND THE
- 24 COSTS OF ACQUIRING, CONSTRUCTING AND EQUIPPING THE NECESSARY



- 1 FIRE PROTECTION FACILITIES. WE ARE AWARE OF NO PROTESTS TO THE
- 2 RECOMMENDED ACTIONS.

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT. DO WE HAVE ANY
- 5 SPEAKERS ON THIS ONE? NO. ALL RIGHT. ON THIS ITEM, MOVED BY
- 6 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY. SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR KNABE. IF
- 7 THERE'S NO OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. THANK YOU. ITEM NO. 5.

8

- 9 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM NO. 5, THIS IS THE HEARING ON
- 10 ORDINANCE FOR ADOPTION AMENDING THE COUNTY CODE TITLE 26 --
- 11 BUILDING CODE, TO REPEAL PROVISIONS OF THE BUILDING CODE.
- 12 THERE IS NO DEPARTMENT STATEMENT ON THIS AND NO CORRESPONDENCE
- 13 WAS RECEIVED. THERE IS ONE SPEAKER.

14

15 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: MR. SACHS, YOU SIGNED UP FOR THIS ITEM?

16

- 17 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MADAM CHAIR, IT DOES NOT APPEAR THAT HE'S
- 18 HERE.

19

- 20 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. SO ON THIS ITEM, WE DON'T HAVE
- 21 A REPORT.

22

23 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: WE DON'T.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: MOVED BY SUPERVISOR KNABE. SECONDED BY
- 2 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH. IF THERE IS NO OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.
- 3 ITEM NO. 6?

4

- 5 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ITEM NO. 6, THIS IS A HEARING ON ORDINANCE
- 6 FOR ADOPTION AMENDING THE COUNTY CODE TITLE 27 -- ELECTRICAL
- 7 CODE, TO REPEAL PROVISIONS OF THE ELECTRICAL CODE. THERE IS NO
- 8 DEPARTMENT STATEMENT AND NO CORRESPONDENCE WAS SUBMITTED.
- 9 AGAIN, THERE IS ONE PUBLIC SPEAKER. I DON'T BELIEVE HE'S HERE.
- 10 MR. SACHS.

11

- 12 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. I'LL GIVE A REMINDER THAT WE
- 13 HAVE TO CLOSE OUR PUBLIC HEARINGS ON THESE ITEMS. BUT IT IS
- 14 MOVED BY SUPERVISOR KNABE. SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.
- 15 IF THERE IS NO OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

16

- 17 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM NO. 7. THIS IS THE HEARING ON
- 18 ORDINANCE FOR ADOPTION AMENDING THE COUNTY CODE TITLE 28 --
- 19 PLUMBING CODE, TO REPEAL PROVISIONS OF THE PLUMBING CODE.
- 20 AGAIN, NO DEPARTMENT STATEMENT AND NO CORRESPONDENCE WAS
- 21 SUBMITTED. THERE IS ONE SPEAKER, AGAIN IT'S MR. SACHS. HE DOES
- 22 NOT APPEAR TO BE HERE.

23

24 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ON ITEM NO. 7, IS THERE NO REPORT?



1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: NO REPORT.

2

- 3 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. SO I MOVE THAT WE CLOSE THE
- 4 PUBLIC HEARING. MOVED BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY. SECONDED BY
- 5 SUPERVISOR KNABE. IF THERE'S NO OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

6

- 7 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM NO. 8, THIS IS THE HEARING ON
- 8 ORDINANCE FOR ADOPTION OF THE COUNTY CODE, TITLE 29 --
- 9 MECHANICAL CODE, TO REPEAL PROVISIONS OF THE MECHANICAL CODE.
- 10 AGAIN, NO DEPARTMENT STATEMENT, NO CORRESPONDENCE. AND THERE
- 11 IS ONE SPEAKER, MR. SACHS.

12

- 13 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: AND MR. SACHS IS NOT HERE. ALL RIGHT. WITH
- 14 THAT, WE WILL CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING. MOVED BY SUPERVISOR
- 15 ANTONOVICH. SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY. IF THERE'S NO
- 16 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

17

- 18 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ON ITEM NO. 9, THIS IS THE HEARING ON
- 19 ORDINANCE FOR ADOPTION AMENDING THE COUNTY CODE TO ADD TITLE
- 20 30 -- RESIDENTIAL CODE, TO INCORPORATE BY REFERENCE PORTIONS
- 21 OF THE 2010 CALIFORNIA RESIDENTIAL CODE. AGAIN, NO DEPARTMENT
- 22 STATEMENT. NO CORRESPONDENCE WAS SUBMITTED. AND THERE WAS ONE
- 23 SPEAKER, MR. SACHS.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THERE IS NO DEPARTMENT
- 2 STATEMENT?

3

4 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: NO.

5

- 6 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. ON THAT ITEM. WE WILL CLOSE THE
- 7 PUBLIC HEARING. MOVED BY SUPERVISOR KNABE, SECONDED BY
- 8 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH. IF THERE IS NO OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

9

- 10 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ITEM NO. 10, THIS IS THE HEARING FOR
- 11 ORDINANCE FOR ADOPTION AMENDING THE COUNTY CODE TO ADD TITLE
- 12 31, GREEN BUILDING STANDARDS CODE. THERE IS NO DEPARTMENT
- 13 STATEMENT. CORRESPONDENCE WAS RECEIVED ON THIS ITEM. AND THERE
- 14 IS A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC, AGAIN MR. SACHS.

15

- 16 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. WE WILL CLOSE THE PUBLIC
- 17 HEARING ON THAT ITEM. MOVED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH, SECONDED
- 18 BY SUPERVISOR KANABE. IF THERE IS NO OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

- 20 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: ALL RIGHT. WE ARE ON ITEM NO. 11. THIS IS
- 21 THE DE NOVO HEARING ON PROJECT NO. R2009-02239-(5),
- 22 CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT CASE NO. 200900026, VESTING TENTATIVE
- 23 TRACT MAP NO. 071035, AND A FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT
- 24 WITH MITIGATION MONITORING REPORTING PROGRAM TO AUTHORIZE
- 25 CONSTRUCTION, OPERATION, AND MAINTENANCE OF A 230-MEGAWATT



- 1 PHOTOVOLTAIC ELECTRICITY POWER GENERATION FACILITY LOCATED
- 2 NEAR THE UNINCORPORATED COMMUNITY OF ANTELOPE ACRES IN THE
- 3 ANTELOPE VALLEY WEST ZONE DISTRICT, APPLIED FOR BY A.V. SOLAR
- 4 RANCH 1, L.L.C. THERE IS DEPARTMENT STATEMENT AND
- 5 CORRESPONDENCE WAS SUBMITTED ON THIS ITEM.

- 7 KIM SOLAY: GOOD MORNING, MADAM CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE
- 8 BOARD. MY NAME IS KIM SOLAY, PROJECT PLANNER, DEPARTMENT OF
- 9 REGIONAL PLANNING. THIS IS CHRISTINA TRAN FROM IMPACT ANALYSIS
- 10 WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING. THE MAJORITY OF THE
- 11 2100-ACRE SITE WOULD BE USED TO INSTALL ARRAYS OF SOLAR PANELS
- 12 UP TO 10 FEET IN HEIGHT, AN OPERATIONS BUILDING, ELECTRICITY
- 13 SUBSTATION, UNDERGROUND TRANSMISSION LINES WOULD BE
- 14 CONSTRUCTED, ALSO. CONNECTION TO THE ELECTRICITY GRID IS
- 15 PROPOSED TO BE LOCATED AT THE ANTICIPATED SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA
- 16 EDISON WHIRLWIND SUBSTATION IN KERN COUNTY, APPROXIMATELY TWO
- 17 MILES NORTH OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY-KERN COUNTY BOUNDARY. ON
- 18 SEPTEMBER 15, 2010, THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION CERTIFIED
- 19 THE E.I.R. AND APPROVED THE PROJECT 4-0 WITH ONE COMMISSIONER
- 20 ABSENT. THE PLANNING COMMISSION FOUND THAT THE PROJECT IS
- 21 CONSISTENT WITH THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY-WIDE GENERAL PLAN AND
- 22 ANTELOPE VALLEY AREA-WIDE PLAN. POLICIES AND IT ALSO MEETS THE
- 23 NECESSARY FINDINGS FOR A VESTING TENTATIVE TRACT MAP,
- 24 REVERSION OF ACREAGE AND A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT PURSUANT TO
- 25 THE SUBDIVISION MAP ACT AND APPLICABLE COUNTY COUNTY ZONING



- 1 CODE PROVISIONS. ON SEPTEMBER 24, 2010, NORTHROP GRUMMAN
- 2 SYSTEMS CORPORATION APPEALED THE PROJECT TO THE BOARD OF
- 3 SUPERVISORS, CITING INADEQUACY OF THE E.I.R. VESTING TENTATIVE
- 4 TRACT MAP AND CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT APPROVALS. THE APPELLANT
- 5 ALSO STATED THAT THE PROJECT SHOULD HAVE ANALYZED PROJECT
- 6 IMPACTS TO OPERATIONS OF ITS RANGE 1 TEST SITE AT THEIR TEJON
- 7 RADAR TEST FACILITY LOCATED IN KERN COUNTY, APPROXIMATELY 10
- 8 MILES NORTHWEST OF THE A.V. SOLAR RANCH 1 PROJECT SITE. THE
- 9 APPELLANT HAD NOT PREVIOUSLY COMMENTED ON THE PROJECT AT ANY
- 10 PREVIOUS STAGE OF THE PUBLIC REVIEW PROCESS. IN ADDITION TO
- 11 THE LATE COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM THE APPELLANT, COMMENTS FROM
- 12 THREE OTHER PARTIES WERE ALSO RECEIVED. PLANNING STAFF
- 13 PROVIDED EXTENSIVE VOLUNTARY RESPONSES TO LATE COMMENTS AFTER
- 14 CLOSE OF THE DULY NOTICED DRAFT E.I.R. PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD.
- 15 EACH ITEM IN THE APPELLANT'S APPEAL LETTER AND LATE COMMENTS
- 16 FROM OTHER PARTIES ARE ADDRESSED IN SECTION 6.0, RESPONSE TO
- 17 LATE COMMENTS, IN THE FINAL E.I.R. CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL
- 18 OUALITY ACT FINDINGS WERE ALSO UPDATED. IN ADDITION TO INITIAL
- 19 MATERIALS RECEIVED FROM THE APPELLANT, THE APPELLANT SUBMITTED
- 20 OVER 1,000 ADDITIONAL PAGES OF MATERIAL TO COUNTY STAFF ON
- 21 FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 19. NO SIGNIFICANT NEW INFORMATION REQUIRING
- 22 RECIRCULATION OF THE E.I.R. WAS RECEIVED FROM THE APPELLANT OR
- 23 ANY OF THE OTHER LATE COMMENTERS, INCLUDING THAT MATERIAL.
- 24 STAFF ADDITIONALLY RECEIVED THIS MORNING A WEB LINK TO A 1500-
- 25 PAGE ADDITIONAL SUBMITTAL RECEIVED AT THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE



- 1 MONDAY NIGHT AT FIVE. AND THEN I RECEIVED A LINK THIS MORNING
- 2 TO THOSE DOCUMENTS AND REVIEWED THEM IN A SKIM FORMAT. THE
- 3 E.I.R. AS CERTIFIED BY THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION
- 4 INCLUDES PROJECT DESIGN FEATURES SUCH AS INCLUDING THE REMOVAL
- 5 OF A PORTION OF THE PROJECT FROM A SIGNIFICANT ECOLOGICAL AREA
- 6 AND AVOIDING DEVELOPMENT IN ANOTHER PORTION OF THE PROJECT,
- 7 WHICH INCLUDES YOUNG JOSHUA TREES. REQUIRED MITIGATION
- 8 MEASURES ALSO INCLUDE SUCH THINGS AS ADDITIONAL BUFFERING FROM
- 9 SENSITIVE AREAS, RETAINING THE NATURAL COURSE OF THE PRIMARY
- 10 DRAINAGE RUNNING THROUGH THE PROJECT SITE, PROVIDING NATIVE
- 11 PLANTINGS ALONG BOTH SIDES OF S.R.138 ALONG THE PROJECT
- 12 BOUNDARIES, AND UNDERGROUNDING OF TRANSMISSION LINES.
- 13 EXTENSIVE DUST CONTROL MEASURES ARE REQUIRED DURING
- 14 CONSTRUCTION AND DEDICATION OF A MINIMUM 450 ACRES OF OFF-SITE
- 15 LANDS IS REQUIRED TO MITIGATE LOSS OF HABITAT. THE PROJECT'S
- 16 MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM ITEMIZES 45 PAGES
- 17 OF REQUIRED MITIGATIONS. ON NOVEMBER 19, 2010, PLANNING STAFF
- 18 RECEIVED AN EMAIL FROM MR. DAVID BELOTE, DIRECTOR, ENERGY
- 19 SITING CLEARINGHOUSE OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY UNDERSECRETARY OF
- 20 DEFENSE, INSTALLATIONS AND ENVIRONMENT. THE EMAIL INDICATED
- 21 THAT THE A.V. SOLAR RANCH 1 PROJECT APPEAL CASE WAS, QUOTE,
- 22 FROM HIM "A PRIVATE MATTER BETWEEN PRIVATE PARTIES AND LOS
- 23 ANGELES COUNTY ON WHICH THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE HAS NO
- 24 POSITION". UNQUOTE. A NEARBY PHOTOVOLTAIC SOLAR PROJECT CALLED
- 25 THE ROSAMOND SOLAR PROJECT LOCATED IN KERN COUNTY TO THE



- 1 NORTHEAST OF THE A.V. SOLAR RANCH 1 SITE WAS APPROVED BY KERN
- 2 COUNTY PLANNING COMMISSION AND APPEALED BY NORTHROP GRUMMAN
- 3 SYSTEMS CORPORATION. ON NOVEMBER 9, 2010, THE KERN COUNTY
- 4 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS DENIED THE APPEAL AND APPROVED THE
- 5 PROJECT 5-0. THE ROSAMOND SOLAR PROJECT IS ROUGHLY THE SAME
- 6 DISTANCE FROM THE TEJON RADAR TEST FACILITY AS THE A.V. SOLAR
- 7 RANCH 1 PROJECT. ON NOVEMBER 22, 2010, GOVERNOR ARNOLD
- 8 SCHWARZENEGGER'S OFFICE FORWARDED TO PLANNING STAFF A COPY OF
- 9 A LETTER FROM THE GOVERNOR DATED NOVEMBER 22, 2010, WRITTEN TO
- 10 THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS INDICATING THE GOVERNOR'S SUPPORT
- 11 SPECIFICALLY FOR THE A.V. SOLAR RANCH 1 PROJECT. STAFF
- 12 RECOMMENDS THAT THE BOARD DENY THE APPEAL AND AFFIRM THE
- 13 PLANNING COMMISSION'S ACTION AND FINDINGS PERTAINING TO
- 14 APPROVAL OF THE A.V. SOLAR RANCH 1 PROJECT AS FOLLOWS: ONE, TO
- 15 CERTIFY THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT, INCLUDING DRAFT
- 16 E.I.R., FINAL E.I.R. WITH ADDITIONAL SECTION 6.0 RESPONSES TO
- 17 LATE COMMENTS, MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM AND
- 18 C.E.O.A. FINDINGS OF FACT FOR PROJECT NO. R2009-02239-(5). AND
- 19 SECOND, INDICATE THE BOARD'S INTENT TO APPROVE SAID PROJECT
- 20 NUMBER INCLUDING VESTING TENTATIVE TRACT MAP NO. 071035 AND
- 21 CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 20090026. AND FINALLY, TO INSTRUCT
- 22 COUNTY COUNSEL TO PREPARE THE NECESSARY FINDINGS AND
- 23 CONDITIONS TO AFFIRM THE PLANNING COMMISSION'S APPROVAL OF
- 24 PROJECT NO. R2009-02239-(5), INCLUDING VESTING TENTATIVE TRACT



- NO. 071035 AND CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 2009-00026. THAT
- 2 COMPLETES THE STAFF REPORT.

3

4 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THAT COMPLETES OUR REPORT.

5

6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: COULD I HAVE SOME QUESTIONS.

7

8 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: YES. SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: COULD YOU TELL ME WHEN THE FIRST SOLAR OR
- 11 NEXTLIGHT APPLICATION WAS FILED WITH THE REGIONAL PLANNING
- 12 DEPARTMENT?

13

- 14 KIM SOLAY: THE APPLICATION WAS FILED ON MARCH 18, 2009, AND
- 15 SOME ADDITIONAL MATERIAL WAS SUBMITTED MARCH 25 WITH SOME
- 16 CORRECTIONS.

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND YOU HAVE A COURTESY LIST, OF WHICH I HAVE
- 19 THAT'S DATED MAY 17, 2010. COULD YOU EXPLAIN WHAT THE LIST IS
- 20 AND HOW DOES REGIONAL PLANNING USE IT?

- 22 KIM SOLAY: YES, THE COURTESY LIST IS IN ADDITION TO THE 1,000-
- 23 FOOT RADIUS NOTICING LIST. THERE ARE ADDITIONAL PARTIES FROM
- 24 THE FIFTH DISTRICT THAT WOULD BE ON THAT COURTESY LIST. AND



- 1 THEN THERE ARE OTHER INTERESTED PARTIES THAN ARE ADDED AS THEY
- 2 REQUEST TO BE SO.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND THEN WHAT IS THE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEARANCE
- 5 FOR THE PROJECT?

6

- 7 KIM SOLAY: THAT WAS CERTIFIED BY THE REGIONAL PLANNING
- 8 COMMISSION AND THEN APPEALED.

9

- 10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHEN WAS THE NOTICE OF PREPARATION ISSUED?
- 11 WAS IT BEFORE THE E.I.R. WAS PREPARED?

12

- 13 KIM SOLAY: YES. ON APRIL 12, 2009 WAS THE NOTICE OF
- 14 PREPARATION.

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND THEN WAS THE NOTICE OF PREPARATION ISSUED
- 17 BEFORE THE E.I.R. WAS PREPARED?

18

19 KIM SOLAY: YES.

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: DID NORTHROP GRUMMAN OR ITS ATTORNEYS OR ANY
- 22 OTHER REPRESENTATIVE FROM THE COMPANY SUBMIT COMMENTS ON THE
- 23 NOTICE OF PREPARATION?



- 1 KIM SOLAY: NO, THERE WERE NO COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM NORTHROP
- 2 GRUMMAN OR THEIR ATTORNEYS.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND THEN WHAT DATES DID REGIONAL PLAN
- 5 COMMISSION CONSIDER THE CASE?

6

- 7 KIM SOLAY: ON JUNE 30 WAS THE FIRST HEARING. THE CONTINUATION
- 8 WAS SEPTEMBER 15, WHERE THE FINAL ACTION WAS TAKEN.

9

10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO 6/30.

11

- 12 KIM SOLAY: YEAH. 6/30, JUNE 30 THIS YEAR, 2010 AND SEPTEMBER
- 13 15, 2010.

14

- 15 SUP. ANTONOVICH: DID NORTHROP GRUMMAN OR ITS ATTORNEYS OR ANY
- 16 REPRESENTATIVE FROM THE COMPANY TESTIFY AT ANY OF THESE
- 17 REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION HEARINGS?

18

19 KIM SOLAY: THEY DID NOT.

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: DID NORTHROP GRUMMAN OR ITS ATTORNEYS OR ANY
- 22 OTHER REPRESENTATIVE SUBMIT ANY WRITTEN DOCUMENTS IN ADVANCE
- 23 OF THE FINAL PLANNING COMMISSION HEARING ON SEPTEMBER 15,
- 24 2010?



- 1 KIM SOLAY: THEY DID NOT SUBMIT DOCUMENTS FOR THE HEARING;
- 2 HOWEVER, THERE WAS A PUBLIC RECORDS REQUEST BY A KENDRA CASPER
- 3 OF SHEPPARD, MULLIN, RICHTER & HAMPTON ON FEBRUARY 2, 2010
- 4 REQUESTING THAT PROJECT APPLICATIONS FOR THE ZONING
- 5 APPLICATION AND THE LAND DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION. AND THAT WAS
- 6 FORWARDED AND ACKNOWLEDGED AS RECEIVED BY KENDRA CASPER. AND
- 7 THEN ON MAY 17, 2010, THERE WAS A REQUEST TO BE ON THE
- 8 NOTIFICATION LIST. AND SO SHE WAS ADDED TO THE COURTESY LIST.
- 9 AND KENDRA CASPER IS ALSO THE AGENT THAT SIGNED THE APPEAL.
- 10 AND I HAVE DOCUMENTATION FOR THE CLERK.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND THEN AS USUAL AND REQUIRED, THE REGIONAL
- 13 PLANNING COMMISSION, DO THEY ALWAYS CONSIDER PUBLIC TESTIMONY
- 14 IN THEIR PUBLIC HEARINGS?

15

16 KIM SOLAY: YES.

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND DID YOU RECEIVE TESTIMONY FROM VARIOUS
- 19 PARTIES DURING THE APPROVAL PROCESS?

20

21 KIM SOLAY: YES.

22

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHAT WAS THE ANTELOPE VALLEY ACRES TOWN
- 24 COUNCIL'S POSITION ON THE PROJECT?



- 1 KIM SOLAY: IN 2009, THERE WAS UNANIMOUS SUPPORT BY THE
- 2 ANTELOPE ACRES. AT THE HEARING, THERE WERE MEMBERS OF ANTELOPE
- 3 ACRES THAT TESTIFIED. AND THERE WAS ONE THAT WAS NOT IN FAVOR
- 4 OF THE PROJECT -- ACTUALLY THERE WERE TWO, ONE THAT WAS ON
- 5 ANTELOPE ACRES AND ANOTHER THAT WASN'T. AND THERE WAS -- THE
- 6 PRESIDENT REITERATED THAT THE BOARD DID HAVE A MAJORITY IN
- 7 SUPPORT.

8

- 9 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THE MAJORITY VOTED FOR IT. AND WAS THERE ANY
- 10 OTHER SIGNIFICANT OPPOSITION TO THE PROJECT DURING THE PUBLIC
- 11 REVIEW PROCESS?

12

- 13 KIM SOLAY: THERE WAS THE ONE MEMBER THAT I MENTIONED, NOT OF
- 14 THE TOWN COUNCIL BUT OF THE AREA THAT LIVES IN THE AREA,
- 15 ANTELOPE ACRES, THAT HAD A LOT OF CONCERNS ABOUT THE PROJECT.

16

17 SUP. ANTONOVICH: ONE OUT OF ALL THOSE OTHERS WHO SUPPORTED IT?

18

19 KIM SOLAY: THAT'S CORRECT.

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: DOES THE PROJECT CONFORM TO THE COUNTY'S
- 22 GENERAL PLAN?

23

24 KIM SOLAY: YES, IT DOES.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: DOES THE PROJECT CONFORM TO THE ZONING FOR
- 2 THE PROPERTY?

3

4 KIM SOLAY: YES, IT CONFORMS TO ZONING.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND ARE YOU COMFORTABLE THAT THE FINDINGS FOR
- 7 THE PROJECT WOULD MEET APPROVAL?

8

- 9 KIM SOLAY: YES. THE FINDINGS DO MEET THE REQUIREMENTS FOR
- 10 APPROVAL.

11

- 12 SUP. ANTONOVICH: LET ME ASK COUNTY COUNSEL. THE APPELLANT
- 13 SURPRISINGLY, EVEN THOUGH BEING NOTIFIED AS I MENTIONED MUCH
- 14 EARLIER JUST DROPPED OFF A STACK OF MATERIALS FRIDAY
- 15 AFTERNOON. YOU WOULD THINK IT WAS SOME PERSON THAT JUST WENT
- 16 IN TO PRACTICING LAW ON THURSDAY AND GOT A CASE ON FRIDAY. I'M
- 17 VERY SURPRISED AT THE PROFESSIONALISM, TO THE LEVEL OF
- 18 UNPROFESSIONALISM. BUT I GUESS SOMETIMES YOU GET WHAT YOU PAY
- 19 FOR. THE APPELLANT DROPPED OFF A STACK OF MATERIALS FRIDAY
- 20 AFTERNOON. HAVE YOU AND YOUR STAFF HAD A CHANCE TO REVIEW THAT
- 21 MATERIAL?

22

23 LARRY HAFETZ, COUNSEL: YES, I HAVE, SUPERVISOR.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND IN YOUR OPINION HAS THE APPELLANT RAISED
- 2 ANY NEW ISSUES THAT WOULD PREVENT THIS BOARD FROM RENDERING A
- 3 DECISION TODAY?

4

5 LARRY HAFETZ, COUNSEL: NO.

6

- 7 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND ARE YOU COMFORTABLE THAT THE
- 8 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT MEETS ALL THE REQUIREMENTS OF
- 9 CALIFORNIA'S ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AND COUNTY C.E.Q.A.
- 10 GUIDELINES?

11

12 LARRY HAFETZ, COUNSEL: YES I AM, SUPERVISOR.

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I UNDERSTAND THERE ARE PEOPLE SIGNED UP TO
- 15 SPEAK ON IT.

16

- 17 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: FIRST WE HAVE JACK RUBENS FOLLOWED BY
- 18 LEONARD FIGUEROA, IF THEY WOULD JOIN US. THESE ARE THE
- 19 APPELLANTS. WHOEVER WOULD LIKE TO START.

- 21 **LEONARD FIGUEROA:** GOOD MORNING. MADAM CHAIR PERSON, MEMBERS OF
- 22 THE BOARD, MY NAME IS LEONARD FIGUEROA. I REPRESENT THE
- 23 APPELLANT, NORTHROP GRUMMAN. I AM AN ENGINEERING DIRECTOR FOR
- 24 NORTHROP GRUMMAN CORPORATION. AS AN INDIVIDUAL, I'VE BEEN
- 25 INVOLVED IN THE DEVELOPMENT AND FIELDING OF ADVANCED MILITARY



- 1 TECHNOLOGY FOR THE LAST 28 YEARS. AS A CORPORATION, NORTHROP
- 2 GRUMMAN HAS BEEN ON THE CUTTING OF ADVANCED MILITARY
- 3 TECHNOLOGY IN SUPPORT OF OUR NATION'S DEFENSE FOR THE LAST 71
- 4 YEARS. DURING THESE 71 YEARS, NORTHROP GRUMMAN HAS INVENTED
- 5 AND REFINED RADAR STEALTH TECHNOLOGY AND ADVANCED RADAR
- 6 TECHNOLOGY RESULTING IN THE DESIGN, CONSTRUCTION AND FIELD
- 7 SUPPORT OF THE PREMIER OPERATIONAL STEALTH PLATFORM, THE B2
- 8 BOMBER. I TELL YOU THIS AS A CORPORATE TECHNICAL RESUME OF
- 9 CREDIBILITY AND A DOCUMENTED HISTORY OF MAINTAINING THE
- 10 GOVERNMENT'S TRUST. AS YOU CAN IMAGINE, THE SECURITY
- 11 RESTRICTIONS PREVENT NORTHROP GRUMMAN FROM COMPLETE DISCLOSURE
- 12 OF ITS TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS AND ADVANCED TEST TECHNIQUES TO
- 13 NONGOVERNMENT ENTITIES. HOWEVER, IN THIS FORUM, WE FEEL
- 14 COMPELLED TO MAKE PERFECTLY CLEAR OUR ASSERTION THAT THIS
- 15 PROJECT WILL IN FACT ADVERSELY IMPACT THE SUPPORT OF THE
- 16 MILITARY MISSION. FIRST, A REOCCURRING THEME HAS BEEN
- 17 CHALLENGING THE MILITARY MISSION OF THE TEJON TEST FACILITY.
- 18 THE TEJON TEST FACILITY IS LOCATED ON 1400 ACRES OF LAND IN
- 19 KERN COUNTY, APPROXIMATELY 15 MILES WEST OF EDWARDS AIR FORCE
- 20 BASE. NORTHROP BUILT THE FACILITY AND HAS OPERATED IT SINCE
- 21 THE LATE 1970S. THE TEJON TEST FACILITY HAS BEEN USED
- 22 PRIMARILY AS A RADAR CROSS-SECTION, REFERRED TO AS R.C.S., AN
- 23 ANTENNA TEST FACILITY THAT SUPPORTS SEVERAL DEPARTMENT OF
- 24 DEFENSE PROGRAMS WHICH HAVE BOTH CLASSIFIED AND UNCLASSIFIED
- 25 COMPONENTS. THE ONES I CAN DISCUSS ARE THE F35 LIGHTENING 2



- 1 PROGRAM. THE FA-18-EF SUPER HORNET. THE NAVY UNMANNED COMBAT
- 2 AIR SYSTEM, U.C.A.S. AND THE B2 BOMBER, AS WELL AS SEVERAL
- 3 FULLY CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS. THIS FACILITY'S ACTIVITIES DIRECTLY
- 4 SUPPORT THE PROGRAMS EMPLOYING 15,000 PEOPLE IN L.A. COUNTY.
- 5 THE DEVELOPER CONTACTED THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE OFFICE OF
- 6 INSTALLATIONS AND ENVIRONMENT REGARDING NORTHROP GRUMMAN'S
- 7 ASSERTIONS OF IMPACT TO THE MILITARY MISSION. THE DOD'S PUBLIC
- 8 RESPONSE FROM THAT OFFICE ON FILE WITH THIS BOARD IS THAT THIS
- 9 IS A LAND USE ISSUE AND THAT THEY HAD NO POSITION. IT SHOULD
- 10 BE NOTED THAT OUR MILITARY CUSTOMERS ARE IN CLASSIFIED PROGRAM
- 11 OFFICES AND THEY CANNOT TAKE PUBLIC POSITIONS. AS A RESULT,
- 12 NEITHER WE NOR THE DOD CUSTOMER CAN GO INTO DETAILS. SECOND,
- 13 ON THE TECHNICAL SIDE, ON FILE WITH THE BOARD IS A TECHNICAL
- 14 RESPONSE TO EACH OF THE TECHNICAL ISSUES THAT THE TECHNICAL
- 15 CONSULTANT EXPONENT HIRED BY THE DEVELOPER HAS RAISED RELEVANT
- 16 TO OUR TESTING. LET'S COMPARE THE RADAR MEASUREMENTS IN THE
- 17 PAST AGAINST THE UNDEVELOPED AGRICULTURAL BACKGROUND MEASURING
- 18 A SMALL CANDLE AGAINST LIGHT IN THE BACKGROUND. EFFECTIVELY
- 19 THE RADAR RETURNS FROM THE SOLAR FARM WILL BE LIKE STADIUM
- 20 LIGHTS BEHIND THIS CANDLE AND WE CAN NO LONGER MEASURE THE
- 21 SMALL SIGNALS NECESSARY. IN SUMMARY, THE ABILITY TO PREDICT,
- 22 FABRICATE AND MEASURE LOW RADAR CROSS-SECTION MILITARY
- 23 HARDWARE IS AT THE CENTER OF MAINTAINING NORTHROP GRUMMAN'S
- 24 ABILITY TO SUPPORT CURRENT OPERATIONAL PLATFORMS AND TO



1 PROVIDE THE WAR FIGHTER WITH THE NEXT GENERATION OF STEALTH

2 AIRCRAFT. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

3

4 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU.

5

6 JACK RUBENS: I'M JACK RUBENS. I'M A LAND USE ATTORNEY WITH THE

7 SHEPPARD MULLIN LAW FIRM, APPEARING TODAY ON BEHALF OF THE

8 APPELLANT, NORTHROP GRUMMAN SYSTEMS CORPORATION. I DO WANT TO

9 CONFIRM THAT WE DID SUBMIT DETAILED COMMENT LETTERS OVER THE

10 PAST WEEK. CONTRARY TO WHAT YOU HEARD FROM THE STAFF, YOU DID

11 NOT RECEIVE ANY COMMENTS FROM US UNTIL THE LAST WEEK. AND WE

12 DO APOLOGIZE FOR THAT. BUT CONTRARY TO SOME OF THE STATEMENTS

13 THAT HAVE BEEN MADE TODAY, IN FACT NORTHROP GRUMMAN DID NOT

14 LEARN ABOUT THE EXISTENCE OF THIS PROJECT UNTIL SEPTEMBER 16,

15 2010, THE DAY AFTER THE REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION APPROVED

16 IT. AND IT WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN IN NORTHROP'S INTEREST AND IT

17 IS NOT IN ITS INTEREST TO HAVE DELAYED MAKING ITS COMMENTS AND

18 ENGAGING IN A DIALOGUE WITH THE COUNTY AND THE APPLICANT

19 REGARDING THIS PROJECT. ITS STRONG PREFERENCE WOULD HAVE BEEN

20 TO HAVE DONE SO MUCH EARLIER. THE REFERENCES YOU HEARD TO MISS

21 CASPER SUBMITTING A PUBLIC RECORDS ACT REQUEST WAS MADE FOR A

22 DIFFERENT CLIENT FOR A DIFFERENT PURPOSE, LONG BEFORE NORTHROP

23 GRUMMAN HAD ANY IDEA ABOUT THE EXISTENCE OF THIS PROJECT. AND

24 IT'S NOTHING BUT A RED HERRING ISSUE BECAUSE THE FACT IS HERE

25 WE ARE, AND NORTHROP IS DOING ITS BEST TO RESPOND EVEN THOUGH



- 1 IT HAS ONLY BEEN ABLE TO ENGAGE LATE IN THIS PROCESS. AND IT
- 2 IS UNFORTUNATE THAT NEITHER THE COUNTY NOR THE APPLICANT
- 3 PROVIDED ANY NOTICE TO NORTHROP GRUMMAN REGARDING THE
- 4 PREPARATION OF THE E.I.R., THE FACT THAT IT WAS AVAILABLE FOR
- 5 REVIEW FOR EITHER THE PLANNING COMMISSION HEARINGS OR FOR ANY
- 6 OTHER PURPOSE. BUT HERE WE ARE. NOW, THE APPLICANT AND ITS
- 7 ATTORNEYS HAVE RAISED SOME ISSUES THAT APPARENTLY ARE DESIGNED
- 8 TO DENIGRATE NORTHROP AND TO OBSCURE THE ISSUES HERE. SO I
- 9 WANT TO BRIEFLY RESPOND TO THEM. ONE IS THE NOTICE ISSUE THAT
- 10 I'VE ALREADY DISCUSSED. ANOTHER ISSUE OR A CLAIM THAT HAS BEEN
- 11 MADE IS THAT NORTHROP'S POSITION IS THAT IT WANTS TO STOP ALL
- 12 DEVELOPMENT, INCLUDING THIS PROJECT, WITHIN WHAT WE'VE CALLED
- 13 THE RANGE 1 KEYHOLE, WHICH IS A LARGE LAND AREA THAT EMANATES
- 14 OUT FROM THE TEJON TEST FACILITY. THAT IS, TO SAY THE LEAST,
- 15 UNTRUE. INSTEAD, WHAT NORTHROP HAS CONSISTENTLY BEEN SAYING IN
- 16 WRITING AND VERBALLY AND IN MEETINGS WITH THE DEVELOPER, THE
- 17 APPLICANT HERE, IS THAT WE WOULD APPRECIATE IF THE BOARD WOULD
- 18 TAKE NO FURTHER ACTION ON THIS PROJECT UNTIL IT HAS REMEDIED
- 19 CERTAIN DEFECTS IN THE ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION AND
- 20 INCONSISTENCIES BETWEEN THE PROJECT AND YOUR ZONING AND
- 21 GENERAL PLAN. BUT PERHAPS EVEN MORE IMPORTANT TO PROVIDE
- 22 NORTHROP WITH AN OPPORTUNITY TO CONTINUE THE PROCESS IT IS
- 23 CURRENTLY ENGAGED IN AND IT IS DEVOTING VIGOROUS RESOURCES AT
- 24 THIS POINT TO DETERMINING WHETHER THERE IS A SOLUTION THAT
- 25 WOULD ALLOW THIS PROJECT TO GO FORWARD AS WELL AS OTHER



- 1 PROJECTS IN THE RANGE 1 KEYHOLE BUT PRESERVE THE TESTING
- 2 CAPABILITY AT THE TEJON TEST FACILITY, WHICH DOES INDEED
- 3 SUPPORT THE MILITARY MISSION. NORTHROP DOES EXPECT TO TRANSMIT
- 4 A CONCRETE PROPOSAL TO THE APPLICANT WITHIN A RELATIVELY SHORT
- 5 PERIOD. AND MAY I CONCLUDE?

6

7 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: I'M SORRY. YOUR TIME IS EXPIRED.

8

- 9 JACK RUBENS: JUST ONE PROCEDURAL POINT. THAT THE BOARD LETTER
- 10 THAT YOU RECEIVED THAT'S ATTACHED TO THE AGENDA AND IS
- 11 THEREFORE PART OF THE AGENDA STATES THAT YOU ARE BEING ASKED
- 12 TODAY TO CERTIFY THE E.I.R. AND MAKE CERTAIN FINDINGS
- 13 REGARDING THAT, BUT TO COME BACK TO THE -- FOR COUNTY COUNSEL
- 14 TO COME BACK WITH FINDINGS AND CONDITIONS TO SUPPORT THE
- 15 ENTITLEMENTS. WE'VE SEEN ON ANOTHER PART OF THE WEBSITE, NOT
- 16 PART OF THE AGENDA, A SUBSEQUENT LETTER THAT SEEMS TO BE
- 17 ASKING YOU IN ADDITION TO THAT TO ADOPT AN INDICATION OF YOUR
- 18 INTENT TO APPROVE THE PROJECT. AND FOR WHAT IT'S WORTH, WE
- 19 THINK UNDER THE BROWN ACT, GIVEN THAT THE BOARD LETTER
- 20 ATTACHED TO THE AGENDA DOES NOT INCLUDE THAT, THAT YOU SHOULD
- 21 BE DOING AS THE EARLIER LETTER SUGGESTS.

22

23 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU, SIR.

24

25 SUP. ANTONOVICH: COULD I ASK A COUPLE QUESTIONS?



1
2 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: SURE.

3

- 4 SUP. ANTONOVICH: LET ME ASK, WHEN YOU RECENTLY MET WITH MY
- 5 STAFF, YOU STATED THAT YOU FIRST LEARNED THAT THIS PROJECT,
- 6 NEXTLIGHT, WAS ON SEPTEMBER 16.

7

- 8 JACK RUBENS: THAT NORTHROP FIRST LEARNED OF THE PROJECT ON
- 9 SEPTEMBER 16.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT KENDRA JOY CASPER OF SHEPPARD, MULLIN
- 12 FILED THE APPEAL ON SEPTEMBER 24. SO IS MISS CASPER AN
- 13 ATTORNEY IN YOUR FIRM?

14

- 15 JACK RUBENS: YES, SHE IS. AND THE APPEAL WAS FILED AFTER,
- 16 ABOUT EIGHT OR NINE DAYS AFTER WE LEARNED OF THE PROJECT.

17

- 18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MY STAFF WILL SHARE WITH YOU A COPY OF AN
- 19 EMAIL FROM REGIONAL PLANNING STAFF TO MISS CASPER IN WHICH THE
- 20 STAFF PROVIDES MISS CASPER WITH COPIES OF THE LAND USE
- 21 APPLICATIONS. HOW IS IT THAT YOU TOLD MY STAFF LAST WEEK YOU
- 22 DIDN'T KNOW ABOUT THE PROJECT UNTIL THE 16? YET YOUR OFFICE
- 23 HAD COPIES OF THE APPLICATION IN FEBRUARY OF 2010.



- 1 JACK RUBENS: AS I STATED EARLIER -- WELL, TWO THINGS. FIRST,
- 2 WHAT WE HAVE TOLD YOU IS THAT NORTHROP DID NOT KNOW OF THE
- 3 EXISTENCE OF THIS PROJECT UNTIL SEPTEMBER 16, NOT THAT OUR
- 4 OFFICE DID NOT. SO THAT'S THE POINT WE MADE. BUT BEYOND THAT,
- 5 AS I STATED IN MY PREVIOUS TESTIMONY, THAT REQUEST WAS MADE ON
- 6 BEHALF OF A DIFFERENT CLIENT. IT WAS NOT MADE ON BEHALF OF
- 7 NORTHROP GRUMMAN. IT WAS MADE ON BEHALF OF A DIFFERENT CLIENT
- 8 FOR A DIFFERENT PURPOSE. SO NORTHROP DID IN FACT NOT KNOW
- 9 ABOUT THE EXISTENCE OF THIS PROJECT UNTIL SEPTEMBER 16, 2010.

10

- 11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: MY STAFF WILL SHARE WITH YOU A COPY AN
- 12 EXCERPT FROM THE SO-CALLED COURTESY MAILING LIST FROM REGIONAL
- 13 PLANNING THAT INDICATES THAT MISS CASPER WAS MAILED A COPY OF
- 14 THE NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY OF AN E.I.R. FOR THE FIRST SOLAR
- 15 PROJECT ON MAY 17, 2010. SO HOW IS IT THAT YOU TOLD MY STAFF
- 16 LAST WEEK YOU DIDN'T KNOW ABOUT THE PROJECT UNTIL SEPTEMBER
- 17 16, YET YOUR OFFICE HAS BEEN NOTIFIED IN MAY OF THIS YEAR THAT
- 18 AN E.I.R. HAD BEEN PREPARED?

19

- 20 JACK RUBENS: WHAT WE TOLD YOUR STAFF, AGAIN, WAS THAT
- 21 NORTHROP, NOT OUR FIRM, DID NOT KNOW OF THE EXISTENCE OF THIS
- 22 PROJECT UNTIL SEPTEMBER 16. THE INFORMATION THAT MISS CASPER
- 23 RECEIVED WAS AT THE REQUEST OF A DIFFERENT CLIENT OF THE FIRM
- 24 AND WAS RECEIVED FOR A DIFFERENT PURPOSE.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WELL THE DOCUMENTS INDICATE YOUR FIRM KNEW
- 2 ABOUT THE FIRST SOLAR PROJECT AS EARLY AS FEBRUARY OF 2010.
- 3 THEN WHY DID IT TAKE UNTIL LAST FRIDAY AT 4 P.M -- AND WE'RE
- 4 NOT TALKING ABOUT SOME SHLOCKY LAW FIRM, OKAY?

5

6 JACK RUBENS: I WOULD AGREE WITH THAT.

7

- 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WHY WOULD YOU SUBMIT A PILE OF DOCUMENTS WITH
- 9 OBJECTIONS?

10

- 11 JACK RUBENS: WE LEARNED ABOUT THE PROJECT ON SEPTEMBER 16TH.
- 12 WE FILED AN APPEAL ABOUT EIGHT OR NINE DAYS LATER. AT THAT
- 13 POINT WE HAD JUST RECEIVED THE E.I.R. AND ALL THE OTHER
- 14 VOLUMINOUS DOCUMENTATION REGARDING THE PROJECT. IT TOOK A
- 15 PERIOD OF TIME TO REVIEW ALL OF THAT DOCUMENTATION, TO ANALYZE
- 16 IT AND THEN TO PREPARE THE WRITTEN COMMENTS THAT WE SUBMITTED,
- 17 WHICH INCLUDED USING EXPERTS WHO ALSO HAD TO LOOK AT CERTAIN
- 18 PARTS OF THE E.I.R. AND ALSO FOR THE ENGINEERS AT NORTHROP TO
- 19 PREPARE ITS INTERNAL TECHNICAL REPORT TO INDICATE THAT THERE
- 20 TRULY WAS AN IMPACT ON THE FACILITY. AND I'D ALSO NOTE BY THE
- 21 WAY THAT THE MATERIALS YOU RECEIVED FROM THE APPLICANT'S
- 22 ATTORNEY YOU ALSO DIDN'T RECEIVE UNTIL LAST WEEK AND AS LATE
- 23 AS YESTERDAY AT 5 P.M.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: DO THE ATTORNEYS IN YOUR FIRM TALK TO ONE
- 2 ANOTHER?

3

4 JACK RUBENS: ON SOME THINGS WE DO, AND SOME THINGS WE DON'T.

5

6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: EVEN THOUGH IT'S DEALING WITH THE SAME ISSUE?

7

- 8 JACK RUBENS: WE'RE A FIRM OF I BELIEVE OVER 500 LAWYERS. AND
- 9 WHILE INFORMATION WAS OBTAINED FOR ANOTHER CLIENT FOR ANOTHER
- 10 PURPOSE EARLIER IN 2010, THAT INFORMATION WAS NOT CONNECTED TO
- 11 THIS, TO NORTHROP'S FACILITY AND THE POTENTIAL IMPACT ON IT
- 12 UNTIL SEPTEMBER 16, 2010.

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: EVEN THOUGH YOU WERE ON THE COURTESY LIST
- 15 MANY, MANY MONTHS BEFORE.

16

- 17 JACK RUBENS: CORRECT. BECAUSE AGAIN THAT WAS OBTAINED FOR A
- 18 DIFFERENT CLIENT FOR A DIFFERENT PURPOSE.

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YOU DON'T TALK TO ONE ANOTHER IN THE OFFICE
- 21 BECAUSE THERE ARE 500 ATTORNEYS?

- 23 JACK RUBENS: WE TALK TO EACH OTHER, OBVIOUSLY. BUT I'M SIMPLY
- 24 TELLING YOU, SUPERVISOR, NORTHROP'S A REPUTABLE COMPANY. AND
- 25 WHEN I TELL YOU THAT THEY DID NOT KNOW ABOUT THIS PROJECT



- 1 UNTIL SEPTEMBER 16, 2010, THAT IS AN ACCURATE STATEMENT. AND
- 2 BEYOND THAT, I AGAIN QUESTION WHY ON EARTH NORTHROP WOULD WANT
- 3 TO DELAY ENGAGING IN THIS PROCESS AND DELAY SUBMITTING
- 4 COMMENTS, THAT WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN THEIR INTENT AT ALL. THEIR
- 5 STRONG PREFERENCE WOULD HAVE BEEN TO HAVE ENGAGED VERY EARLY.
- 6 AND IF WE HAD EVER RECEIVED A CALL FROM THE DEVELOPER OR ANY
- 7 INFORMATION FROM THE COUNTY OR THE DEVELOPER DIRECTLY TO
- 8 NORTHROP, TO NORTHROP GRUMMAN SYSTEMS CORPORATION, YOU CAN BET
- 9 THAT THERE WOULD HAVE BEEN DISCUSSIONS MUCH EARLIER. AS IT IS,
- 10 I CAN TELL YOU THAT WE DID HAVE A FACE-TO-FACE MEETING WITH
- 11 THE DEVELOPER WITHIN TWO WEEKS AFTER WE FIRST LEARNED OF THIS
- 12 PROJECT. IN FACT, EXACTLY TWO WEEKS. HAD A SECOND MEETING LAST
- 13 WEEK TO DISCUSS POTENTIAL RESOLUTIONS TO THIS MATTER THAT
- 14 WOULD BE MUTUALLY BENEFICIAL. AND WE'RE ON A TRACK TO TRY AND
- 15 DO THAT. THAT'S GOING TO TAKE A LITTLE BIT MORE TIME BUT WE'RE
- 16 ACTIVELY ENGAGED IN THAT PROCESS NOW.

18 SUP. ANTONOVICH: IN THE MEETING WITH MY STAFF, IS IT TRUE THAT

- 19 YOU PROPOSED AN ASSESSMENT DISTRICT, WHEREBY THE COUNTY WOULD
- 20 COLLECT A FEE ON THE NEW SOLAR PROJECTS, WHICH WOULD THEN BE
- 21 FORWARDED ON TO YOUR CLIENT TO COMPENSATE THEM FOR RELOCATING
- 22 THE TEJON TESTING FACILITY, AND ARE YOU AWARE OF ANY EXAMPLES
- 23 WHERE A GOVERNMENT AGENCY COLLECTS FEES FROM ONE DEVELOPER AND
- 24 HANDS IT TO A PRIVATE COMPANY TO DEFRAY THE COSTS OF
- 25 RELOCATION?



1

- 2 JACK RUBENS: WELL FIRST OF ALL, WE DID NOT REQUEST THE
- 3 ESTABLISHMENT OF SUCH A DISTRICT. I BELIEVE WHAT WE INDICATED
- 4 IS THAT WAS ONE PART OF ONE SOLUTION THAT WE MIGHT COME BACK
- 5 TO TALK WITH YOU ABOUT, AND THERE ARE OTHER OPTIONS BESIDE
- 6 THAT. BUT THERE IS A VERY REAL AND SIGNIFICANT COST TO SOME OF
- 7 THE SOLUTIONS WE'RE LOOKING AT THAT WOULD ALLOW THIS PROJECT
- 8 TO PROCEED. BECAUSE THAT IS THE GOAL. THE GOAL IS TO ALLOW
- 9 THIS PROJECT AND OTHERS TO GO FORWARD. BUT WE HAVE TO FIND A
- 10 WAY TO DO THAT THAT PRESERVES THE TECHNICAL CAPABILITY OF
- 11 NORTHROP TO DO ITS TESTING, WHICH SUPPORTS 15,000, THE WORK
- 12 THAT IS DIRECTLY DONE BY 15,000 EMPLOYEES IN LOS ANGELES, I
- 13 MIGHT ADD.

14

- 15 SUP. ANTONOVICH: BUT THAT DIDN'T PRECLUDE YOU FROM MOVING THE
- 16 FIRM TO WAS IT VIRGINIA OR WASHINGTON, D.C. OR MARYLAND? I'M
- 17 JUST SAYING I DIDN'T SEE YOU COME HERE AND SAY WE'VE GOT TO
- 18 SAVE THESE JOBS HERE. YOU WERE IN THE FRONT OF THE BUS DRIVING
- 19 THEM TO ANOTHER LOCATION. BUT THAT'S BESIDE THE POINT.

20

- 21 JACK RUBENS: I DON'T BELIEVE ANY OF THE 21,000 EMPLOYEES THAT
- 22 CURRENTLY WORK IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY, MORE THAN A SMALL
- 23 HANDFUL OF THEM ARE ACTUALLY MOVING BACK EAST.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: WERE YOU INVOLVED IN A SIMILAR APPROACH ON A
- 2 SIMILAR SOLAR PROJECT THAT OCCURRED IN KERN COUNTY WHERE AGAIN
- 3 A COUPLE DAYS BEFORE THE HEARING SIMILAR SCENARIO TOOK PLACE
- 4 WHERE THERE WERE OBJECTIONS? WERE YOU PART OF THAT? OR WAS
- 5 THAT ANOTHER PART OF THE LAW FIRM?

6

- 7 JACK RUBENS: I WAS. BUT ACTUALLY THE FACTS ARE VERY DIFFERENT
- 8 IN THAT CASE. THE APPLICANT DID REACH OUT TO NORTHROP EARLY IN
- 9 THE PROCESS. WE DID GET A PHONE CALL.

10

11 SUP. ANTONOVICH: LIKE WE DID HERE.

12

- 13 JACK RUBENS: AND NORTHROP DID SUBMIT COMMENTS ON THE DRAFT
- 14 E.I.R. WITHIN THE 45-DAY COMMENT PERIOD. WHEN THE FINAL E.I.R.
- 15 WAS RELEASED, NORTHROP HAD 10 DAYS TO RESPOND TO THAT AND DID
- 16 PREPARE A LETTER WITHIN 10 DAYS, WITHIN THE PERIOD THEY HAD,
- 17 TO SUBMIT COMMENTS ON THE FINAL E.I.R. BUT OTHERWISE WE
- 18 SUBMITTED OUR COMMENTS DURING THE PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD.

19

- 20 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND THE PROJECT WAS APPROVED, I BELIEVE, ON
- 21 NOVEMBER 9TH.

22

23 JACK RUBENS: THAT'S CORRECT.



- 1 SUP. ANTONOVICH: TO THE REPRESENTATIVE OF NORTHROP, WHEN MY
- 2 STAFF CALLED YOUR GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS PERSON DURING THE
- 3 APPEALS PERIOD, HE STATED THAT NORTHROP HAD JUST RECENTLY
- 4 LEARNED ABOUT THE NEXTLIGHT PROJECT. IS THAT A CORRECT
- 5 STATEMENT THAT YOU MADE?

6

- 7 JACK RUBENS: I'M SORRY, SUPERVISOR. COULD YOU REPEAT THAT? I
- 8 APOLOGIZE.

9

10 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I WAS ASKING THE REPRESENTATIVE OF NORTHROP.

11

12 **LEONARD FIGUEROA:** I THINK I MAYBE HAVE BETTER KNOWLEDGE.

13

14 JACK RUBENS: THEN I WILL DEFER TO MR. FIGUEROA ON THAT.

15

- 16 SUP. ANTONOVICH: HE WAS THE MAN IN CHARGE, I THOUGHT, FOR THE
- 17 COMPANY?

18

- 19 JACK RUBENS: MR. FIGUEROA IS A DIRECTOR OF ENGINEERING. THE
- 20 GENTLEMAN YOU'RE REFERRING TO IS IN GOVERNMENT AFFAIRS I
- 21 BELIEVE.

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: SO THE QUESTION WAS: WHEN MY STAFF CALLED THE
- 24 GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS PERSON DURING THE APPEALS PERIOD, HE HAD



- 1 STATED THAT NORTHROP HAD JUST RECENTLY LEARNED THAT THE
- 2 NEXTLIGHT PROJECT WAS BEING CONSIDERED.

3

4 JACK RUBENS: THAT'S TRUE.

5

- 6 SUP. ANTONOVICH: AND IT'S TRUE THAT, AGAIN, I WAS GOING TO ASK
- 7 THAT QUESTION, THAT THEY KNEW ABOUT IT, FIRST FIRST LEARNED
- 8 ABOUT IT ON SEPTEMBER 16?

9

- 10 JACK RUBENS: THAT'S WHEN NORTHROP GRUMMAN SYSTEM CORPORATION
- 11 FIRST LEARNED OF IT.

12

- 13 SUP. ANTONOVICH: YET THE COUNTY RECEIVED AN EIGHT-INCH THICK
- 14 PILE OF DOCUMENTS FROM YOU ON FRIDAY, LESS THAN TWO DAYS
- 15 BEFORE THE MORNING'S HEARING. AND AGAIN I WOULD ASK NORTHROP'S
- 16 REPRESENTATIVE WHY IT TOOK THEM TWO MONTHS TO SUBMIT THE
- 17 INFORMATION TO THE COUNTY.

- 19 JACK RUBENS: WELL AGAIN, IF WE'D HAVE KNOWN ABOUT THE DRAFT
- 20 E.I.R. AT THE TIME IT CAME OUT, THERE WAS A 45-DAY COMMENT
- 21 PERIOD. AND I THINK WE RESPONDED WITH OUR COMMENTS WITHIN A
- 22 LITTLE BIT MORE THAN THAT, MAYBE IT WAS CLOSER TO 60 DAYS. BUT
- 23 GENERALLY WE TOOK ABOUT THE AMOUNT OF TIME ONE NORMALLY HAS
- 24 WHEN THEY REVIEW A DRAFT E.I.R. AND WE DID NOT RECEIVE THE



- 1 DRAFT E.I.R. UNTIL AROUND SEPTEMBER 17, 18, SOMETHING LIKE
- 2 THAT.

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: MICHAEL, I DON'T THINK THEY'RE GOING TO
- 5 ACQUIESCE TO YOUR POINT AT THIS POINT IN TIME. WE HAVE A LOT
- 6 OF SPEAKERS ON THIS ONE.

7

- 8 SUP. ANTONOVICH: LET ME JUST ASK ONE REPRESENTATIVE FROM
- 9 NORTHROP. APPROXIMATELY HOW MANY PEOPLE ARE AT THE TEJON
- 10 TESTING FACILITY?

11

12 **LEONARD FIGUEROA:** THERE ARE ONLY 15.

13

- 14 SUP. ANTONOVICH: FIFTEEN. AND HOW MANY PEOPLE WERE MOVED WHEN
- 15 YOU RELOCATED NORTHROP HEADQUARTERS TO VIRGINIA? WHICH I
- 16 LEARNED ABOUT IN A PHONE CALL FROM NORTHROP THE MORNING OF THE
- 17 ANNOUNCEMENT TO THE NEWSPAPERS.

18

- 19 LEONARD FIGUEROA: I AM NOT AWARE OF THE EXACT NUMBER. IT IS IN
- 20 THE FEW HUNDRED.

21

22 SUP. ANTONOVICH: I UNDERSTAND IT'S APPROXIMATELY 360.



- 1 JACK RUBENS: WE HAVE THE ANSWER TO THAT. IT'S 30. 30 PEOPLE.
- 2 I'M SORRY. 300. I LEFT OFF A ZERO. 300 OF THE 21,000 EMPLOYEES
- 3 IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY.

4

5 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THANK YOU.

6

7 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU SO MUCH, GENTLEMEN.

8

9 JACK RUBENS: THANK YOU.

10

- 11 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: NOW THERE ARE SOME FOLKS HERE THAT ARE IN
- 12 SUPPORT OF IT. WE HAVE A REPRESENTATIVE OF THE APPLICANT,
- 13 PETER GUTIERREZ FOLLOWED BY MELVIN LAYNE, VICKIE NELSON, AND
- 14 LISA KRUEGER. PLEASE JOIN US.

15

- 16 PETER GUTIERREZ: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRWOMAN MOLINA AND HONORABLE
- 17 MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. I'M PETER GUTIERREZ FROM THE LATHAM AND
- 18 WATKINS FIRM APPEARING ON BEHALF OF THE APPLICANT. I WANT TO
- 19 BEGIN BY--

20

- 21 SUP. ANTONOVICH: PETER, MOVE THE MICROPHONE UP OR SPEAK
- 22 LOUDER.

- 24 PETER GUTIERREZ: THANK YOU. I WANT TO BEGIN BY THANKING THE
- 25 PLANNING STAFF FOR ALL THE HARD WORK THAT HAS GONE INTO THIS



- 1 PROJECT. MR. SOLAY, MISS TRAN HAVE BEEN REMARKABLE. A LOT OF
- 2 WORK HAS GONE INTO THIS. OTHER COUNTY STAFF, COUNTY COUNSEL,
- 3 MR. HAFETZ, MISS KEENE, PUBLIC WORKS, FIRE, HEALTH AND
- 4 COUNTLESS OTHERS HAVE TRULY PUT IN A TEAM EFFORT AND THEY
- 5 DESERVE RECOGNITION. IN PARTICULAR I KNOW STAFF HAS WORKED
- 6 REALLY HARD TO RESPOND TO THE LAST MINUTE 1,000-PAGE FILING BY
- 7 NORTHROP AND WE HAVE WORKED TIRELESSLY TO RESPOND, AS WELL.
- 8 AND WE IN STAFF HAVE RESPONDED AND THE MESSAGE IS CLEAR. THERE
- 9 REALLY IS NO MATERIAL THAT IS NOT ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED BY THE
- 10 E.I.R. AND THE RECORD BEFORE YOUR BOARD. NORTHROP SUBMITTED A
- 11 LOT OF THE SAME MATERIAL, MUCH OF IT WORD FOR WORD WHEN THEY
- 12 PROPOSED THE ROSAMOND SOLAR PROJECT RECENTLY APPROVED IN KERN
- 13 COUNTY. WE RECEIVED THEIR EXPERT REPORT THIS MORNING. AND AS
- 14 IT TURNS OUT IT'S STRIKINGLY SIMILAR TO A REPORT SUBMITTED IN
- 15 KERN WHICH HAS BEEN ADEQUATELY RESPONDED IN THE RECORD BEFORE
- 16 YOU TODAY. AND ONE NOTE I WOULD MAKE IS ABOUT THE NOTICE, MISS
- 17 CASPER, WHO RECEIVED THE REQUESTED INFORMATION FROM STAFF WAS
- 18 ALSO THE SAME ATTORNEY WHICH FILED THE APPEAL. WE THINK THAT
- 19 THIS PROJECT IS A GOOD PROJECT THAT WILL PUT A LOT OF PEOPLE
- 20 BACK TO WORK. AND MR. DE ROSA FROM THE COMPANY IS HERE TO MAKE
- 21 SOME FURTHER REMARKS ABOUT THE PROJECT.

23 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: SIR?

24



- 1 FRANK DE ROSA: THANK YOU, CHAIRWOMAN MOLINA. I'M FRANK DE ROSA
- 2 FIRST SOLAR SENIOR VICE PRESIDENT FOR PROJECT DEVELOPMENT IN
- 3 NORTH AMERICA. I'M HERE TO ASK YOU TO DENY THE NORTHROP
- 4 GRUMMAN APPEAL. WE HAVE BEEN WORKING ON THIS ANTELOPE VALLEY
- 5 SOLAR PROJECT FOR MORE THAN TWO YEARS IN A VERY PUBLIC
- 6 PROCESS. THIS PROJECT NEEDS TO MOVE FORWARD. THE PROJECT WILL
- 7 PROVIDE APPROXIMATELY 400 JOBS OVER THE NEXT THREE YEARS IN
- 8 THIS DEPRESSED ECONOMY. WE WILL ADD OVER \$50 MILLION IN LOCAL
- 9 TAXES AND MILLIONS MORE IN INDIRECT ECONOMIC BENEFITS WHILE
- 10 PROVIDING CLEAN, AFFORDABLE, RENEWABLE ENERGY TO 75,000
- 11 HOMEOWNERS. WE'RE HONORED THAT OUR PROJECT HAS EARNED STRONG
- 12 COMMUNITY SUPPORT. WE'VE HAD MORE THAN 100 MEETINGS IN THIS
- 13 COMMUNITY, WITH RESIDENTS, AGENCIES, MILITARY INSTALLATIONS,
- 14 MUNICIPALITIES, ENVIRONMENTAL GROUPS, BUSINESS GROUPS,
- 15 EDUCATORS OVER THE LAST TWO YEARS. WE'RE GRATEFUL THAT THE
- 16 NEAREST RESIDENTIAL COMMUNITY, ANTELOPE ACRES, ENDORSES THE
- 17 PROJECT. THE CITIES OF LANCASTER AND PALMDALE ENDORSE THE
- 18 PROJECT AS DO THE ANTELOPE VALLEY BOARD OF TRADE AND THE
- 19 CHAMBER OF COMMERCE. WE'RE ALSO HONORED THAT SENATOR FEINSTEIN
- 20 AND GOVERNOR SCHWARZENEGGER PUBLICLY SUPPORT THIS PROJECT.
- 21 FIRST SOLAR IS THE WORLD'S LARGEST MANUFACTURER OF THIN FILM
- 22 PHOTOVOLTAIC SOLAR PANELS. WE ARE A U.S. COMPANY, WITH 1,500
- 23 EMPLOYEES IN MANUFACTURING, ENGINEERING, RESEARCH AND
- 24 DEVELOPMENT. WE ARE ON THE FRONTLINES IN THE COMPETITION TO
- 25 MAINTAIN U.S. LEADERSHIP IN THE WORLD'S CLEAN TECH ECONOMY.



- 1 MILLIONS OF FIRST SOLAR PANELS HAVE BEEN INSTALLED WORLDWIDE
- 2 AND THEY'VE BEEN OPERATING FOR MANY YEARS. IN THE U.S., OUR
- 3 SOLAR PANELS ARE DEPLOYED ON UTILITY-SCALE SOLAR PROJECTS IN
- 4 CALIFORNIA AND IN NEVADA. THEY ARE ON COMMERCIAL ROOF TOPS IN
- 5 CALIFORNIA. AND WE HAVE POWER PLANTS UNDER CONSTRUCTION IN
- 6 ARIZONA, NEVADA, AND NEW MEXICO. THE ANTELOPE VALLEY SOLAR
- 7 PROJECT WILL BE OUR NEXT CALIFORNIA INSTALLATION. THE ANTELOPE
- 8 VALLEY IS ONE OF THE WORLD'S BEST AREAS FOR SOLAR ENERGY, THE
- 9 WORLD'S BEST AREAS FOR SOLAR ENERGY. WE HAVE A VALUABLE
- 10 RESOURCE HERE. THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA AND THE FEDERAL
- 11 GOVERNMENT RECOGNIZE THAT AND HAVE AUTHORIZED THE ELECTRICAL
- 12 INTERCONNECTION SYSTEM FOR ACCESS TO THESE RENEWABLE
- 13 RESOURCES.

14

15 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.

16

17 PETER GUTIERREZ: CAN I SAY ONE MORE THING?

18

19 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ONE MORE THING.

- 21 PETER GUTIERREZ: FINALLY, WE'VE BEEN WORKING VERY HARD TO
- 22 CONCLUDE THE PERMITTING PROCESS, WHICH BEGAN IN 2008. IT'S
- 23 IMPORTANT THAT THIS PROJECT QUALIFY FOR THE AMERICAN RECOVERY
- 24 AND REINVESTMENT ECONOMIC INCENTIVES. PLUS WE HAVE CONTRACTUAL
- 25 COMMITMENTS AND APPROVALS AND ACTIONS THAT CAN ONLY BE



- 1 OBTAINED ONCE THIS C.U.P. IS GRANTED. SO IN CONCLUSION, I WANT
- 2 TO THANK MR. SOLAY, THE COUNTY COUNSEL, THE EXTRAORDINARY
- 3 STAFF OF YOURS FOR ALL THE HARD WORK THEY HAVE DONE AND ASK
- 4 YOU TO DENY THIS APPEAL.

5

- 6 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU, SIR. WE HAVE MELVIN LAYNE,
- 7 VICKIE NELSON, LISA KRUEGER AND STIG NILSSON, PLEASE JOIN US.
- 8 MR. LAYNE? SIR?

- 10 MELVIN LAYNE: YES. SORRY. I DIDN'T HEAR YOU. HONORABLE CHAIR
- 11 AND SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS MEL LAYNE. I'M THE PRESIDENT OF
- 12 THE GREATER ANTELOPE VALLEY ECONOMIC ALLIANCE LOCATED IN THE
- 13 ANTELOPE VALLEY. WE REPRESENT THE EAST KERN AND NORTH L.A.
- 14 COUNTY AND OUR MISSION IS TO BRING BUSINESSES TO THE ANTELOPE
- 15 VALLEY. OUR MEMBERSHIP IS COMPOSED OF FIVE CITIES, TWO AT
- 16 LANCASTER AND PALMDALE IN L.A. COUNTY AND THREE IN KERN. WE
- 17 COVER AN AREA OF ABOUT 3,000 SQUARE MILES. THE ORGANIZATION
- 18 HAS BEEN IN EXISTENCE FOR 10 YEARS AND HAS BROUGHT A NUMBER OF
- 19 JOBS AND BUSINESSES TO THE AREA AND ASSISTED THEM. NEXTLIGHT
- 20 IS JUST ONE OF THOSE BUSINESSES. I WOULD SAY THIS, THAT
- 21 NEXTLIGHT IS AN ORGANIZATION THAT HAS SET THE STANDARD FOR
- 22 COMMUNITY PARTICIPATION AND IT'S SOMETHING THAT ALL BUSINESSES
- 23 THAT COME INTO OUR COMMUNITY COULD ASPIRE TO. WE HAVE ALSO
- 24 WORKED WITH MANY OTHER COMPANIES TO BRING IN ABOUT \$10 BILLION
- 25 WORTH OF SOLAR COMPANIES THAT ARE LOOKING AT OUR PARTICULAR



- 1 AREA AND ARE IN THE PERMITTING PROCESS AT THIS PARTICULAR
- 2 TIME. THIS IS ALL BROUGHT ABOUT BY SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA EDISON
- 3 BUILDING A 4500-MEGAWATT TRANSMISSION LINE, WHICH ALLOWS THE
- 4 ANTELOPE VALLEY TO SHINE WITH ITS NATURAL RESOURCES OF A LOT
- 5 OF SUN AND WIND THAT GENERATE CLEAN POWER. WE BELIEVE THAT
- 6 THIS IS THE HIGHEST AND BEST USE FOR THIS PARTICULAR PIECE OF
- 7 PROPERTY. IT IS NO LONGER VIABLE FOR FARMING OR IN THE HOUSING
- 8 NOW IS DEPRESSED AND IT ALSO CONSERVES WATER, WHICH IS
- 9 SOMETHING THAT'S IMPORTANT TO OUR AREA. THERE'S ABOUT 71,000
- 10 JOBS OR PEOPLE WHO TRAVEL EVERY DAY OUT OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY
- 11 INTO THE L.A. BASIN. AND THAT'S BECAUSE IN OUR PARTICULAR
- 12 AREA, WE HAVE AFFORDABLE HOUSING. BUT AT THE SAME TIME, WE
- 13 HAVE 17 PERCENT UNEMPLOYMENT. THIS VERY IMPORTANT TO CREATING
- 14 JOBS WITHIN THAT PARTICULAR AREA. WE BELIEVE THAT THE BENEFIT
- 15 OF THIS PROJECT ACCRUES NOT ONLY TO THE ANTELOPE VALLEY, BUT
- 16 BASICALLY THE POWER THAT'S GENERATED IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY
- 17 ACCRUES TO THE BENEFIT OF THOSE CITIZENS WHO LIVE IN THE L.A.
- 18 BASIN AND IS OF GREAT BENEFIT TO THEM AND THEREFORE WE ARE IN
- 19 SUPPORT OF THE PROJECT.

21 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. ARE YOU MISS NELSON?

23 VICKIE NELSON: YES.

20

22

24

25 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ARE YOU MISS NELSON?



1

2 VICKIE NELSON: YES.

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: IS LISA KRUEGER NOT HERE? ALL RIGHT. WHAT
- 5 ABOUT STIG NILSSON, NOT HERE? ALL RIGHT. COULD WE HAVE
- 6 JENNIFER WU AND ROBERT RAY JOIN US? I'M SORRY TO INTERRUPT
- 7 YOU. GO AHEAD MISS NELSON.

8

- 9 VICKIE NELSON: HONORABLE CHAIRWOMAN AND SUPERVISORS. I AM
- 10 VICKIE NELSON, PRESIDENT OF THE ANTELOPE ACRES TOWN COUNCIL.
- 11 THE ANTELOPE ACRES TOWN COUNCIL VOTED TO SUPPORT A.V. SOLAR
- 12 RANCH 1 PROJECT IN MARCH OF 2009. THIS ORIGINAL SUPPORT
- 13 POSITION OF OUR TOWN COUNCIL HAS NOT CHANGED. THEY HAVE WORKED
- 14 VERY CLOSELY WITH US FOR THE PAST TWO YEARS, AND WE ARE
- 15 LOOKING FORWARD TO CONTINUING TO WORK WITH FIRST SOLAR AS THE
- 16 PROJECT MOVES AHEAD. THANK YOU.

17

- 18 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. LAST CALL ON VICKIE NELSON? AND
- 19 JENNIFER WU? IS ROBERT RAY HERE? HOW ABOUT LINDSAY SWAIN? ALL
- 20 RIGHT. CHRISTOPHER JULIAN? ALL RIGHT. THEY'RE NOT HERE. THAT
- 21 CONCLUDES THE TESTIMONY.

- 23 SUP. ANTONOVICH: LET ME JUST STATE THAT REGIONAL PLANNING
- 24 COMMISSION HAD CONSIDERED THIS FIRST SOLAR, OR NEXTLIGHT IT'S
- 25 CALLED, AT A NOTICED PUBLIC HEARING ON JUNE 30. ACCORDING TO



- 1 STAFF, THERE WERE 25 MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC PRESENT AT THE
- 2 HEARING. SEVERAL TESTIFIED IN SUPPORT. AND THERE WAS NO
- 3 TESTIMONY. AT MY REQUEST AND DESPITE THE INITIAL OBJECTIONS OF
- 4 THE APPLICANT, THE PROJECT HAS BEEN CONDITIONED TO UNDERGROUND
- 5 THE POWER LINES FROM THIS PROJECT THAT ARE WITHIN THE COUNTY
- 6 OF LOS ANGELES. NOTICE OF THE PUBLIC HEARINGS WERE MAILED MORE
- 7 THAN 400 PROPERTY OWNERS AND A PUBLIC HEARING NOTICE WAS
- 8 PLACED ON THE PROPERTY ITSELF. IT'S BEEN CONSIDERED AT
- 9 MEETINGS OF THE ANTELOPE VALLEY ACRES TOWN COUNCIL AS IT WAS
- 10 JUST MENTIONED BY THE CHAIRMAN, WHICH IS ON RECORD AS
- 11 SUPPORTING THE PROJECT. IT'S BEEN THE FOCUS OF MANY -- SEVERAL
- 12 MEDIA STORIES IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY PRESS AND OTHER
- 13 NEWSPAPERS AND CABLE. REGIONAL PLANNING HAS IDENTIFIED NEARLY
- 14 TWO DOZEN POLICIES IN THE COUNTY'S GENERAL PLAN AND ANTELOPE
- 15 VALLEY AREA'S PLAN RECOMMENDING APPROVAL FOR THIS PROJECT. THE
- 16 DOCUMENTS OF THE STAFF INDICATE THAT THE PROJECT COMPLIES WITH
- 17 THE ENVIRONMENTAL EQUALITY ACT, THE COUNTY'S C.E.Q.A.
- 18 GUIDELINES. AND THE STAFF ALSO INDICATES THAT THE FINDINGS
- 19 DEMONSTRATE THE PROPERTY CAN ACCOMMODATE THE PROPOSED USE AND
- 20 THAT ADEQUATE INFRASTRUCTURE ALREADY EXISTS TO SERVE THE
- 21 PROJECT. THERE ARE A NUMBER OF LETTERS OF SUPPORT AS HAS BEEN
- 22 MENTIONED BY THE SPEAKERS FROM OUR SENATOR, DIANE FEINSTEIN,
- 23 OUR GOVERNOR, SCHWARZENEGGER, AND OUR STATE ASSEMBLYMAN STEVE
- 24 KNIGHT. THE ANTELOPE VALLEY BOARD OF TRADE, THE GREATER VALLEY
- 25 BOARD OF REALTORS, THE ANTELOPE VALLEY ACRES TOWN COUNCIL,



- 1 LANCASTER CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, ROSAMOND CHAMBER AND THE DESERT
- 2 MOUNTAIN CONSERVANCY. THEY HAVE -- THE INPUT THAT OUR OFFICE
- 3 HAS RECEIVED FROM ANTELOPE VALLEY RESIDENTS IS OVERWHELMINGLY
- 4 IN SUPPORT OF THAT PROJECT. AND WHILE THEY MENTION ABOUT
- 5 PUBLIC OR NATIONAL DEFENSE, THE DIRECTOR OF ENERGY SITING
- 6 CLEARINGHOUSE FOR THE OFFICE OF DEPUTY UNDERSECRETARY OF
- 7 DEFENSE FOR THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, DAVID BELOTE SENT A
- 8 MEMO OR EMAIL TO MR. SOLAY OF THE REGIONAL PLANNING DEPARTMENT
- 9 IN WHICH HE BASICALLY STATES THAT IN HIS ATTACHED LETTER, MR.
- 10 JAMES WOODRUFF OF FIRST SOLAR NOTIFIED ME OF THE UPCOMING
- 11 HEARING IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY. I AND A NUMBER OF OUR SUBJECT
- 12 MATTER EXPERTS AND LEGAL ADVISORS HAVE REVIEWED THIS LETTER AS
- 13 WELL AS A LETTER FROM KERN COUNTY TO DR. DOROTHY ROGAN, DEPUTY
- 14 UNDERSECRETARY OF DEFENSE FOR INSTALLATION AND ENVIRONMENT,
- 15 NORTHROP GRUMMAN TEJON FACILITY REQUESTING FOR DIRECTION,
- 16 FEDERAL TAKINGS, DATED OCTOBER 19, 2010. IN OUR VIEW, THIS IS
- 17 A PRIVATE MATTER BETWEEN PUBLIC PARTIES AND LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 18 ON WHICH THE DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE HAS NO POSITION. IF YOU
- 19 HAVE ANY OTHER OUESTIONS, FEEL FREE TO CALL ME AT EITHER OF
- 20 THE NUMBERS BELOW. THAT'S FROM THE DEPUTY DIRECTOR FROM THE
- 21 DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE. SO I WOULD MAKE THE MOTION, MADAM
- 22 CHAIRMAN, THAT WE WOULD -- THAT THE BOARD MOVE THAT WE CLOSE
- 23 THE PUBLIC HEARING, INDICATE THE BOARD HAS REVIEWED AND
- 24 CONSIDERED THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THE FINAL
- 25 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT AND THAT THIS REPORT REFLECTS THE



- 1 INDEPENDENT JUDGMENT OF THE BOARD, CERTIFYING THAT THE FEDERAL
- 2 FINAL ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT AND SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT
- 3 PROVIDED BY REGIONAL PLANNING, THE MITIGATION, MONITORING, AND
- 4 REPORTING PROGRAM, C.E.O.A. FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT
- 5 OVERRIDING CONSIDERATIONS FOR PROJECT R2009-202239-(5)
- 6 INCLUDING VESTING TENTATIVE TRACK MAP NO. 071035 AND
- 7 CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT NO. 2009-00026 SIGNIFY THE BOARD'S
- 8 INTENT TO APPROVE AS RECOMMENDED BY THE REGIONAL PLANNING
- 9 COMMISSION, SIGNIFYING THE BOARD'S INTENT TO DENY THE APPEAL
- 10 FILED BY REPRESENTATIVES FOR NORTHROP GRUMMAN AND DIRECT
- 11 COUNTY COUNSEL TO PREPARE THE NECESSARY FINDINGS AND
- 12 CONDITIONS AND DOCUMENTS TO AFFIRM THE R.P.C. APPROVAL OF THE
- 13 PROJECT INCLUDING VESTING TENTATIVE TRACK MAP AND CONDITIONS
- 14 PERMIT NO. 200900026 AND DIRECTING COUNSEL AND THE EXECUTIVE
- 15 OFFICE TO BRING THE FINDINGS AND CONDITIONS BACK TO OUR BOARD
- 16 AT THE DECEMBER 7 MEETING.

17

- 18 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. SO THAT'S BEEN MOVED AND
- 19 SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY. IS THERE ANY OBJECTION TO
- 20 THAT MOTION? IF NOT, SO ORDERED ON THAT ITEM.

21

22 SUP. ANTONOVICH: THANK YOU.

23

24 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. ITEM NO. 12.



- 1 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THIS IS THE DE NOVO HEARING OF PROJECT NO.
- 2 R2010-01046-(1-5), CASE NO. RADVT201000011 AND ENVIRONMENTAL
- 3 CASE NO. T201000057, PROPOSED ORDINANCE REVISIONS TO TITLE 22
- 4 OF THE COUNTY CODE THAT WOULD PROVIDE FOR A COMPLETE BAN OF
- 5 ALL MEDICAL MARIJUANA DISPENSARIES IN THE UNINCORPORATED AREAS
- 6 OF THE COUNTY. THERE IS A DEPARTMENT STATEMENT AND
- 7 CORRESPONDENCE WAS RECEIVED AND THERE ARE SPEAKERS ON THIS
- 8 ITEM.

- 10 KAREN SIMMONS: GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISORS. I'M KAREN SIMMONS
- 11 WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING. SITTING HERE WITH ME
- 12 IS AGENT ING, ALSO WITH REGIONAL PLANNING. ON JULY 6, 2010,
- 13 THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS ADOPTED A MOTION THAT REQUESTED
- 14 REGIONAL PLANNING AND COUNTY COUNSEL TO PREPARE AN ORDINANCE
- 15 REVISION TO TITLE 22 OF THE COUNTY CODE THAT WILL PROVIDE FOR
- 16 THE FOLLOWING: A COMPLETE BAN OF ALL MEDICAL MARIJUANA
- 17 DISPENSARIES. THE PROPOSED M.M.D. ORDINANCE -- FOR THE
- 18 CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT OR THE COURT OF APPEALS ISSUES A
- 19 FINAL RULING PROVIDING THAT AN OUTRIGHT BAN IS UNLAWFUL THEN
- 20 EXITING M.M.D. REGULATIONS WILL REMAIN IN EFFECT. IF THE
- 21 PROPOSITION 19 IS APPROVED IN NOVEMBER, A BILL REGARDING
- 22 LEGALIZATION OF MARIJUANA, THEN REGIONAL PLANNING AND COUNTY
- 23 COUNSEL SHOULD PROVIDE THE BOARD A REPORT WITH RECOMMENDATIONS
- 24 REGARDING FURTHER ORDINANCE REVISIONS AND THE PLANNING
- 25 COMMISSION SHALL CONDUCT A PUBLIC HEARING AND FORWARD ITS



- 1 RECOMMENDATIONS ON PROPOSED ORDINANCE REVISIONS TO BOARD, TO
- 2 ITS CONSIDERATION. TO DATE, A COMPLETE BAN OF M.M.D.S HAS NOT
- 3 BEEN FOUND UNLAWFUL, AND THE VOTERS DID NOT PASS PROPOSITION
- 4 19. ON SEPTEMBER 22, 2010, THE COMMISSION CONDUCTED A PUBLIC
- 5 HEARING TO CONSIDER A PROPOSED AMENDMENT TO TITLE 22, TO BAN
- 6 MEDICAL MARIJUANA DISPENSARIES. 14 MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC SPOKE
- 7 IN OPPOSITION TO THE PROPOSED AMENDMENT. NO MEMBERS OF THE
- 8 PUBLIC SPOKE IN FAVOR. MOST OF THE TESTIFIERS COMMENTED THAT
- 9 TIGHTER RESTRICTIONS AND REGULATIONS COULD BE ADDED TO THE
- 10 EXISTING ORDINANCE RATHER THAN A COMPLETE BAN OF M.M.D.S. THE
- 11 COMMISSION RECOGNIZED THE PROACTIVE POSITION RECOMMENDATIONS
- 12 BY THE TESTIFIERS. THE COMMISSION ADOPTED RESOLUTION WHICH
- 13 RECOMMENDS THAT THE BOARD CONSIDER PROPOSED ORDINANCE
- 14 RECOMMENDATION BY THE COMMISSION TO BAN MEDICAL MARIJUANA
- 15 DISPENSARIES. COUNTY COUNSEL CAN PREPARE THE FINAL ORDINANCE
- 16 LANGUAGE FOR THE BOARD'S APPROVAL. THIS CONCLUDES STAFF'S
- 17 PRESENTATION. I'M AVAILABLE FOR ANY QUESTIONS.

18

- 19 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: VERY GOOD. ANYTHING ELSE? NO? ALL RIGHT. I
- 20 DON'T KNOW IF ANYONE WANTS TO SPEAK ON THIS ITEM. WE HAVE 17
- 21 SPEAKERS ON THIS ITEM. LET ME BEGIN WITH DAN KIRBY, FOLLOWED
- 22 BY SUE TAYLOR, AMANDA BRAZEL AND RICHARD KEARNS. SHOULD WE
- 23 LIMIT IT TO ONE MINUTE ON EACH SPEAKER? OKAY. LIMIT TO ONE
- 24 MINUTE, PLEASE. MR. KIRBY?



- 1 DAN KIRBY: WELL GOOD MORNING AND HAPPY THANKSGIVING TO ALL OF
- 2 YOU. MY NAME IS DAN KIRBY, I SERVED FROM 2003 TO 2009 AS AN
- 3 ELECTED COMMISSIONER ON THE MONROVIA CITY COUNCIL. AND DURING
- 4 MY TIME THERE, THIS ISSUE CAME UP BEFORE US IN THE CITY OF
- 5 MONROVIA. AND WE VOTED A STRONG 5-0 UNANIMOUS OPINION TO BAN
- 6 MEDICAL MARIJUANA DISPENSARIES IN THE CITY OF MONROVIA. THAT
- 7 WAS BASED ON, FIRST OF ALL, STRONG OPPOSITION FROM OUR PUBLIC.
- 8 WE FIRST FOUND OUT ABOUT A PROPOSED BUSINESSMAN, YOU MIGHT
- 9 SAY. THEY CAME TO US AND SAID, YOU'VE GOT TO SEE WHAT THIS GUY
- 10 IS DOING. WE REFERRED IT TO OUR CITY ATTORNEY AND FOUND THAT
- 11 THE CASE LAW IS FLUID. IT'S DIFFICULT TO ENFORCE REGULATIONS.
- 12 IT PUTS OUR POLICE DEPARTMENT AT A DISADVANTAGE. AND SO THE
- 13 PRUDENT THING AND THE WISE THING TO DO WAS TO BAN THEM. I
- 14 THINK I WAS AT A REGIONAL PLANNING COMMISSION IN DUARTE JUST
- 15 RECENTLY AS WELL. AND THE OVERWHELMING PUBLIC OPINION IS
- 16 PLEASE, DON'T PUT THIS ANYWHERE NEAR US. THANK YOU.

17

18 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU, SIR. SUE TAYLOR?

- 20 SUE TAYLOR: GOOD MORNING. MY NAME IS SUE TAYLOR. AND I AM THE
- 21 PRESIDENT OF ICANN HEALTH CENTER, A NONPROFIT MUTUAL BENEFIT
- 22 COOPERATION. I HAVE ALSO SUBMITTED AN APPLICATION IN
- 23 UNINCORPORATED L.A. COUNTY FOR A CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT. AND I
- 24 AM HERE THIS MORNING TO ASK YOU NOT TO BAN MEDICAL MARIJUANA.
- 25 IF YOU BAN, IT WILL TAKE PEOPLE SUCH AS MYSELF OUT OF THE LOOP



- 1 OF PROVIDING QUALITY MEDICINES FOR CHRONICALLY ILL PATIENTS.
- 2 IT WOULD BE LIKE A SLAP ON THE HAND FOR PEOPLE WHO ARE TRYING
- 3 TO ABIDE BY THE LAW, WHO ARE TRYING TO PROVIDE A SERVICE FOR
- 4 THE PUBLIC. YET IT WOULD DO NOTHING, THE BAN WOULD DO NOTHING
- 5 FOR THESE POT SHOPS, UNREGULATED CLUBS THAT ARE SHOWING UP
- 6 EVERYWHERE. I, TOO, USED TO THINK THE WAY THIS GENTLEMAN DID
- 7 UNTIL I DID THE RESEARCH AND FOUND OUT WHAT WORK. ICANN
- 8 WELLNESS CENTER HAS -- THE CLUBS THAT WORK, THE CAREGIVERS
- 9 THAT WORK, THE PLACES THAT WORK ARE THE ONES THAT FOLLOW THE
- 10 LAWS AND REGULATIONS.

11

- 12 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU, MISS TAYLOR. MISS BRAZEL. WE
- 13 DON'T ALLOW ANY CLAPPING DURING THE TESTIMONY. WE DON'T ALLOW
- 14 ANY CLAPPING. IF YOU WANT TO SUPPORT YOUR SPEAKER, YOU CAN DO
- 15 THIS QUIETLY. THANK YOU. MISS BRAZEL.

- 17 AMANDA BRAZEL: THANK YOU. I'M HERE TODAY TO SAY THAT IT IS
- 18 INCUMBENT UPON LOCAL GOVERNMENTS TO UPHOLD THE SPIRIT AND THE
- 19 WILL OF THE VOTERS WHO VOTED TO APPROVE SAFE ACCESS FOR THE
- 20 CHRONICALLY ILL PEOPLE WHO NEED IT IN 1996 AND TO REGULATE
- 21 DISPENSING COLLECTIVES WHO PROVIDE CANNABIS FOR THE SAFETY OF
- 22 BOTH THE PATIENTS AND THE COMMUNITY AT LARGE. THE LACK OF
- 23 WORKING REGULATIONS LEAVES US LESS SAFE BECAUSE WHEN WE SAY
- 24 NO, WE FOREGO THE ABILITY TO SAY HOW. AND THE REALITY IS THAT
- 25 THIS IS HAPPENING ANYWAY. ANOTHER REALITY IS THAT REGULATIONS



- 1 WORK. IN SOME DISPENSARIES THERE ARE STANDARDS OF QUALITY THAT
- 2 IDENTIFY PLANS THAT HAVE PESTICIDES AND OTHER CONTAMINANTS
- 3 THAT ARE INVISIBLE TO THE NAKED EYE. THESE ELEMENTS CAN BE
- 4 VERY HARMFUL TO PATIENTS AND NEED TO BE SCREENED FOR. THE TYPE
- 5 OF TESTING, THIS TYPE OF TESTING IS NOT AVAILABLE ON THE
- 6 STREETS WHERE PATIENTS WOULD BE TURNED TO IF THERE WERE TO BE
- 7 A BAN IN L.A., AND SUCH TESTING IS ONLY AVAILABLE IN
- 8 REGULATED, LEGITIMATE ESTABLISHMENTS. AND SO PLEASE VOTE NO ON
- 9 THE BAN.

10

- 11 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU. COULD I HAVE LORING GREENE JOIN
- 12 US? CRAIG LITWIN AND ALLEN FRANKEL? MR. KEARNS?

- 14 RICHARD KEARNS: HI. GOOD MORNING, CHAIRMAN MOLINA, MEMBERS OF
- 15 THE BOARD. MY NAME IS RICHARD KEARNS, I'M A 59-YEAR-OLD GAY
- 16 MAN WITH AIDS. I HAVE HAD AIDS FOR MORE THAN 20 YEARS NOW. I'M
- 17 HERE TO SPEAK IN OPPOSITION TO THE BAN. I WAS HEARTENED THIS
- 18 MORNING WITH REVEREND NEUENSCHWANDER'S INVOCATION OF THE
- 19 MEETING AS PART OF A SEASON OF COMPASSION. AND I WOULD SUGGEST
- 20 TO YOU THAT COMPASSION IS A SUCCESSFUL ELEMENT OF GENERATING
- 21 PUBLIC POLICY. AND I WOULD SUGGEST THAT THE INTENT OF THIS
- 22 MOTION IS NOT COMPASSIONATE. COMPASSION IS PORTRAYED BY
- 23 ADOPTING THE PETS THAT SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH HAD WITH HIM. OR
- 24 BY RECOGNIZING THE EXCELLENCE OF THE STAFF MEMBERS, INCLUDING
- 25 MARY RIDGEWAY. SHE'S KNOWN BECAUSE SHE'S COMPASSIONATE,



- 1 BECAUSE SHE WENT FURTHER THAN SHE HAD TO GO. PLEASE BE
- 2 COMPASSIONATE. PLEASE ALLOW US TO REGULATE THESE.

3

4 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU, MR. KEARNS. MR. GREENE?

5

- 6 LORING GREENE: THANK YOU. DO ANY OF YOU SUPERVISORS HAVE ANY
- 7 VISION? OF COURSE NOT. YOU DON'T SMOKE POT. IF YOU DID, YOU'D
- 8 KNOW THAT CANNABIS WHEN USED APPROPRIATELY DOES THE BODY, MIND
- 9 AND SPIRIT MORE GOOD THAN IT DOES HARM. YOU'D ALSO KNOW WHY 15
- 10 STATES HAVE NOW PASSED MEDICAL MARIJUANA LAWS INCLUDING, IN
- 11 CASE YOU HAVEN'T NOTICED, THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. PERSONALLY
- 12 I THINK WE DODGED A BULLET WITH THE FAILURE OF PROP 19. BUT IF
- 13 WE CAN'T FIND A WAY TO PROVIDE SAFE ACCESS TO MEDICAL CANNABIS
- 14 TO ANYONE WHO FEELS THEY CAN BENEFIT FROM IT, THEN SOMETHING
- 15 LIKE PROP 19 WILL PASS AND IT WILL BE JUST WHAT WE DESERVE.
- 16 MEDICAL CANNABIS IS LEGAL IN CALIFORNIA. SHOW SOME VISION AND
- 17 RECOGNIZE THAT. THANK YOU.

18

19 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU. MR. LITWIN?

- 21 CRAIG LITWIN: GOOD MORNING, MY NAME IS CRAIG LITWIN. I AM THE
- 22 DIRECTOR OF GOVERNMENT RELATIONS FOR CANNABIS. WE'RE A MEDICAL
- 23 CANNABIS CONSULTING FIRM THAT HELPS NONPROFITS TO DO IT THE
- 24 RIGHT WAY. I'M ALSO A FORMER MAYOR FROM A CITY UP NORTH IN
- 25 SONOMA COUNTY. I COAUTHORED FOUR YEARS AGO OUR SUCCESSFUL



- 1 MEDICAL CANNABIS DISPENSARY ORDINANCE. I'M HERE TODAY TO URGE
- 2 YOU TO TAKE SOME MORE TIME TO STUDY THIS ISSUE. THERE ARE
- 3 ALTERNATIVES TO THE BAN. YOU CAN LOOK AT WAYS OF TIGHTENING UP
- 4 YOUR REGULATIONS THAT DON'T CURRENTLY EXIST IN THE ORDINANCE
- 5 ON THE BOOKS. FOR EXAMPLE, YOU CAN HAVE AN ANNUALLY-EXPIRING
- 6 PERMIT THAT YOU MAKE THE APPLICANT WHO'S ISSUED A PERMIT GO
- 7 THROUGH EACH YEAR. THAT WAY IF THEY MESS UP, YOU PULL THE
- 8 PERMIT FROM THEM. THIS IS JUST ONE OF A DOZEN WAYS THAT MR.
- 9 WAYNE ABERSHAW, AN ATTORNEY THAT WE'VE OBTAINED, HAS SUBMITTED
- 10 TO YOU IN WRITING. I WOULD ENCOURAGE YOU TO TAKE A LOOK AT
- 11 THAT. AGAIN, AS WELL YOU CAN LOOK AT WAYS TO REALLY STUDY THE
- 12 ISSUE, LOOK AT WHAT HAS HAPPENED DOWN SOUTH. LOOK AT WHAT'S
- 13 HAPPENED IN ALL OF THE COMMUNITIES AROUND YOU WHERE THEY'VE
- 14 ACTUALLY TIGHTENED IT UP AND GOTTEN THE BAD PLAYERS OUT.
- 15 REGARDLESS, YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE PEOPLE THROWING OPEN, AND YOU
- 16 NEED TO HAVE YOUR CODE ENFORCEMENT STRONG AND ROBUST IN ORDER
- 17 TO STOP THAT FROM HAPPENING. THANK YOU.

18

- 19 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU. NEXT WE HAVE LORENE SPARVIERO
- 20 AND DON DUNCAN.

- 22 ALLAN FRANKEL: MY NAME IS DR. ALLAN FRANKEL. I'M A BOARD
- 23 CERTIFIED INTERNIST WHO HAS PRACTICED MEDICINE IN SANTA MONICA
- 24 AND MARINA DEL REY FOR THE LAST 32 YEARS. MY INITIAL CONTACT
- 25 WITH CANNABIS WAS IN THE 1970S AT U.C.L.A. ON THE CANCER WARDS



- 1 WHERE THESE VERY ILL PATIENTS WOULD RECEIVE CANNABIS AND BE
- 2 WHEELED OUT TO A FIRE ESCAPE AND IMPROVE. I ACTUALLY DIDN'T
- 3 HAVE ANY PERSONAL CONTACT WITH CANNABIS FOR SOME 30 YEARS
- 4 LATER. FOR THE LAST FIVE YEARS I HAVE BUILT GREEN BRIDGE
- 5 MEDICAL IN MARINA DEL REY. AND THE GOALS OF US AND THE PEOPLE
- 6 THAT WORK WITH US IS TO PROVIDE HIGH QUALITY MEDICINE TO THE
- 7 PATIENTS, NOT VERY DISSIMILAR FROM THE PRACTICE OF MEDICINE
- 8 THAT I DID FOR 25 YEARS. I FEEL THAT A BAN ON THE DISPENSARIES
- 9 WOULD BE EXCESSIVE. I WOULD LIKE TO PERSONALLY VOLUNTEER ANY
- 10 TIME THAT I CAN AS A PHYSICIAN TO HELP WORKING OUT DETAILS OF
- 11 THE CLUBS, THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE DISPENSARIES AND THE
- 12 PHYSICIANS, AND WOULD ENCOURAGE YOU TO OPPOSE THIS BAN. THANK
- 13 YOU.

14

15 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU. MISS SPARVIERO?

- 17 LORENE SPARVIERO: GOOD MORNING, BOARD. THANK YOU FOR LETTING
- 18 ME SPEAK. MY NAME IS LORENE SPARVIERO AND I AM 54 YEARS OLD
- 19 AND I AM A MEDICAL CANNABIS PATIENT. WESTERN MEDICATION, IT
- 20 NEVER HELPED ME. I ALMOST LOST MY EYESIGHT FROM IT. THAT'S THE
- 21 VISOR, I CAN'T TAKE LIGHT FROM ABOVE. I HEARD EVERYONE, THE
- 22 REVEREND SPEAK THIS MORNING. I HEARD MR. MIKE SPEAK ABOUT
- 23 COMPASSION. I'M HOPING THAT YOU TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION: DON'T
- 24 TAKE AWAY OUR SAFE ACCESS. PLEASE, WE NEED IT. I NEED A
- 25 SPECIFIC KIND OF CANNABIS THAT HELPS MY PHYSICAL DISABILITIES.



- 1 I CAN'T JUST GET THAT ON THE STREETS. SO I'M BEGGING YOU,
- 2 PLEASE, PLEASE, LET'S LOOK AT THE ORDINANCES. LET'S LOOK AT
- 3 THE LAWS. LET'S COME TOGETHER AS ONE AND MAKE IT RIGHT FOR
- 4 EVERYBODY. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

5

- 6 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU. VICTORIA ZAVALA, FOLLOWED BY
- 7 KITSHWA GENAMA. MR. DUNCAN.

- 9 DON DUNCAN: GOOD MORNING SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS DON DUNCAN.
- 10 I'M THE CALIFORNIA DIRECTOR FOR AMERICANS FOR SAFE ACCESS AND
- 11 WITH CANNBE. AND I'M HERE TODAY TO ASK YOU TO REJECT THE BAN
- 12 ON MEDICAL CANNABIS COLLECTIVES. I TRUST YOU HAVE ALL HAD AN
- 13 OPPORTUNITY TO REVIEWED THE LETTER THAT I SUBMITTED TO YOUR
- 14 OFFICES ON WEDNESDAY OF LAST WEEK AND THE REPORT THAT I
- 15 DELIVERED ON THURSDAY. THAT REPORT SHOWS THAT OUR EXPERIENCE
- 16 AND OUR RESEARCH DEMONSTRATE THAT SENSIBLE REGULATIONS FOR
- 17 MEDICAL CANNABIS COLLECTIVES REDUCE CRIME AND REDUCE
- 18 COMPLAINTS, I UNDERSTAND THERE ARE PUBLIC SAFETY CONCERNS, AND
- 19 THE WAY TO DEAL WITH THOSE IS WITH REGULATION AND NOT WITH A
- 20 BAN THAT PUSHES BACK THIS ACTIVITY INTO THE SHADOWS AND
- 21 REVERSES THE COUNTY'S HISTORIC SUPPORT FOR MEDICAL CANNABIS.
- 22 MANY OF YOU, IN FACT I BELIEVE ALL BUT ONE OF YOU VOTED TO
- 23 SUPPORT THE MEDICAL CANNABIS ORDINANCE IN 2006. I HOPE YOU'LL
- 24 STAND BY THAT AND REMEMBER THAT THERE IS NO URGENCY TODAY. THE
- 25 COUNTY IS NOT BEING OVERRUN. AND WHERE THERE ARE PROBLEMS WITH



- 1 UNLICENSED AND UNPERMITTED COLLECTIVES, THAT CAN BE DEALT WITH
- 2 WITH ENFORCEMENT. SO PLEASE DON'T RUSH TODAY. TAKE SOME TIME
- 3 AND WORK THIS OUT SO THAT WE DON'T NEED A BAN IN LOS ANGELES
- 4 COUNTY. THANK YOU.

5

6 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU, SIR. MISS ZAVALA?

- 8 VICTORIA ZAVALA: GOOD MORNING, BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. AGAIN
- 9 THANK YOU FOR THIS TIME. MY NAME IS VICTORIA ZAVALA. I'M A 37-
- 10 YEAR-OLD LATIN WOMAN THAT RESIDES IN THE CITY OF QUARTZ HILL
- 11 IN AN UNINCORPORATED AREA. I FIRST RESIDED IN ANTELOPE VALLEY
- 12 IN 2005. I BECAME A MEDICAL MARIJUANA PATIENT IN 2007. SOON I
- 13 BEGAN TO GO AND ADVOCATE AND I ACTUALLY GRADUATED FROM
- 14 OAKSTERDAM UNIVERSITY IN 2008. THEREAFTER IN 2009 I FORMED A
- 15 NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION THAT'S CALLED ALTERNATIVE HEALING
- 16 COLLECTIVE AND WELLNESS CENTER. WITH THAT IN THAT AREA, WHEN I
- 17 WAS A FORMER COUNCIL CANDIDATE IN APRIL, I FOUND OUT LATER
- 18 THAT I ACTUALLY LIVE IN AN UNINCORPORATED AREA OF OUARTZ HILL.
- 19 SO I'M ASKING YOU TODAY, I'M BEGGING YOU, I'M URGING YOU, WE
- 20 CANNOT HAVE THIS BAN RIGHT NOW IN THE ANTELOPE VALLEY. LOOK AT
- 21 OUR AREA. LOOK AT THAT MAP. ALL THAT'S WHITE IS
- 22 UNINCORPORATED. THERE'S ONLY TWO CITIES, WHICH IS LANCASTER
- 23 AND PALMDALE. THEY HAVE AN ORDINANCE AND THEY HAVE A
- 24 MORATORIUM. THESE ARE THE THINGS WE NEED TO FOCUS ON. THIS IS
- 25 OUR LOCAL HOSPITAL AND OUR HEALTHCARE. THESE ARE TWO



- 1 CORRECTIONAL OFFICERS ASLEEP WITH AN INMATE. YOU KNOW, SAFE
- 2 ACCESS WAS BROUGHT UPON 215 S.B.420 IN ATTORNEY GENERAL
- 3 GUIDELINES. I'M ASKING YOU PLEASE WE CAN'T HAVE THIS BAN RIGHT
- 4 NOW. WORK WITH ME, ANTONOVICH. PLEASE, WE NEED SAFE ACCESS IN
- 5 OUR AREA.

6

7 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU SO MUCH. MISS GENAMA?

- 9 KITSHWA GENAMA: GENAMA, CORRECT. GOOD MORNING CHAIRWOMAN AND
- 10 GOOD MORNING BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS KITSHWA GENAMA.
- 11 I WAS ACTUALLY BORN AND RAISED IN LOS ANGELES AND CURRENTLY
- 12 RESIDE IN SAN FRANCISCO BUT WILL BE RELOCATING IN THE NEXT
- 13 COUPLE OF MONTHS. I ALSO AM A REPRESENTATIVE OF ICANN HEALTH
- 14 CENTER, WHO IS A NONPROFIT CORPORATION WHO HAS APPLIED FOR A
- 15 CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION THAT WAS
- 16 SUBMITTED A COUPLE OF MONTHS AGO AND THAT WAS FULLY ACCEPTED.
- 17 I'M HERE ASKING ALSO THAT YOU DO NOT BAN MEDICAL MARIJUANA,
- 18 THAT YOU INSTEAD CONSIDER STRENGTHENING THE ORDINANCE THAT WAS
- 19 IN PLACE AS OF 2006 AND ALSO PUTTING SAFETY PROVISIONS, EXCUSE
- 20 ME, AND THINGS IN PLACE THAT WOULD ALLOW SAFE ACCESS TO
- 21 MEDICINE TO ALL THE PEOPLE THAT LIVE IN THESE UNINCORPORATED
- 22 AREAS. I'M ALSO URGING YOU AND ASKING YOU TO CONSIDER IF THE
- 23 BOARD DOES DECIDE TODAY TO BAN MEDICAL MARIJUANA, THAT YOU DO
- 24 CONSIDER APPLICANTS THAT HAVE PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED AND YOU
- 25 ALLOW THEM TO BE AN EXAMPLE OF AN EXEMPLARY MODEL. EXCUSE ME.



1

2 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU.

3

4 KITSHWA GENAMA: THANK YOU.

5

- 6 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: WE HAVE MICHAEL LEVITT, DEGE COUTEE?
- 7 PLEASE JOIN US. SUSAN O'LEARY AND DARYL JAMES. PLEASE PROCEED.

8

- 9 MICHAEL LEVITT: HI. SUPERVISORS, I'M MICHAEL LEVITT. I'M A 55-
- 10 YEAR-OLD RESIDENT OF LOS ANGELES. AND ACTUALLY I'M A NATIVE
- 11 HERE. I WANT TO THANK YOU TODAY FOR ALLOWING ME TO SPEAK. I'D
- 12 FIRST LIKE TO TELL YOU THAT THE BAN DOESN'T MAKE SENSE. YOU'RE
- 13 GOING AGAINST WHAT THE PEOPLE HERE IN CALIFORNIA VOTED FOR. IT
- 14 WAS A MAJORITY. WE SAID WE WANTED TO USE IT FOR MEDICINE. IT
- 15 DOESN'T MAKE SENSE TO TAKE AND MAKE SICK PEOPLE TRAVEL
- 16 UNNECESSARY DISTANCES TO GET THEIR CANNABIS. IT'S LEGAL. THEY
- 17 SHOULDN'T HAVE TO DO THAT. EVEN IF YOU WANT TO TAKE A PASS ON
- 18 MAKING REVENUES THAT YOU CAN COLLECT, YOUR NEIGHBORS WILL BE
- 19 COLLECTING IT ACROSS THE STREET IN REGULAR LOS ANGELES CITIES.
- 20 SO YOU MIGHT AS WELL CONSIDER IT THAT WAY, IF NOT FOR ANY
- 21 OTHER REASON. BUT BASICALLY IT WOULD MAKE MORE SENSE, TO ME
- 22 AND PROBABLY MOST PEOPLE, TO REGULATE IT. THIS WAY YOU HAVE
- 23 SOME CONTROL OVER WHAT'S GOING ON. THANK YOU. DO NOT CONSIDER
- 24 THIS BAN.



1 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU. MISS COUTEE?

2

- 3 DEGE COUTEE: YES, DEGE COUTEE, CENTRAL HOLLYWOOD. THANK YOU,
- 4 SUPERVISORS, FOR TAKING THE TIME TO HEAR THIS ISSUE TODAY. I
- 5 WOULD, AS WELL, LIKE TO GO BACK TO THE REVEREND'S WORDS THIS
- 6 MORNING TALKING ABOUT STIRRING US TO COMPASSION. OVER THE LAST
- 7 FEW MONTHS, YOU'VE HEARD FROM WELL OVER A DOZEN EXPERTS IN THE
- 8 FIELD OF PROVIDING MEDICAL CANNABIS PATIENT CARE AND I HOPE
- 9 THAT YOU'VE LOOKED OVER THE TESTIMONY AND THE BACKGROUND
- 10 INFORMATION. IT'S ALL DOCUMENTED FOR YOU. I HOPE THAT WHAT
- 11 YOU'VE REALIZED IS THAT STRICT REGULATIONS ARE BETTER THAN A
- 12 BAN. AND A BAN IS NOT GOING TO HELP STIR COMPASSION IN OUR
- 13 COMMUNITY. I DIRECT A COUPLE NONPROFIT ORGANIZATIONS. I'M
- 14 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF A 501(C)(3) THAT PROVIDES EDUCATION TO
- 15 MEDICAL CANNABIS PATIENTS THROUGHOUT CALIFORNIA. AND I'M ALSO
- 16 A DIRECTOR OF A POLITICAL ACTION COMMITTEE, AND WE WORKED HARD
- 17 ON THE RECENT ELECTION. AND WHAT I'VE SEEN IS THAT PATIENTS
- 18 ARE BECOMING MORE EDUCATED AND POLITICALLY AWARE. AND I HOPE
- 19 THIS ISN'T TURNING INTO POLITICAL RETALIATION ON THE HIGH
- 20 COUNTY LEVEL AGAINST THE PATIENTS, AND I HOPE YOU'LL OPPOSE
- 21 THE BAN.

22

- 23 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU. WE WANT TO CALL UP RICHARD
- 24 EASTMAN AS WELL AS ARNOLD SACHS. MISS O'LEARY?



- 1 SUSAN O'LEARY: GOOD MORNING, MADAM CHAIRPERSON AND MEMBERS OF
- 2 THE BOARD. I'M SUSAN O'LEARY. I'M A MEDICAL CANNABIS PATIENT.
- 3 AND I'M URGING YOU. I OPPOSE THE PROPOSED MEDICAL MARIJUANA
- 4 BAN. THERE'S NO EVIDENCE THAT A WELL-RUN DISPENSING COLLECTIVE
- 5 LEADS TO CRIME AND I THINK IT'S UNFAIR TO STIGMATIZE LEGAL
- 6 PATIENTS BY TREATING THEIR COLLECTIVE LIKE A CRIMINAL OR
- 7 NUISANCE ACTIVITY. THANK YOU.

8

9 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: DARYL JAMES? NO? MR. EASTMAN?

- 11 RICHARD EASTMAN: DISTINGUISHED BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, GLORIA
- 12 MOLINA, MADAM CHAIRMAN AND MIKE ANTONOVICH, THE GUY THAT TRIED
- 13 TO BAN US IN 1996. MY VOICE IS A LITTLE FRAZZLED BECAUSE I WAS
- 14 SPEAKING TO 5,000 PATIENTS DOWN IN ORANGE COUNTY RECENTLY. AND
- 15 I'M A PERSON LIVING WITH AIDS THAT SERVED AS A COMMISSIONER
- 16 FOR FOUR YEARS AND I'M STILL HERE 16 YEARS LATER WITH 650 T
- 17 CELLS AND UNDETECTABLE AND THE MARIJUANA IS MY MEDICINE. YOU
- 18 CAN'T BAN IT, MR. ANTONOVICH, LIKE YOU TRIED TO DO IN 1996
- 19 BEFORE WE EVEN PUT IT ON THE BALLOT. I'M URGING ALL THE
- 20 SUPERVISORS THAT SUPPORTED ALL MY YEARS OF EFFORTS TO FIGHT
- 21 FOR PEOPLE WITH AIDS AND CANCER AND GLAUCOMA AND MULTIPLE
- 22 SCLEROSIS IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES AND THIS ENTIRE COUNTRY
- 23 TO UPHOLD THE LAW OF THE LAND. AND BARACK OBAMA, OUR PRESIDENT
- 24 EVEN AGREES WITH ME. AND WE HAVE 15 STATES. DON'T YOU GET THE
- 25 MESSAGE, MIKE ANTONOVICH? I'M LOSING MY VOICE BECAUSE, YOU



- 1 KNOW, YOU IRRITATE ME. AND IF I WAS IN YOUR DISTRICT WOULD
- 2 VOTE YOU OUT. PLEASE DO NOT BAN MEDICAL MARIJUANA SO THAT MY
- 3 FRIENDS CAN LIVE 16 YEARS LIKE I HAVE. THANK YOU.

4

- 5 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: NEXT WE HAVE DAVID SERRANO, HE YOU WOULD
- 6 JOIN US? MR. SACHS?

7

- 8 ARNOLD SACHS: THANK YOU. GOOD MORNING, ARNOLD SACHS. EVEN THE
- 9 INCOMPETENT CITY COUNCIL OF L.A. HAS MANAGED TO CREATE AN
- 10 ORDINANCE THAT ALLOWS FOR THE USE OF MEDICAL MARIJUANA. IN
- 11 SPITE OF TRIPPING ALL OVER THEMSELVES, THEY DID THIS. THE CITY
- 12 OF L.A. WAS 8 MILLION PEOPLE? THE UNINCORPORATED AREA OF THE
- 13 COUNTY THAT YOU HAVE SO DILIGENTLY BANNED PLASTIC BAGS FROM
- 14 STARTING NEXT YEAR, ENCOMPASSES HOW MUCH OF THE POPULATION?
- 15 YOU CAN'T SEEM TO COME UP WITH AN ORDINANCE THAT WOULD REFLECT
- 16 SOME OF THE GOOD THAT CAME FROM THE CITY OF L.A.'S ORDINANCE
- 17 THAT WOULD SERVE THE COUNTY. YOU CAN'T WORK IN CONJUNCTION
- 18 WITH THE LARGEST CITY, THE SECOND LARGEST CITY IN THE COUNTRY
- 19 THAT HAS ALLOWED FOR THE USE, ALTHOUGH RESTRICTED, OF MEDICAL
- 20 MARIJUANA. I THINK THAT SPEAKER EARLIER WAS WRONG. YOU MAY NOT
- 21 BE SMOKING MARIJUANA BUT YOU'RE DEFINITELY SMOKING SOMETHING.

22

23 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: MR. SERRANO?



- 1 DAVID SERRANO: YES. I JUST WANTED TO MAKE A COMMENT. I
- 2 UNDERSTAND THAT THERE'S DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE FEDERAL LAWS
- 3 AND STATE LAWS CONCERNING MEDICINAL MARIJUANA. SIMULTANEOUSLY,
- 4 I THINK IF THOSE ISSUES CAN BE WORKED OUT BETWEEN FEDERAL AND
- 5 STATE. I THINK THE STATE SHOULD BE THE ONES SELLING THE
- 6 MARIJUANA AND MAKING ALL THE MONEY FROM IT. WHY, THIS STATE IS
- 7 DESPERATE FOR MONEY. IF THE STATE COULD ACTUALLY REGULATE IT
- 8 AND BE THE ONES SELLING IT, THEN YOU WON'T HAVE ANY PROBLEMS
- 9 WITH, YOU KNOW -- YOU THINK PEOPLE DOING IT ILLEGALLY OR
- 10 PROBLEMS WITHIN THE MEDICAL DISPENSARIES =, LET THE STATE SELL
- 11 IT. THE STATE SHOULD BE SELLING THE MEDICINAL MARIJUANA AND
- 12 MAKING ALL THE MONEY FROM IT. AND THEN THEY CAN USE THAT MONEY
- 13 TO REINVEST IT IN THE SCHOOLS. THEY CAN USE THAT MONEY AND USE
- 14 IT FOR SOMETHING GOOD. WHY DO YOU WANT US IT-- WHY DOES
- 15 INDIVIDUAL MEDICINAL MARIJUANA DISPENSARIES, THEY'RE MAKING
- 16 ALL THE MONEY, GIVING YOU A LITTLE BIT OF CHUMP CHANGE FOR TAX
- 17 WHEN THE STATE COULD MAKE ALL THE MONEY. THE STATE SHOULD BE
- 18 SELLING THE MEDICINAL MARIJUANA. THERE YOU GO.

20 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU. THAT COMPLETES OUR SPEAKERS ON

- 21 THIS ITEM. SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY, DID YOU HAVE A MOTION ON
- 22 THIS ITEM?

19

23

24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: MADAM CHAIR, I HAVE TWO COMMENTS I WANT TO

25 MAKE. ONE, I JUST WANT TO REPRISE WHAT I SAID LAST TIME THIS



- 1 CAME UP, WHICH -- AND I KNOW THERE'S NO SUPPORT FOR THAT
- 2 APPARENTLY HERE TODAY AND THAT IS THAT A COMPLETE BAN IS NOT
- 3 GOING TO ACHIEVE THE OBJECTIVES THAT ALL OF US WANT TO ACHIEVE
- 4 WITH SOME OF THESE OUT-OF-CONTROL ILLEGAL DISPENSARIES WHICH
- 5 HAVE POPPED UP IN VARIOUS UNINCORPORATED AREAS, ALSO IN
- 6 INCORPORATED AREAS, BUT WE DON'T HAVE ANY JURISDICTION OVER
- 7 THEM. IT'S THE ILLEGAL ONES, THE ONES THAT DIDN'T COME IN FOR
- 8 PERMITS THAT ARE CREATING A LOT OF THE PROBLEMS, ALMOST ALL OF
- 9 THE PROBLEMS IF I RECALL THE TESTIMONY FROM A FEW WEEKS AGO.
- 10 IT'S THE ONES THAT COME IN FOR PERMITS THAT WE HAVE SOME
- 11 CONSIDERABLE LEVERAGE OVER, AND THAT IS WE CAN PULL THEIR
- 12 PERMITS IF THEY COME IN AND IF THEY DON'T COMPLY WITH THE
- 13 TERMS OF THEIR PERMITS. I WOULD JUST SAY THAT I DON'T KNOW
- 14 ABOUT ANYBODY ELSE, BUT I DO KNOW QUITE A NUMBER OF PEOPLE,
- 15 CLOSE PERSONAL FRIENDS OF MINE OVER THE YEARS, OVER THE LAST
- 16 30 YEARS, WHO HAVE HAD SERIOUS CANCER, IN SOME CASES TERMINAL
- 17 CANCER FOR WHOM MEDICAL MARIJUANA WAS THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN
- 18 SOME KIND OF A SEMBLANCE OF A OUALITY OF LIFE IN THEIR FINAL
- 19 DAYS AND MONTHS AND THOSE WHO WEREN'T. THIS IS NOT SOME KIND
- 20 OF A SCHEME OR A SCAM. IT'S NOT SOME KIND OF A JOKE. THIS
- 21 ACTUALLY HAS A POSITIVE IMPACT ON REAL PEOPLE WHO HAVE REAL
- 22 DISEASES THAT CAN BE MITIGATED IN SOME CASES WITH MEDICAL
- 23 MARIJUANA. AND THAT'S WHY MEDICAL MARIJUANA IS LEGAL IN THIS
- 24 STATE. UNFORTUNATELY, PEOPLE WHO HAVE PREYED ON THIS MEDICAL
- 25 MARIJUANA INDUSTRY, TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF IT, HAVE MADE IT



- 1 IMPOSSIBLE, BOTH POLITICALLY AND LOGICALLY, FOR A LOT OF
- 2 PUBLIC OFFICIALS TO CONTINUE TO SUPPORT IT. AND THAT'S
- 3 UNFORTUNATE. AND IT'S NOT THESE FOLKS' FAULT. THEY'RE
- 4 RESPONDING AS THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES WAS RESPONDING LAST
- 5 WEEK, TO ISSUES THAT ARE CONCERNING ALL KINDS OF
- 6 NEIGHBORHOODS, INCLUDING MY OWN WHERE THERE'S BEEN A
- 7 PROLIFERATION. BUT I'M NOT PREPARED TO VOTE AGAINST -- I'M
- 8 SORRY. I'M NOT PREPARED TO VOTE FOR A BAN TODAY, AND I'M JUST
- 9 GOING TO MAKE THAT COMMENT. BUT I DO BELIEVE, NOW I'LL SEGUE
- 10 TO THE OTHER POINT I WANTED TO MAKE, THAT I THINK WE CAN ALL
- 11 AGREE THAT THE ONES THAT ARE -- THE MEDICAL MARIJUANA
- 12 DISPENSARIES OR MARIJUANA DISPENSARIES THAT HAVE POPPED UP
- 13 ILLEGALLY NEED TO BE SHUT DOWN AND NEED TO BE SHUT DOWN AS
- 14 QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE. AND I DON'T CARE WHETHER YOU'RE PRO
- 15 MEDICAL MARIJUANA OR ANTI-MEDICAL MARIJUANA. WE PUT TOGETHER A
- 16 SET OF RULES AND IF YOU DON'T LIVE BY THOSE RULES YOU DON'T
- 17 DESERVE TO DO BUSINESS IN THIS COUNTY OR ANYWHERE ELSE. SO I
- 18 HAVE THE FOLLOWING MOTION I WANT TO READ IN, MADAM CHAIR, AS
- 19 AN AMENDMENT TO ITEM 12. "IN 1996, CALIFORNIA VOTERS APPROVED
- 20 THE COMPASSIONATE USE ACT OF 2006 THAT ALLOWED CANCER
- 21 PATIENTS, AIDS PATIENTS AND OTHERS WITH THE TRUE MEDICAL NEED
- 22 TO ALLEVIATE PAIN AND SUFFERING TO OBTAIN AND USE MEDICAL
- 23 MARIJUANA FOR THAT PURPOSE. IN 2004, THE STATE LEGISLATURE
- 24 ENACTED IMPLEMENTING LEGISLATION FOR THIS POPULAR INITIATIVE.
- 25 AND IN 2006, THIS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS FOLLOWED SUIT BY



- 1 SETTING UP A RIGOROUS PERMITTING PROCESS TO AUTHORIZE
- 2 APPROPRIATELY LICENSED DISTRIBUTORS OF MEDICAL MARIJUANA TO
- 3 OPERATE IN UNINCORPORATED LOS ANGELES COUNTY. UNFORTUNATELY, A
- 4 NUMBER OF BAD ACTORS HAVE CHOSEN TO VIOLATE COUNTY LAW AND
- 5 OPERATE MARIJUANA DISPENSARIES WITHOUT GOING THROUGH THE
- 6 APPROPRIATE REVIEW MECHANISMS. COUNTY ENFORCEMENT TEAMS HAVE
- 7 WORKED TO SHUT DOWN THESE OPERATORS BUT THEIR EFFORTS HAVE
- 8 OFTEN BEEN TOO SLOW FOR THE COMMUNITIES WE SERVE. EVEN WORSE,
- 9 SOME CITIES WITHIN THE COUNTY HAVE UTTERLY FAILED TO
- 10 APPROPRIATELY CONTROL ILLEGAL DISPENSARIES OPERATING IN THEIR
- 11 JURISDICTIONS. THE LAWBREAKERS WHO OPERATE THESE ILLEGAL
- 12 FACILITIES HAVE SEVERELY HARMED OUR COMMUNITIES AND GIVEN A
- 13 BAD NAME TO THOSE WHO SEEK TO COMPLY WITH THE TRUE INTENT OF
- 14 THE COMPASSIONATE USE ACT. SEPARATE FROM THE PROPOSED
- 15 ORDINANCE THAT IS BEFORE US, THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS SHOULD
- 16 TAKE DECISIVE ACTION TO AGGRESSIVELY SHUT DOWN THE ILLEGAL
- 17 OPERATORS. THIS BOARD CAN DO THIS BY DIRECTING STAFF TO
- 18 UTILIZE THE TOUGHEST AVAILABLE ENFORCEMENT MECHANISMS AGAINST
- 19 ILLEGAL OPERATORS, INCLUDING IMPOSING FINES OF UP TO \$1,000
- 20 PER DAY AGAINST THE OPERATORS AND/OR LANDLORDS OF ILLEGAL
- 21 MARIJUANA DISPENSARIES. I THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF
- 22 SUPERVISORS, NUMBER ONE, DIRECT REGIONAL PLANNING, COUNTY
- 23 COUNSEL AND OTHER APPROPRIATE DEPARTMENTS TO PROACTIVELY
- 24 IMPLEMENT AT THE SOONEST PRACTICABLE TIME BUT WITHIN 45 DAYS
- 25 THE COUNTY'S TITLE 1 ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES WHICH COULD ADD



- 1 FINES OF UP TO \$1,000 PER DAY LEVIED AGAINST ILLEGAL OPERATORS
- 2 OR THE LANDLORDS WHO SUPPORT THEM, WHICH FINES COULD START
- 3 FROM THE FIRST DAY THAT A ZONING INSPECTION FIRST DOCUMENTS
- 4 ILLEGAL DISPENSARY ACTIVITY AND COULD CONTINUE UNTIL THE
- 5 ILLEGAL OPERATION CLOSES OR RECEIVES THE NECESSARY PERMITS AND
- 6 WHICH FINES WOULD BE IN ADDITION TO THE COUNTY'S EXISTING
- 7 ENFORCEMENT TOOLS. AND NUMBER TWO, DIRECT REGIONAL PLANNING TO
- 8 REPORT BACK TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS IN 60 DAYS AND AGAIN
- 9 IN 120 DAYS THEREAFTER REGARDING, A, THE NUMBER OF ILLEGAL
- 10 DISPENSARIES OPERATING IN THE UNINCORPORATED COUNTY AREAS, B,
- 11 AN ENUMERATION OF ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS TAKEN AGAINST THOSE
- 12 OPERATORS. AND C, THE NUMBER OF ILLEGAL OPERATORS THAT HAVE
- 13 CLOSED FOLLOWING COUNTY ENFORCEMENT OPERATIONS."

14

- 15 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. LET ME UNDERSTAND YOURS
- 16 CORRECTLY. CAN WE DO THE \$1,000 FINES?

17

- 18 ANDREA ORDIN: IT'S PRESENTLY IN THE CODE NOW. SO, YES, WE DO
- 19 HAVE \$1,000 -- WE HAVE AUTHORITY UNDER TITLE 1.

20

21 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: SO IT'S ALREADY IN THERE NOW?

22

23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IT'S IN THE CODE.

24

25 ANDREA ORDIN: YES.



1
2 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: SO WHY AREN'T THEY FINING THEM?

4 ANDREA ORDIN: I THINK WE WERE CLOSING DOWN NOW A SUBSTANTIAL

5 NUMBER.

6

3

- 7 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: I UNDERSTAND. BUT WHY ARE WE HAVING TO
- 8 HAVE A REPORT ON THE FINE NOW? IF THEY'RE ALREADY DOING IT?

9

- 10 ANDREA ORDIN: I'M NOT SURE ALL OF THE CASES USED THE FINE
- 11 PROVISION IN THE PAST.

12

- 13 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: WHY DON'T THEY USE IT? THEY'RE SUPPOSED TO
- 14 USE IT.

15

16 SUP. KNABE: WHAT I'M BEING TOLD, THE PROBLEM WITH THAT --

17

- 18 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: WHAT'S THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THIS ONE?
- 19 THAT'S WHAT I WANT TO UNDERSTAND.

20

21 SUP. KNABE: DOES THIS MAKE IT QUICKER?

- 23 ANDREA ORDIN: I THINK WHAT THE HOPE WAS TO ENCOURAGE THE USE
- 24 OF THE FINE INSOFAR AS IT WOULD INCREASE THE DETERRENT EFFECT.
- 25 AS YOU KNOW, WE HAVE EXPEDITED THE CLOSURE OF THESE MEDICAL



- 1 MARIJUANA PHARMACIES SINCE YOUR RECENT BOARD MEMOS. SO WE HAVE
- 2 INDEED, AS YOU REMEMBER IN YOUR SEPTEMBER 22 LETTER, WE HAD A
- 3 LIST OF ALL OF THE CASES THAT HAVE BEEN BROUGHT.

4

- 5 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: I UNDERSTAND. BUT I DON'T UNDERSTAND THE
- 6 DIFFERENCE HERE. WHAT'S THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THIS 1,000 AND
- 7 THE 1,000 WE'RE DOING NOW THAT ISN'T COLLECTED?

8

9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I THINK IF I CAN TAKE A SHOT AT THIS.

10

- 11 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: BECAUSE I'D LIKE TO SEE 1,000 -- WHAT'S
- 12 WORST ABOUT IT IS THEY CAN OPEN UP AND WE HAVE TO GO THROUGH
- 13 SOME DUE PROCESS TO CLOSE THEM UP. AND I THINK THAT'S UNFAIR.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I THINK IF I CAN HELP WITH THIS, I JUST
- 16 CHECKED WITH MY STAFF TO BE SURE I AM RIGHT ABOUT THIS. I
- 17 THINK THE ONE IS THE CRIMINAL CODE AND THIS IS THE CIVIL CODE.
- 18 AND THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT REALLY NEEDS, FOR WHATEVER REASON,
- 19 HAS CHOSEN TO GO THE OTHER ROUTE AND NOT THIS ROUTE. AND I'M
- 20 NOT GOING TO SECOND GUESS YOU. BUT I THINK NOW THIS IS A
- 21 QUICKER WAY TO GET IT DONE.

22

23 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: DO YOU UNDERSTAND IT TO BE CIVIL NOW?



- 1 ANDREA ORDIN: I THINK IT COMES OUT OF A SPECIFIC FUND, AND
- 2 MAYBE MR. HAFETZ HERE CAN EXPLAIN THAT, WHY THEY HAVE NOT BEEN
- 3 USING THIS ONE IN THE PAST?

4

- 5 SPEAKER: EXCUSE ME, I DIDN'T UNDERSTAND. IF IT'S WHY WE
- 6 HAVEN'T BEEN, WE'RE RELYING ON THE OTHER EFFORTS AND JUST HAVE
- 7 THE NOT USED THE FINING MECHANISM, COLLECTING IT AND WHATNOT
- 8 HAS BEEN A LITTLE BIT OF A BURDEN. BUT WE'VE GONE OTHER ROUTES
- 9 AS SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY--

10

- 11 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: WHY DID YOU MAKE A DECISION NOT TO DO
- 12 THAT?

13

- 14 SPEAKER: IT'S, I THINK, AN ARTIFACT OF HISTORY. BUT WE NOW
- 15 HAVE CLEAR DIRECTION.

16

- 17 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: I DON'T KNOW THAT YOU'RE NOT GOING TO TURN
- 18 AROUND AND NOT DO IT. WHY DON'T YOU JUST DO IT? I MEAN WE HAVE
- 19 TO TELL YOU TO DO IT WHEN IN FACT YOU HAVE ALL THIS ARSENAL OF
- 20 TOOLS, YET YOU'VE BEEN SITTING AROUND NOT DOING IT?

21

22 SPEAKER: WE'VE BEEN RELYING ON OTHER MECHANISMS.

23

24 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: WHAT?



SPEAKER: WE HAVE BEEN RELYING ON OTHER MECHANISMS.

2

3 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: WHAT OTHER MECHANISMS ARE THERE?

4

- 5 SPEAKER: WE'VE BEEN WORKING WITH THE SHERIFF AND THE CITY
- 6 ATTORNEY TO SHUT THESE --

7

- 8 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: HOW LONG DOES THAT MECHANISM TAKE? I'M
- 9 GOING TO SAY THERE'S TWO BAD ACTORS. THERE'S THE LOUSY
- 10 MARIJUANA SUPPLIERS THAT ARE ILLEGALLY SETTING THEM UP OR
- 11 MAKING ALL OF THE MEDICAL MARIJUANA LOOK BAD, AND NUMBER ONE.
- 12 AND YOU ALL. BECAUSE IF YOU WOULD ENFORCE THE RULES, THESE
- 13 FOLKS, \$1,000 A DAY, THEY PROBABLY WOULDN'T BE OUT THERE
- 14 OPERATING ILLEGALLY. SO I WANT TO UNDERSTAND THAT UNDER
- 15 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY'S MOTION, THAT WE'RE GOING GET TO GOAL
- 16 HERE. ON THE VERY FIRST DAY THAT YOU FIND THEM ILLEGALLY, CAN
- 17 YOU CHARGE THEM 1,000 BUCKS A DAY?

18

19 SPEAKER: I BELIEVE SO. BUT I'LL MAKE SURE.

20

21 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: WELL WAIT A MINUTE. LET'S NOT BELIEVE IT.

22

23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YES.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THE LAWYERS ARE SAYING YES BUT THE
- 2 ENFORCERS ARE SAYING I DON'T KNOW.

3

4 SPEAKER: NO, IF COUNTY COUNSEL IS CLEAR THAT WE CAN, WE WILL.

5

6 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: WELL WHO TOLD YOU NOT TO BEFORE?

7

- 8 SPEAKER: IT WAS AGAIN, A HISTORICAL ARTIFACT. WE WORKED UNDER
- 9 OTHER MECHANISMS, BUT WE WILL GO THIS ROUTE.

10

- 11 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: WHAT I SUGGEST IS WHY DON'T YOU USE
- 12 EVERYTHING YOU GOT TO KICK THEM THE HELL OUT OF THE
- 13 UNINCORPORATED AREAS? THAT'S WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE. LET
- 14 THE LEGAL ONES OPERATE. I'M GOING TO SUPPORT THE BAN RIGHT NOW
- 15 BECAUSE YOU GUYS AREN'T ASSISTING US IN GETTING RID OF THE
- 16 ILLEGAL OPERATORS. ALL OF THE RESIDENTS ARE COMPLAINING. AND
- 17 IN MY AREA, IT'S REALLY BAD.

18

19 **SPEAKER:** YES.

20

21 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: YES.

22

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I THINK THEY'RE PREPARED TO APPLY THE
- 24 DIRECTIVE OF THIS MOTION.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: I KNOW. AND I'M GOING TO GO BACK AND NEXT
- 2 WEEK --

3

4 SPEAKER: MADAM CHAIR, WE WILL, THE ANSWER IS ABSOLUTELY YES.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I THINK WE SHOULD APPROVE THIS MOTION AND
- 7 SET THAT OBJECTIVE WITH THESE TOOLS. I THINK IT WILL EXPEDITE
- 8 THE ENFORCEMENT PROCESS AND IT CARRIES WITH IT A LOT MORE
- 9 WEIGHT.

10

- 11 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: WHY ARE YOU GIVING THEM SO MUCH TIME? 60
- 12 DAYS TO GO LOOK FOR ALL THE INCORPORATED, ALL OF THE ILLEGAL
- 13 ONES? I THINK THAT'S A PRETTY LIST TO GET.

14

- 15 ANDREA ORDIN: I THINK IT'S A VERY SHORT LIST, TOO, NOW,
- 16 BECAUSE OF THE TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDERS.

17

18 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: LET'S GIVE THEM 10 DAYS.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT IS A REASONABLE? I DON'T WANT TO SET A
- 21 GOAL THAT YOU'RE NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO MEET.

22

23 SPEAKER: WE'LL START WORKING ON IT TOMORROW.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YEAH, BUT HOW MUCH TIME DO YOU NEED TO GO
- 2 THROUGH THE WHOLE INVENTORY OF ILLEGAL ACTORS OUT THERE?

3

4 ANDREA ORDIN: I THINK A WEEK TO TWO WEEKS. IT IS A SHORT LIST.

5

- 6 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: A COUPLE OF DAYS DRIVE, YOU WOULD FIND
- 7 THEM ALL.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHY DON'T WE GIVE YOU UNTIL DECEMBER 14TH,
- 10 THREE WEEKS TO COME BACK WITH A REPORT TO US. BUT IN THE
- 11 MEANTIME, YOU START IMMEDIATELY.

12

13 SPEAKER: WE'LL START IMMEDIATELY, YES SIR.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL RIGHT. SO I WOULD MODIFY MY MOTION IN
- 16 THAT WAY.

17

- 18 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. BUT INITIALLY, YOU'RE DOING IT
- 19 SEPARATE AND APART. SO THE FIRST PORTION OF THIS IS TO APPROVE
- 20 THE BAN. RIGHT?

21

22 **SUP. ANTONOVICH:** SO MOVED.

- 24 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: SO MOVED BY SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH.
- 25 SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR KNABE. IS THERE ANY OBJECTION?



2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YES, I OBJECT. I OBJECT.

3

1

- 4 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ANY OTHER OBJECTION? IF NOT, SO ORDERED ON
- 5 THAT. ON THE SECOND PORTION OF IT.

6

7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I'LL MOVE IT.

8

- 9 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THE ENFORCEMENT MECHANISM FOR THE ILLEGAL
- 10 MEDICAL MARIJUANA DISPENSARIES. MOVED BY SUPERVISOR
- 11 YAROSLAVSKY. SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR KNABE. IF THERE IS NO
- 12 OBJECTION? SO ORDERED AS AMENDED. ALL RIGHT. THAT WAS ITEM NO.
- 13 12. WE'VE ALREADY DONE ITEM NO. 17. ITEM 20?

14

- 15 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: OKAY. WE'VE COMPLETED ALL OF THE PUBLIC
- 16 HEARINGS. WE'RE GOING TO BEGIN WITH ITEM S-1, WHICH WAS
- 17 SCHEDULED TO BE AT ELEVEN, I APOLOGIZE. I WANTED TO GET
- 18 THROUGH THESE OTHER ITEMS. SO ON S-1? DO YOU WANT TO HAVE A
- 19 REPORT ON THIS, SUPERVISOR? SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS.

- 21 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MADAM CHAIR, AND TO
- 22 MY COLLEAGUES. THIS IS A CONTINUATION OF THE ITEM THAT WAS
- 23 BROUGHT FORTH ON OCTOBER 19, AT WHICH TIME THE BOARD APPROVED
- 24 A SIGNIFICANT PORTION OF THE CONSTRUCTION CAREERS POLICY AND
- 25 MOVED THE BALANCE OF IT, SPECIFICALLY THE PROJECT LABOR



- 1 AGREEMENT, PIECES OF THAT OVERALL EFFORT TO THE STAFF, NAMELY
- 2 THE PUBLIC WORKS STAFF AS WELL AS THE C.E.O. AND COUNTY
- 3 COUNSEL TO CONTINUE THE REFINEMENT OF WHAT'S IN THE POLICY.
- 4 FOR THE RECORD, I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO REALIZE THAT PART OF
- 5 THE RATIONALE FOR THE CONTINUANCE WAS THE STATED LACK OF
- 6 INFORMATION AT THE TIME. BUT I THINK IT SHOULD BE KNOWN BY THE
- 7 OCTOBER 19 BOARD HEARING THERE HAD BEEN A SERIES OF DOCUMENTS
- 8 COMMUNICATED TO THE RANGE OF INDIVIDUALS, THAT IS THE BOARD,
- 9 THE STAFF AND THE LIKE CHRONICLED FROM THE FIRST OF OCTOBER,
- 10 THEN THE EIGHTH OF OCTOBER, THE THIRTEENTH OF OCTOBER, THE
- 11 FIFTEENTH OF OCTOBER, THE NINETEENTH OF OCTOBER. SO WE HAVE
- 12 SOUGHT TO MAKE SURE AS MUCH INFORMATION WAS AVAILABLE THROUGH
- 13 BOARD LETTERS, BRIEFING OF DEPUTIES AND THE LIKE. THAT HAS
- 14 CONTINUED WITH RESPECT TO THE MATTERS ON NOVEMBER 8 SUBSEQUENT
- 15 TO OUR LAST HEARING AND NOVEMBER 9 WHERE THE DOCUMENT
- 16 FOLLOWING THE INSTRUCTIONS OF THE BOARD ON THE 19TH WAS MADE
- 17 CLEAR BY THE OFFICE OF THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER. I THINK
- 18 WHAT IS ESSENTIALLY BEFORE US NOW IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO MOVE
- 19 FORWARD WITH COMPLETING THE BALANCE OF THE WORK THAT WAS
- 20 STARTED WHEN WE LAST HEARD THE ISSUE. THAT IS TO SAY, TO PUT
- 21 IN PLACE NEGOTIATIONS FOR THE PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT, TO
- 22 BOLSTER THAT WHICH IS BEING PUT FORWARD WITH RESPECT TO THE
- 23 LOCAL HIRING COMPONENT TO FINALLY MAKE SURE THAT THE SMALL
- 24 BUSINESS ENTERPRISE PROGRAM IS ALSO BOLSTERED BY THIS FINAL
- 25 STEP OF THE PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT. SO WE SEEK TO CLARIFY ANY



- 1 QUESTIONS THAT MIGHT NEED TO BE RAISED. THE NOVEMBER 9 BOARD
- 2 LETTER, MADAM CHAIR AND COLLEAGUES, DO THAT QUITE EFFECTIVELY,
- 3 BUT THERE ARE A FEW ITEMS THAT HAVE BEEN RAISED SINCE THAT
- 4 TIME THAT I THINK ARE VERY IMPORTANT TO ADDRESS FIRST ON, THE
- 5 MIXED RESULTS OF P.L.A.S BEING JUST ONE EXAMPLE. BUT I WANT TO
- 6 CALL TO THE BOARD'S ATTENTION THAT THERE IS SUFFICIENT
- 7 EMPIRICAL DATA BY REPUTABLE, AND THAT IS NEUTRAL PARTIES
- 8 RANGING FROM CORNELL UNIVERSITY, HARVARD, U.C.L.A., MICHIGAN,
- 9 UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE, A WHOLE RANGE OF ENTITIES, UTAH,
- 10 RHODE ISLAND, THAT TALK ABOUT THE EFFICACY OF PROJECT LABOR
- 11 AGREEMENTS. AND SO THERE'S A BODY OF LITERATURE ON WHICH WE
- 12 CAN CALL OR TO WHICH WE CAN POINT THAT MAKES THAT CLEAR.
- 13 LOCALLY, IF YOU LOOK AT THE EXPERIENCE, AT ENTITIES SUCH AS
- 14 THE METROPOLITAN WATER DISTRICT, THE RIVERSIDE COMMUNITY
- 15 COLLEGE DISTRICT, THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES ITSELF, THE PORT OF
- 16 LONG BEACH, THE CITY OF L.A.'S REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY, LOS
- 17 ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT, AND, FINALLY THE COMMUNITY
- 18 COLLEGE DISTRICTS HERE IN LOS ANGELES. THERE IS AMPLE DATA TO
- 19 SUPPORT HOW EFFECTIVE THE PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENTS CAN BE TO
- 20 ACCOMPLISH ON TIME AND ON BUDGET PROJECT DELIVERY. IT IS
- 21 IMPORTANT TO MAKE THE POINT THAT THE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE OF
- 22 THE GREATER LOS ANGELES AND ORANGE COUNTY HAS SUPPORTED WHAT
- 23 WE ARE PUTTING FORWARD TODAY. A COUPLE OF ITEMS HAVE BEEN
- 24 RAISED ABOUT THE STATUS OF EMPLOYEE, NONUNION EMPLOYEES AS
- 25 WELL AS NONUNION CONTRACTORS. THE WHOLE NOTION THAT'S BEING



- 1 RAISED HERE, AND STAFF WILL ADDRESS THIS MORE SPECIFICALLY,
- 2 RELATES SPECIFICALLY TO ADVANCING THE NEGOTIATION OF THE
- 3 PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT AND TO ESSENTIALLY REQUIRE THAT TO
- 4 COME BACK TO THE BOARD FOR CONSIDERATION. AND SO THE MOTION
- 5 THAT I WANT TO PUT FORWARD TODAY, MADAM CHAIR AND COLLEAGUES,
- 6 DOES PRECISELY THAT. AND I'LL JUST MOVE TO THE INSTRUCTIONS
- 7 THAT I WOULD HOPE THAT COULD BE ADOPTED BY THE BOARD; THAT IS,
- 8 "TO AUTHORIZE THE C.E.O., COUNTY COUNSEL AND THE DIRECTOR OF
- 9 THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS TO NEGOTIATE WITH
- 10 REPRESENTATIVES OF THE VARIOUS BUILDING TRADES THE TERMS OF A
- 11 PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT FOR THE M.L.K.-M.A.C.C. PROJECT. THE
- 12 FIRST POINT TO BE IS THE AGREEMENT SHALL INCLUDE THE
- 13 APPROPRIATE PROTECTIONS FOR THE COUNTY FOR WORK STOPPAGES,
- 14 LABOR UNAVAILABILITY AND OTHER CIRCUMSTANCES THAT COULD HINDER
- 15 THE DELIVERY, SCHEDULE AND PROJECT BUDGET FOR THE PROJECT.
- 16 SUCH AN AGREEMENT SHALL NOT CONSTITUTE AN OBLIGATION TO CREATE
- 17 QUOTE/UNQUOTE "ALL UNION JOBS" BUT RATHER SHALL PROTECT THE
- 18 INTERESTS OF THE COUNTY WHILE SIMULTANEOUSLY INSURING HIGH
- 19 OUALITY, CAREER-BUILDING EMPLOYMENT FOR TARGETED WORKERS ON
- 20 THE PROJECT. THE SECOND POINT TO BE MADE IS THAT THE C.E.O.,
- 21 THE COUNTY COUNSEL AND THE DIRECTOR OF THE DEPARTMENT OF
- 22 PUBLIC WORKS SHALL SHALL REPORT BACK AT THE NEXT BOARD MEETING
- 23 AND EVERY TWO WEEKS THEREAFTER ON THE STATUS OF NEGOTIATIONS.
- 24 THE FIRST REPORT SHALL INCLUDE THE EXAMINATION OF THE IMPACT
- 25 OF THE PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT ON NONUNION EMPLOYEES AND HOW



- 1 JURISDICTIONAL DISPUTES CAN BE QUICKLY RESOLVED. FINALLY, THE
- 2 AGREEMENT SHALL NOT BE FINALIZED WITHOUT THE BOARD'S APPROVAL.
- 3 THE THRUST OF WHAT THE MOTION DOES IS TO ESSENTIALLY PUT THOSE
- 4 AT WORK TO FIGURE OUT HOW TO MAKE THIS COME TO FRUITION HAVING
- 5 STIPULATED THE CONCERNS AND CALLED OUT SPECIFICALLY THE
- 6 CONCERNS RAISED BY AT LEAST A COUPLE OF THE BOARD MEMBERS HERE
- 7 FOR SPECIAL ATTENTION." WITH THAT, MADAM CHAIR, I WOULD LIKE
- 8 TO MOVE THE ITEM WITH THE HOPE OF THE SECOND AND IF YOU WISH,
- 9 THEN MOVE TO THE BOARD, THE STAFF'S PRESENTATION AND
- 10 ULTIMATELY TESTIMONY. I WOULD SO MOVE.

12 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: STAFF REPORT OR NOT?

14 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: ALL RIGHT. YES. AND THE STAFF REPORT IS

15 APPROPRIATE AT THIS TIME.

11

13

16

17 DAVID HOWARD: GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISORS. I'M DAVID HOWARD WITH

- 18 THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. YOU HAVE IN FRONT OF US THE
- 19 REPORT FROM THE CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICE DATED NOVEMBER 9. ON
- 20 NOVEMBER 10, WE MET WITH YOUR STAFF TO WALK THEM THROUGH THE
- 21 DETAILS OF WHAT'S IN THE REPORT. JUST VERY BRIEFLY, I WANTED
- 22 TO HIGHLIGHT A FEW POINTS THAT WERE MADE IN THE REPORT. AGAIN,
- 23 THE TWO PRIMARY REASONS THAT ARE CITED FOR PROJECT LABOR
- 24 AGREEMENTS, YOU HAVE WHAT'S REFERRED TO IN THE REPORT AS A
- 25 TRADITIONAL VIEW, WHICH IS TO DEAL WITH ISSUES OF STRIKES AND



- 1 WORK STOPPAGES. AND THEN WHAT'S REFERRED TO AS THE MORE
- 2 CONTEMPORARY VIEW IS THAT P.L.A.S ARE HELD UP IN THE INDUSTRY
- 3 AS A VEHICLE BY WHICH TO IMPLEMENT PROGRAMS SUCH AS THE LOCAL
- 4 WORKER HIRING PROGRAM THAT YOUR BOARD APPROVED FOR THE TWO
- 5 MARTIN LUTHER KING PROJECTS. WE'VE IDENTIFIED FOR YOU A LIST
- 6 OF 18 TYPICAL ITEMS THAT ARE ADDRESSED IN P.L.A.S, SOME OF
- 7 WHICH ARE THOSE TRADITIONAL AND CONTEMPORARY VIEWS. BUT IT
- 8 OUTLINES FOR YOU MANY OF THE MORE SUBTLE ISSUES OF LABOR
- 9 RELATIONS WHICH P.L.A.S TRY TO DEAL WITH. ONE OF THEM THAT'S
- 10 VERY IMPORTANT, AND SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS MENTIONED, IS THE
- 11 ISSUE OF JURISDICTION AND USING THE P.L.A. AS A VEHICLE TO
- 12 AVOID DISPUTES BETWEEN THE VARIOUS CRAFTS ON THE PROJECT. ALSO
- 13 IT DEALS WITH THINGS LIKE STANDARDIZATION OF WORK HOURS. AND
- 14 ANOTHER KEY COMPONENT IS MAKING EXPLICITLY CLEAR WHAT IS THE
- 15 SCOPE OF THE WORK THAT'S COVERED UNDER THE P.L.A. AND WHAT IS
- 16 THE SCOPE THAT IS NOT COVERED? SO AGAIN THAT DURING
- 17 CONSTRUCTION, WE DON'T HAVE DISPUTES OVER JURISDICTION THAT
- 18 WOULD CREATE DISRUPTIONS TO THE WORK. WHEN WE WERE HERE
- 19 PREVIOUSLY ADDRESSING YOUR BOARD ON THIS, ONE OF THE ISSUES
- 20 THAT YOU HAD ASKED US TO LOOK AT WAS THE ISSUE OF COST. AND ON
- 21 PAGE 6, WE HAVE OUTLINED FOR YOU A BREAKDOWN OF THE COSTS. WE
- 22 WERE HERE PREVIOUSLY. WE HAD REPORTED THAT WE THOUGHT THE
- 23 COSTS TO NEGOTIATE AND IMPLEMENT COULD BE AS MUCH AS \$1
- 24 MILLION. THROUGH A VARIETY OF DIFFERENT MEANS WE HAVE REVISED
- 25 THAT ESTIMATE DOWNWARD TO A NOT-TO-EXCEED NUMBER OF \$810,000.



- 1 AGAIN, PART OF THE COST IN THAT IS BASED ON AN ESTIMATE OF HOW
- 2 LONG IT WOULD TAKE TO NEGOTIATE THIS. AND DEPENDING IF THAT
- 3 CAN BE DONE MORE RAPIDLY, THEN THAT WOULD RESULT IN A
- 4 REDUCTION IN THOSE COSTS. FURTHER ON WE'VE OUTLINED FOR YOU AS
- 5 SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS POINTED OUT, THERE ARE MANY PUBLISHED
- 6 REPORTS ON THE ISSUES OF P.L.A.S AND THEIR EFFICACY. WHAT
- 7 WE'VE CONCLUDED IS THAT THERE ARE VERY SCHOOLED, LEGITIMATE
- 8 PEOPLE ON BOTH SIDES OF THIS COIN. SO WE HAVE NOT TRIED TO
- 9 GIVE A DEFINITIVE ANSWER BUT HAVE OUTLINED FOR YOU IN THE
- 10 REPORT THE PERSPECTIVES OF THOSE WHO FAVOR PROJECT LABOR
- 11 AGREEMENTS AS WELL AS THOSE WHO DO NOT FAVOR THEM. AGAIN, MOST
- 12 OF THE ADVOCATES WOULD POINT TO THE ISSUES THAT THE SUPERVISOR
- 13 HAD ADDRESSED IN TERMS OF A QUALITY WORKFORCE, STABILIZATION,
- 14 IMPLEMENTATION OF WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS, THOSE SORTS
- 15 OF THINGS. THOSE WHO OPPOSE P.L.A.S WOULD REPORT TO YOUR BOARD
- 16 THAT IT COULD BE AN IMPACT TO COST AND IT COULD BE
- 17 NONCOMPETITIVE. AND AGAIN I EXPECT YOU'LL PROBABLY HEAR
- 18 TESTIMONY ON BOTH SIDES OF THAT THIS MORNING. AND, FINALLY,
- 19 SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY HAD ASKED US SPECIFICALLY TO SPEAK WITH
- 20 THE EXPOSITION LIGHT RAIL CONSTRUCTION AUTHORITY ABOUT WHAT
- 21 THEIR EXPERIENCE IS WITH P.L.A.S. WE'VE IDENTIFIED THAT FOR
- 22 YOU. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT HAS COME UP IN THAT THAT IS NOT A
- 23 PROBLEM FOR US BUT IS JUST A POINT THAT IF WE DECIDE, IF YOUR
- 24 BOARD DECIDES TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE P.L.A., WE NEED TO MAKE
- 25 SURE THAT THE TERMS OF THE P.L.A. DO NOT CONFLICT WITH THE



- 1 TERMS OF THE SMALL BUSINESS OUTREACH PROGRAM THAT YOUR BOARD
- 2 PREVIOUSLY APPROVED. WE THINK THAT THAT CAN BE NEGOTIATED. BUT
- 3 IT WAS JUST RAISED AS AN ISSUE THAT WE WOULD WANT TO BE
- 4 SENSITIVE IN MAKING ANY RECOMMENDATIONS TO YOUR BOARD. SO THAT
- 5 IS THE REPORT THAT WE HAVE FOR YOU THIS MORNING AND WE ARE
- 6 AVAILABLE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS.

7

- 8 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. ANY OTHER QUESTION OR COMMENT?
- 9 IF NOT, WE HAVE ABOUT 25 SPEAKERS.

10

- 11 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: JUST ONE QUESTION FROM THE TOP, MADAM
- 12 CHAIR, IF I MAY.

13

14 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: SURE.

15

- 16 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: WITH RESPECT TO THE WORKFORCE COMPOSITION
- 17 ISSUES, THERE IS A CONCERN THAT HAS BEEN RAISED BY BOARD
- 18 MEMBERS ABOUT THE OUESTION OF UNION VERSUS NONUNION WORKERS
- 19 WHO WOULD POTENTIALLY HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO BE INVOLVED IN
- 20 THIS PROJECT. CAN YOU GIVE A SENSE OF YOUR METHODOLOGY OF THE
- 21 COMPOSITION THAT YOU ANTICIPATE WORKING ON THIS PROJECT AND
- 22 THE DISTRIBUTION OF UNION VERSUS NONUNION, PROPORTIONATELY AND
- 23 IN ACTUAL RAW NUMBERS?



- 1 DAVID HOWARD: SURE. YES, SUPERVISOR. AGAIN, BECAUSE WE HAVE
- 2 NOT SELECTED THE DESIGN BUILDER, WE CAN'T SAY TO THE BOARD
- 3 TODAY EXACTLY WHAT THE COMPOSITION OF THE WORKFORCE WOULD BE.
- 4 BUT IN AN ATTEMPT TO ANSWER THE QUESTION, ON OUR TWO MOST
- 5 RECENT LARGE-SCALE HEALTH PROJECTS, L.A. COUNTY-U.S.C. AND THE
- 6 HARBOR U.C.L.A. SURGERY PROJECT WHICH IS CURRENTLY UNDER
- 7 CONSTRUCTION, IN BOTH OF THOSE PROJECTS WHICH ARE SIMILAR OR
- 8 GREATER IN SCALE THAN THIS, THE WORKFORCE WAS MORE THAN 90
- 9 PERCENT UNION.

10

- 11 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: WHAT WOULD BE THE ACTUAL NUMBERS THEN BE
- 12 IF YOU WERE TO DO THE MATH ON THIS PROJECT THAT WE'RE TALKING
- 13 ABOUT IN TERMS OF QUOTE/UNQUOTE NONUNION EMPLOYEES? HAVE YOU
- 14 FIGURED THAT OUT?

15

- 16 DAVID HOWARD: WE ESTIMATE AT THE PEAK OF CONSTRUCTION, THERE
- 17 MAY BE ROUGHLY 300 WORKERS ON SITE AT A TIME. SO IF 90 PERCENT
- 18 OF THOSE WERE WERE UNION, THERE WOULD BE ABOUT 30 NONUNION OUT
- 19 OF THE TOTAL 300 ROUGHLY.

20

- 21 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: MADAM CHAIR, THAT SATISFIES MY INITIAL
- 22 QUESTION. THANK YOU.

- 24 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU SO MUCH. DID YOU WANT TO LIMIT
- 25 THESE OR SHOULD WE GO WITH THREE MINUTES? ONE MINUTE? ALL



- 1 RIGHT. RICHARD SLAUSON FOLLOWED BY MARIALENA DURAZO, AND THEN
- 2 ERNEST ROBERTS. WOULD YOU PLEASE JOIN US?

- 4 RICHARD SLAUSON: GOOD MORNING, CHAIRWOMAN MOLINA, SUPERVISORS.
- 5 I'M RICHARD SLAUSON, EXECUTIVE SECRETARY OF THE LOS ANGELES
- 6 AND ORANGE COUNTY'S BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION TRADES COUNCIL.
- 7 I'M SORRY I HAVE SUCH A SHORT TIME. BUT I JUST WANTED TO LET
- 8 YOU KNOW THAT I WILL BE MORE THAN HAPPY TO ANSWER QUESTIONS.
- 9 AND I KNOW THERE ARE LOTS OF QUESTIONS REGARDING THESE
- 10 AGREEMENTS, EVEN UP TO THIS VOTE THAT'S HAPPENING TODAY. AND I
- 11 APOLOGIZE FOR THAT. I WISH I HAD HAD MORE TIME TO MEET WITH
- 12 YOU INDIVIDUALLY TO ANSWER YOUR INDIVIDUAL OUESTIONS ABOUT THE
- 13 OPERATION OF PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENTS. BUT I JUST WANTED TO
- 14 VERY QUICKLY ON A COUPLE OF ISSUES. ONE, THE ISSUE OF NONUNION
- 15 WORKERS AS WELL AS UNION WORKERS PAYING UNION DUES. WHY DO
- 16 THEY PAY UNION DUES? THEY, AS IN ANY UNION, RECEIVE THE
- 17 ADMINISTRATION AND COVERAGE AND PROTECTION OF THE CRAFT LOCAL
- 18 UNION BUSINESS REPRESENTATIVES MONITORING THE CONTRACTORS,
- 19 MAKING SURE THE CONTRACTORS ARE NOT CHEATING WORKERS TO BE LOW
- 20 BIDDERS ON THESE PROJECTS. THERE IS A HISTORY OF THAT IN
- 21 CALIFORNIA. AND, IN FACT, THERE IS A CONTRACTORS' ASSOCIATION
- 22 HERE TODAY WHO REPRESENTS LESS THAN FOUR-TENTHS OF ONE PERCENT
- 23 OF THE CONTRACTORS IN CALIFORNIA, BUT SHOWS UP AS 24 PERCENT
- 24 OF THE VIOLATORS ON THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA INDUSTRIAL
- 25 RELATIONS WAGE AND HOUR VIOLATORS LIST. AND THAT IS THE A.B.C.



- 1 AND THEY WILL BE HERE SHORTLY TO TESTIFY AGAINST PROJECT LABOR
- 2 AGREEMENTS. AND IT SEEMS TO ME THAT IT IS A CONFLICT OF
- 3 INTEREST TO HAVE AN ORGANIZATION THAT REPRESENTS CONTRACTORS
- 4 CHEATING WORKERS TO BE LOW BIDDERS TO COME BEFORE THIS BODY. I
- 5 WOULD LOVE TO GO ON WITH OTHER ISSUES ABOUT BENEFITS TO
- 6 EXPLAIN TO YOU WHY BENEFIT PROGRAMS ARE SO IMPORTANT TO
- 7 WORKERS, ESPECIALLY WHEN WE KNOW COUNTIES ARE PROVIDING
- 8 BENEFITS TO WORKERS WHO DO NOT HAVE BENEFIT PLANS. THESE
- 9 AGREEMENTS REOUIRE EVERY CRAFT WORKER, WHICH IS UNUSUAL IN THE
- 10 NONUNION INDUSTRY, TO HAVE A BENEFIT PROGRAM, WHETHER IT'S
- 11 MEDICAL, RETIREMENT, TRAINING, APPRENTICESHIP, ALL OF THOSE
- 12 THAT THESE AGREEMENTS BRING TOGETHER FOR NONUNION WORKERS AS
- 13 WELL AS UNION WORKERS THAT WORK ON THESE JOBS. AND IN CLOSING,
- 14 THE OPPORTUNITY FOR LOS ANGELES COUNTY WORKERS TO COME TO WORK
- 15 ON THE PROJECTS THAT THEY ARE SUPPORTING WITH THEIR TAX MONEY.
- 16 SO I'D BE MORE THAN HAPPY TO CONTINUE BUT I KNOW MY TIME IS
- 17 EXPIRED.

18

- 19 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: YES. MARIALENA. MR. SLAUSON, WOULD YOU
- 20 STAY? I JUST WANT TO ASK YOU A QUESTION AFTERWARDS.

- 22 MARIALENA DURAZO: GOOD MORNING. I JUST CAME FROM A HALL WHERE
- 23 WE WERE GIVING OUT THOUSANDS OF BAGS OF FOOD FOR UNEMPLOYED
- 24 CONSTRUCTION WORKERS. AND THEY DESPERATELY NEED TO GET BACK TO
- 25 WORK TO SUPPORT THEIR FAMILIES. BUT THEY NEED TO GET BACK TO



- 1 WORK WHERE THERE ARE REAL, QUALITY, MIDDLE CLASS STANDARD OF
- 2 LIVING, NOT LOW-WAGE, DEAD-END JOBS THAT DON'T PROVIDE AN
- 3 OPPORTUNITY FOR A MIDDLE CLASS CAREER. AND THAT'S WHY I'M HERE
- 4 TO SPEAK ON BEHALF.

5

6 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: EXCUSE ME THERE IS NO APPLAUSE.

- 8 MARIALENA DURAZO: TO SPEAK ON BEHALF OF THOSE WORKERS. OUR
- 9 COMMUNITIES NEED JOBS, BUT THEY NEED GOOD JOBS. IT IS WRONG
- 10 FOR OUR COMMUNITIES TO HAVE ACCESS AND SAY WE'RE GOING TO GET
- 11 LOCAL HIRE AND YOU'RE GOING TO GET ACCESS TO THESE JOBS, BUT
- 12 THEY'RE GOING TO BE, YOU KNOW, LOW WAGE, NO BENEFIT?
- 13 ESPECIALLY WHEN OUR TAX DOLLARS ARE BEING USED. SO I REALLY
- 14 URGE YOU TO MOVE FORWARD. YOU'VE APPROVED A LOCAL HIRE. BUT
- 15 THE LOCAL HIRE MEN AND WOMEN WHO GET ON THE JOB THROUGH THAT
- 16 NEED TO BE ABLE TO HAVE A GOOD JOB AND AN APPRENTICESHIP
- 17 PROGRAM. AND I DON'T KNOW HOW MANY OF YOU HAVE VISITED THESE
- 18 APPRENTICESHIP CENTERS, BUT THEY'RE EXTRAORDINARY. THEY
- 19 GUARANTEE YOU'LL GET FROM, YOU KNOW, FIRS YEAR TO THREE, FOUR
- 20 OR FIVE YEARS. IT'S FREE FOR THEM BECAUSE THE EMPLOYERS PAY
- 21 FOR IT. AND THEY END UP AS ELECTRICIANS AND IRONWORKERS.
- 22 THAT'S WHAT WE WANT. NOT A SIX-MONTH GIG HERE OR THERE OR
- 23 WHENEVER WITH NO TRAINING. SO I REALLY URGE YOU. WE DID THIS
- 24 IN L.A. CITY COUNCIL. IT WAS A UNANIMOUS VOTE ON L.A. CITY
- 25 COUNCIL TO APPROVE A CONSTRUCTION CAREERS POLICY THAT PROVIDES



- 1 FOR THIS. IT WAS VETTED. THE L.A. CHAMBER OF COMMERCE
- 2 SUPPORTED IT ACTIVELY. THE CENTRAL CITY ASSOCIATION SUPPORTED
- 3 IT ACTIVELY. IT WAS UNANIMOUS BECAUSE NONUNION COMPANIES GET
- 4 TO BID ON AND WORK ON THESE JOBS. NONUNION COMPANIES BENEFIT
- 5 AS MUCH AS UNIONIZED COMPANIES. OUR COMMUNITIES BENEFIT. SO
- 6 THIS IS REALLY IMPORTANT. I KNOW THIS IS WHAT YOU BELIEVE IN.
- 7 SO WE REALLY NEED YOUR SUPPORT TO CONNECT QUALITY JOBS WITH
- 8 LOCAL HIRE JOBS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

9

10 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: SIR?

- 12 ERNEST: ERNEST ROBERTS, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF P.V. JOBS. SOME
- 13 OF YOU KNOW US. MOST OF YOU PROBABLY DO KNOW US. WE'RE A
- 14 NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION WORKING IN CONSTRUCTION WORKFORCE
- 15 DEVELOPMENT AND HAVE BEEN DOING SO FOR OVER A DECADE NOW. AND
- 16 I'VE WORKED WITH A LOT OF MAJOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS IN THE
- 17 AREA FROM PLAYA VISTA TO L.A.C.C.D., SOME CENTURY CITY
- 18 PROJECTS, CITY OF L.A. PUBLIC WORKS. JUST A LOT OF PROJECTS, A
- 19 LOT OF THE C.R.A. PROJECTS, I THINK WE HAVE 24 OF THOSE UNDER
- 20 OUR BELT. WE ARE NOT FROM LABOR. WE ARE NOT PAID BY LABOR. ALL
- 21 WE DO IS IMPLEMENT LOCAL HIRE PROGRAMS FOR THE COMMUNITY. AND
- 22 TO THAT EFFECT, I CAN TELL YOU THAT I'VE SEEN THE ZIP CODES
- 23 THAT THE LOCAL HIRE POLICY CALLS FOR, THEY ENCOMPASS ALL THE
- 24 DISTRICTS ACROSS THE COUNTY. IN ORDER TO IMPLEMENT THESE
- 25 PROGRAMS, IT HAS BEEN OUR EXPERIENCE, AND WE'VE WORKED WITH



- 1 NON-P.L.A. PROJECTS AND P.L.A. PROJECTS. THE ONLY WAY TO
- 2 EFFECTIVELY CREATE THIS AND MAKE THESE LOCAL PROGRAMS MOVE
- 3 FORWARD IS WITH A PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT. THERE'S JUST NO
- 4 OTHER WAY.

5

- 6 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT. LET ME ASK THE
- 7 QUESTION, MR. SLAUSON AND MARIALENA ON THIS ISSUE. I HAVE
- 8 PROBLEM WITH NONUNION PEOPLE PAYING UNION DUES WHEN THEY GET
- 9 NO BENEFIT WHATSOEVER. NO DIRECT BENEFIT. I MEAN YOU CAN SAY
- 10 INDIRECTLY THEY GET A BENEFIT BECAUSE THERE'S ADDITIONAL
- 11 PROTECTIONS THAT UNIONS HAVE BEEN ADVOCATES FOR DECADES. BUT
- 12 THAT, AGAIN, WOULD YOU ACCEPT AN AMENDMENT -- I HAVE NO
- 13 PROBLEM WITH APPRENTICES PAYING UNION DUES BECAUSE THEY'RE ON
- 14 A TRACK TO GET GOOD-PAYING LABOR JOBS. BUT WOULD YOU ACCEPT AN
- 15 AMENDMENT THAT WOULD SAY THAT NONUNION WORKERS WOULD NOT HAVE
- 16 TO PAY DUES UNLESS THEY CHOSE TO?

17

- 18 RICHARD SLAUSON: I CAN'T SPEAK ON BEHALF OF ALL THE CRAFT
- 19 UNIONS. THAT IS A NEGOTIATED ITEM AND I'D BE MORE THAN HAPPY
- 20 TO CONSIDER THAT.

- 22 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: AGAIN, I DON'T WANT TO NEGOTIATE IT IF
- 23 IT'S GOING TO BE NEGOTIATED AS TO EITHER THEY PAY OR THEY
- 24 DON'T PAY. I WISH THEY WOULDN'T PAY BECAUSE THEY'RE NOT
- 25 BENEFITING FROM IT. WOULD YOU ACCEPT THAT?



1

- 2 RICHARD SLAUSON: WELL I DON'T ACCEPT THAT THEY'RE NOT
- 3 BENEFITING FROM IT. BECAUSE THEY ARE. BECAUSE PREVAILING WAGE,
- 4 THAT EMPLOYEES RECEIVE UNDER THIS CONTRACT --

5

6 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: I KNOW, BUT PREVAILING WAGE EXISTS.

7

- 8 RICHARD SLAUSON: IT DOES NOT. WITHOUT UNIONS SUPPORTING
- 9 PREVAILING WAGE, PREVAILING WAGE WOULD NOT EXIST IN
- 10 CALIFORNIA.

11

- 12 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: WELL, I UNDERSTAND THAT. AND I SAID THAT
- 13 TO YOU EARLIER, THAT I THINK THERE ARE LONG-TERM, THERE ARE
- 14 BENEFITS THAT THE UNIONS HAVE BEEN VERY AGGRESSIVE. BUT I JUST
- 15 DON'T WANT TO TAKE MONEY OUT OF PEOPLE'S POCKETS RIGHT NOW
- 16 UNLESS THEY AGREE TO IT. IF THEY FEEL THERE'S A BENEFIT FOR
- 17 THEM.

- 19 RICHARD SLAUSON: THIS IS NO DIFFERENT THAN THE COUNTY
- 20 EMPLOYEES. THE COUNTY EMPLOYEES THAT WE REPRESENT, THE CRAFT,
- 21 1500 CRAFT UNION EMPLOYEES HERE AT THE COUNTY, THE COOK COUNTY
- 22 CIVIL SERVICE EMPLOYEES, SOME ARE AGENCY FEE PAYERS, SOME ARE
- 23 UNION MEMBERS. THESE AGREEMENTS ONLY REQUIRE THE SAME. THOSE
- 24 WORKERS DO NOT PAY INITIATION FEES, THEY DON'T HAVE TO BECOME
- 25 A UNION MEMBER. THEY ONLY PAY THE ADMINISTRATION COSTS OF THE



- 1 AGREEMENT WHILE THEY'RE WORKING ON THE JOB. IT DOES NOT AFFECT
- 2 THEIR WORK IF THEY GO OFF THE JOB LATER. SO WE'VE LOOKED AT
- 3 THIS THE SAME AS PUBLIC AGENCIES THAT ALLOW FOR AGENCY FEES
- 4 FOR THEIR WORKERS, WHICH ARE COUNTY WORKERS, AS WELL.

5

- 6 MARIALENA DURAZO: THE RIGHT TO WORK STATES DON'T REQUIRE
- 7 WORKERS WHO BENEFIT IN EXACTLY THE SAME WAY FROM PAYING UNION
- 8 DUES. AND THIS STATE HAS ALWAYS UPHELD THAT. THAT IF YOU
- 9 BENEFIT, AND YOU DO FROM THE WAGE STANDARD, FROM THE BENEFIT
- 10 STANDARD, THEN YOU SHOULD PAY YOUR FAIR SHARE. SO I THINK IT'S
- 11 VERY FAIR. THEY'RE GETTING SOMETHING IN EXCHANGE FOR THEIR
- 12 DUES. AND WHEN THEY LEAVE THAT JOB AND IF THEY PREFER TO NOT
- 13 TO PAY AND GO TO A NONUNION JOB, THEY HAVE THE OPTION OF DOING
- 14 THAT AS WELL.

15

- 16 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: NO. BECAUSE THEY DON'T GET TO BECOME UNION
- 17 MEMBERS. AFTER THEY LEAVE THE JOB, THEY DON'T GET TO BE UNION
- 18 MEMBERS, MARIALENA.

19

20 MARIALENA DURAZO: IF THEY CONTINUE TO WORK ON A UNION JOB.

- 22 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THAT'S RIGHT. BUT SEE, THEY WORK FOR A
- 23 CONTRACTOR WHO HAS NOT BEEN ORGANIZED YET BY THE UNION. SO IF
- 24 JOE LOPEZ WORKS ON IT AND HE'S BEING PAID, HE'S PAYING HIS
- 25 DUES, ALL RIGHT. AND THEN HE -- THE COMPANY GOES TO ANOTHER



- 1 PLACE. HE CAN'T CONTINUE, EVEN THOUGH HE PAYS THE DUES, HE
- 2 CAN'T BELONG TO THE UNION.

3

- 4 RICHARD SLAUSON: HE CAN ABSOLUTELY FILL OUT AN APPLICATION AND
- 5 BECOME A UNION MEMBER OF HIS OWN VOLITION.

6

- 7 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: I UNDERSTAND. BUT HE DOESN'T GET TO BELONG
- 8 TO THE UNION SO HE WON'T BENEFIT FROM IT.

9

- 10 RICHARD SLAUSON: HE ABSOLUTELY CAN BECOME A UNION MEMBER UNDER
- 11 THESE AGREEMENTS.

12

- 13 MARIALENA DURAZO: SURE, HE CAN. BECAUSE THE NEXT JOB WILL
- 14 PROVIDE THAT. IF YOU'RE WORKING FOR THE COUNTY AND YOU'RE
- 15 UNIONIZED IN THIS COUNTY BUT THEN YOU DECIDE TO GO TO ANOTHER
- 16 JURISDICTION THAT'S NOT UNIONIZED, YOU DON'T PAY UNION DUES
- 17 THERE BECAUSE YOU DECIDE TO GO THERE. IT'S THE SAME THING. WE
- 18 JUST FEEL IT'S FAIR. IT'S DOING YOUR FAIR SHARE.

19

20 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: I UNDERSTAND.

21

- 22 MARIALENA DURAZO: THIS IS NOT A RIGHT TO WORK STATE WHERE YOU
- 23 PICK AND CHOOSE.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: I UNDERSTAND. BUT I JUST HAVE A REAL
- 2 PROBLEM HAVING PEOPLE PAY UNION DUES WHILE THEY'RE WORKING ON
- 3 THIS JOB AND GETTING NO BENEFIT FROM IT WHATSOEVER.

4

- 5 MARIALENA DURAZO: WELL THE WAY WE PREFER TO LOOK AT IT IS
- 6 THEY'RE GETTING MUCH HIGHER WAGES AND BENEFITS WHILE THEY'RE
- 7 WORKING ON THE JOB THAN THEY ARE FOR A NONUNION CONTRACTOR
- 8 THAT DOESN'T HAVE IT.

9

- 10 RICHARD SLAUSON: CAN I CLARIFY SOMETHING? I WANT TO MAKE SURE
- 11 WE'RE TALKING ABOUT UNION DUES, WHICH IS A VERY SMALL AMOUNT
- 12 EACH MONTH, VERSUS THE BENEFIT PAYMENTS THAT GO FROM THE
- 13 CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE FOR PENSION, RETIREMENT.

14

- 15 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: I UNDERSTAND THAT. AND I OPPOSE THAT AS
- 16 WELL BECAUSE HIS WORKERS ARE THOSE THAT WILL NOT BENEFIT
- 17 DIRECTLY FROM IT.

- 19 RICHARD SLAUSON: THAT HAS ABSOLUTELY NOT WHAT WE'VE
- 20 EXPERIENCED. EVERY AGREEMENT, WE HAVE BILLIONS OF DOLLARS
- 21 COVERED BY THESE AGREEMENTS. CONTRACTOR AFTER CONTRACTOR,
- 22 NONUNION CONTRACTORS THAT HAVE MADE UP MORE THAN 50 PERCENT OF
- 23 THE CONTRACTORS BIDDING ON PROJECTS WITH PROJECT LABOR
- 24 AGREEMENTS HAVE GONE FROM JOB TO JOB TO JOB. SOME OF THEM HAVE



- 1 SIGNED MASTER LABOR AGREEMENTS BECAUSE THEY HAVE BUILT
- 2 RELATIONSHIPS.

3

4 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: WHICH SHOULD BE THE IDEAL.

5

6 RICHARD SLAUSON: RIGHT.

7

8 MARIALENA DURAZO: BUT THEY DON'T HAVE TO.

- 10 RICHARD SLAUSON: AS AN EXAMPLE THE I.V.W. THIS YEAR SIGNED 37
- 11 CONTRACTORS TO THEIR MASTER LABOR AGREEMENT AND ALL OF THEIR
- 12 EMPLOYEES BECAME UNION EMPLOYEES BECAUSE THEY BUILT A
- 13 RELATIONSHIP UNDER THE PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT. AND IT IS
- 14 ABSOLUTELY NOT TRUE EVEN IF THEY DIDN'T, THAT THE EMPLOYEES
- 15 WOULD NOT BENEFIT. AN EMPLOYEE, FOR MEDICAL, BECOMES ELIGIBLE
- 16 JUST LIKE A UNION EMPLOYEE WOULD, AFTER APPROXIMATELY TWO
- 17 MONTHS OF EMPLOYMENT. THEN ONCE HE BECOMES ELIGIBLE FOR
- 18 MEDICAL, HE WOULD HAVE THREE TO SIX MONTHS OF ELIGIBILITY
- 19 BEYOND THE TIME THAT HE STOPPED WORK ON THAT PARTICULAR
- 20 PROJECT. THAT IS HOW IT WORKS IN THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY.
- 21 ONCE YOU'RE TERMINATED, YOUR BENEFITS DON'T STOP, BECAUSE IT'S
- 22 NOT CONNECTED TO UNION MEMBERSHIP, IT'S CONNECTED TO THE
- 23 AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT'S BEEN PAID IN BY AN EMPLOYER TO YOUR
- 24 PLAN. AND IT'S UNTHINKABLE THAT THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES,
- 25 THAT HAS TO PROVIDE MEDICAL BENEFITS TO ALL OF THOSE WORKERS



- 1 OUT THERE THAT ARE NOT COVERED BY A MEDICAL PLAN BY THESE SO-
- 2 CALLED GREAT EMPLOYERS, THAT THE VAST MAJORITY DO NOT PROVIDE
- 3 MEDICAL BENEFITS TO THEIR EMPLOYEES. AND NOW WE HAVE AN
- 4 OPPORTUNITY TO REQUIRE AN EMPLOYER, NONUNION OR UNION, TO
- 5 PROVIDE MEDICAL BENEFITS TO THEIR EMPLOYEES. AND WHAT ARE WE
- 6 SAYING? DON'T PROVIDE IT TO THOSE EMPLOYEES AND THEN HAVE THE
- 7 COUNTY HAVE TO PAY FOR IT?

8

- 9 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: MR. SLAUSON, YOU'RE TURNING A LOT OF WORDS
- 10 AROUND. (AUDIENCE GROANING).

11

- 12 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: EXCUSE ME I DON'T MEAN TO BE
- 13 DISRESPECTFUL. BUT AGAIN, NONUNION EMPLOYEES ARE NOT GOING TO
- 14 GET UNION BENEFITS. THEY WILL GET THE BENEFITS OF THEIR
- 15 CONTRACTORS. BUT THEY'RE NOT GOING TO GET UNION BENEFITS.

16

- 17 RICHARD SLAUSON: IF I HAD TIME TO SHOW YOU THOSE THAT HAVE
- 18 BECOME ELIGIBLE, I WOULD TAKE THE TIME.

19

- 20 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: WELL THAT'S A DIFFERENT ISSUE. BECOMING
- 21 ELIGIBLE IS A DIFFERENT ISSUE. BUT WHILE YOU'RE PAYING THESE
- 22 FEES OR THESE UNION DUES, YOU CANNOT COLLECT OR HAVE HEALTH
- 23 INSURANCE BY THE UNION.



- 1 RICHARD SLAUSON: THAT HAS NO CONNECTION WHATSOEVER TO
- 2 MEMBERSHIP. ABSOLUTELY NOT. IT'S THE SAME WITH THE COUNTY.
- 3 YOUR AGENCY FEE PAYERS WITH THE COUNTY RECEIVE THE SAME
- 4 BENEFIT PLANS.

5

- 6 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THAT'S CORRECT, BECAUSE IT IS AN AGENCY
- 7 FEE. IN THIS INSTANCE WHAT WE'RE SAYING IS BECAUSE YOU MADE AN
- 8 EFFORT TO ORGANIZE AND YOU ORGANIZED A MAJORITY OF THOSE
- 9 EMPLOYEES, WE ALLOW YOU TO IMPOSE AN AGENCY FEE. THERE IS NO
- 10 DOUBT. I AGREE. BUT IN THIS INSTANCE, WHEN YOU HAVE A JOB,
- 11 AGAIN AN ELECTRICIAN IS NOT GOING TO WORK ON IT COMPLETELY
- 12 MAYBE FOR THE ENTIRE 24 MONTHS OF IT. AND SO DEPENDING ON
- 13 WHILE HE'S WORKING HE WILL PAY THESE FEES BUT HE'S NOT GOING
- 14 TO BE ABLE TO GET THOSE BENEFITS.

15

- 16 RICHARD SLAUSON: HE ABSOLUTELY IS. IT'S AGAINST THE LAW TO USE
- 17 UNION MEMBERSHIP AS A TRIGGER FOR BENEFIT COVERAGE. IT
- 18 ABSOLUTELY IS A VIOLATION OF ERISA AND THE NATIONAL LABOR
- 19 RELATIONS ACT. UNION MEMBERSHIP HAS NOTHING AT ALL TO DO WITH
- 20 ELIGIBILITY FOR A PENSION, MEDICAL OR EVEN TRAINING BENEFITS.
- 21 I DON'T KNOW WHO WOULD HAVE PROVIDED YOU THAT FALSE
- 22 INFORMATION. I HOPE IT'S NOT --



- 1 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: EXCUSE ME SIR, DON'T SAY THAT. THAT'S NOT
- 2 TRUE. YOU'RE SAYING THAT A NONUNION PERSON CAN COLLECT HEALTH
- 3 BENEFITS AND RETIREMENT BENEFITS FROM YOUR UNION.

4

5 RICHARD SLAUSON: ABSOLUTELY. ABSOLUTELY.

6

- 7 MARIALENA DURAZO: BECAUSE THE EMPLOYER, HIS CONTRIBUTIONS ARE
- 8 THE ONES THAT ESTABLISH ELIGIBILITY. IT'S NOT THE UNION
- 9 MEMBERSHIP. IT'S THE EMPLOYER'S CONTRIBUTION. SO A NONUNION
- 10 CONTRACTOR, BY VIRTUE OF A P.L.A., PAYS INTO THESE BENEFITS.
- 11 THAT IS WHAT ESTABLISHES THE ABILITY AND THE LEGAL RIGHT TO
- 12 BENEFIT FROM THE HEALTH PLAN AND THE RETIREMENT PLAN. IT IS
- 13 NOT UNION MEMBERSHIP, UNION DUES THAT ESTABLISHES THAT
- 14 ELIGIBILITY. IT'S THE EMPLOYER'S CONTRIBUTION.

15

- 16 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: HOW DOES THE INDIVIDUAL COLLECT HIS HEALTH
- 17 BENEFITS OR PENSION?

18

- 19 RICHARD SLAUSON: HE APPLIES JUST LIKE ANY UNION MEMBER WOULD.
- 20 HE RECEIVES NOTICE FROM THE BENEFIT PLANS THAT HE'S BECOME
- 21 ELIGIBLE. AND THEN HE APPLIES FOR IT. HE SUBMITS HIS MEDICAL
- 22 BILLS TO THE PLAN JUST AS A UNION MEMBER WOULD. IT HAS NOTHING
- 23 AT ALL TO DO WITH UNION MEMBERSHIP.



- 1 MARIALENA DURAZO: THAT'S REQUIRED BY LAW. THAT'S REQUIRED. YOU
- 2 CANNOT --

3

- 4 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: SO THESE CONTRACTORS ARE GOING TO PAY INTO
- 5 A UNION TRUST FUND.

6

7 RICHARD SLAUSON: IT'S A LABOR MANAGEMENT TRUST FUND.

8

9 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: LET'S SAY THERE'S 200 EMPLOYEES THERE.

10

11 RICHARD SLAUSON: RIGHT.

12

- 13 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: AND SO HE PAYS PROPORTIONATELY, RIGHT? FOR
- 14 THOSE 200 EMPLOYEES?

15

- 16 RICHARD SLAUSON: HE PAYS THE SAME AMOUNT INTO THE BENEFIT
- 17 PLANS FOR EVERY EMPLOYEE.

18

- 19 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. SO THEN AFTERWARDS THESE FOLKS
- 20 ARE ELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE A PENSION IF THEY QUALIFY AND HEALTH
- 21 BENEFITS?

22

23 RICHARD SLAUSON: YES. ABSOLUTELY.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: OKAY. WELL I DIDN'T KNOW THAT TO BE TRUE.
- 2 IS THAT TRUE, MR. FUJIOKA?

3

- 4 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: I CAN SPEAK TO THE HEALTH BENEFITS PORTION. I
- 5 DON'T HAVE REASON TO QUESTION WHAT HE'S SAYING. BUT I'D LIKE
- 6 TO VERIFY IT. BUT WITH RESPECT TO THE BENEFITS, I'M CONFIDENT
- 7 THAT'S TRUE. HEALTH BENEFITS. I JUST DON'T HAVE THE PERSONAL
- 8 KNOWLEDGE ABOUT THE RETIREMENT. BUT I'M NOT QUESTIONING IT.

9

10 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THE BENEFITS WOULD COME FROM THE UNION?

11

- 12 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: IT WOULD COME THROUGH THE PROJECT LABOR
- 13 AGREEMENT. IT WOULD COME THROUGH THE STRUCTURE OF THAT
- 14 BASICALLY FROM THE EMPLOYER. BUT THAT'S PART OF -- AS WE GO
- 15 FORWARD, I HEARD THE MOTION.

16

- 17 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: I HAVE SUCH A PROBLEM. I MEAN, I'M ALWAYS
- 18 IN FAVOR OF FORCING EVERY EMPLOYER TO PAY HEALTH BENEFITS. SO
- 19 IN THIS INSTANCE, I'M TOLD FEDERALLY BY LAW I CANNOT FORCE AN
- 20 EMPLOYER TO PAY HEALTH BENEFITS. SO IN THIS INSTANCE BY DOING
- 21 THE P.L.A., I WOULD BE FORCING THAT EMPLOYER TO PAY HEALTH
- 22 BENEFITS?

23

24 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: THAT WOULD BE THE END RESULT THROUGH A P.L.A.



1 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: AND THE FEDERAL LAW DOESN'T DISRUPT THAT?

2

3 MARIALENA DURAZO: THAT'S RIGHT. EXACTLY RIGHT.

4

- 5 ANDREA ORDIN: I'M INFORMED THAT IT IS ACCURATE INDEED THAT IT
- 6 IS THE EMPLOYER'S MONEY. WHAT THE DIFFERENCE IS -- AND IT WILL
- 7 DEPEND ON THE CONTRACT -- IS WHEN IT BECOMES VESTED.

8

9 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: FOR BENEFITS? FOR HEALTH BENEFITS?

10

- 11 ANDREA ORDIN, COUNSEL: FOR BOTH BENEFITS AND PENSION. PENSION
- 12 IS A FIVE-YEAR MANDATORY, I UNDERSTAND. AND VESTED FOR HEALTH
- 13 BENEFITS WILL DEPEND ON THE NEGOTIATION. IT COULD BE ANYTHING
- 14 FROM SIX MONTHS TO TWO YEARS TO WHO KNOWS.

15

- 16 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU MEAN VESTED FOR HEALTH BENEFITS, ARE YOU
- 17 TALKING ABOUT HEALTH BENEFITS FOR THE EMPLOYEE NOT FOR THE
- 18 RETIREE, RIGHT?

19

20 RICHARD SLAUSON: BECOME ELIGIBLE.

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THE DAY I START WORKING ON THE JOB, I
- 23 ASSUME I'M ELIGIBLE FOR HEALTH BENEFITS, OTHERWISE THIS IS --

24

25 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: I KNOW.



1

- 2 RICHARD SLAUSON: HOURS ARE PAID INTO THE PLAN AND THEN ONCE
- 3 YOU BECOME ELIGIBLE, USUALLY THE FIRST DAY OF THE THIRD MONTH,
- 4 THEN YOU BECOME ELIGIBLE FOR -- IN THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY,
- 5 IT'S DIFFERENT THAN MOST EMPLOYERS OUTSIDE THE CONSTRUCTION
- 6 INDUSTRY -- YOU BECOME ELIGIBLE FOR LIKE THREE MONTHS TO SIX
- 7 MONTHS OF COVERAGE. SO EVEN IF YOU STOPPED WORKING ONCE YOU
- 8 BECAME ELIGIBLE, YOU STILL HAVE MEDICAL COVERAGE. AND WE DO
- 9 THAT --

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: LET ME UNDERSTAND SOMETHING. I COME TO WORK
- 12 ON JANUARY 1ST. I DON'T GET HEALTH BENEFITS FOR UNTIL MARCH OR
- 13 APRIL 1ST?

14

15 RICHARD SLAUSON: MARCH.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND THEN I WILL GET HEALTH BENEFITS AS LONG
- 18 AS I CONTINUE TO WORK ON THAT JOB?

19

20 RICHARD SLAUSON: YES.

21

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND THREE MONTHS OR FOUR MONTHS AFTER I QUIT
- 23 THE JOB?



- 1 RICHARD SLAUSON: WELL IF YOU CONTINUE TO WORK, YOU BUILD UP A
- 2 BANK OF EVEN LONGER. IN THE PIPE TRADES, WHICH I'M OUT OF,
- 3 IT'S A NINE-MONTH ELIGIBILITY. SO IF YOU WERE LAID OFF AND
- 4 SIGN AN OUT-OF-WORK LIST ESPECIALLY NOW WITH UNEMPLOYMENT 40
- 5 TO 50 PERCENT UNEMPLOYMENT IN THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY, YOU
- 6 WOULD STILL HAVE MEDICAL BENEFITS FOR YOURSELF AND YOUR FAMILY
- 7 TO COVER YOU DURING THAT DOWN PERIOD OF TIME.

8

9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW LONG DOES THAT COVERAGE LAST?

10

- 11 RICHARD SLAUSON: IT'S LIKE SIX TO NINE MONTHS DEPENDING UPON
- 12 THE CRAFT UNION'S PLAN THAT YOU CAN BUILD UP ELIGIBILITY.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO I GO TO WORK ON THIS JOB. I WORK ON IT
- 15 FOR TWO YEARS. THE JOB IS OVER AND YOU'RE TELLING ME THAT I
- 16 HAVE -- AND I CAN'T GET ANOTHER JOB BECAUSE THE ECONOMY'S
- 17 STILL LOUSY, YOU'RE TELLING ME THAT I HAVE HEALTH COVERAGE FOR
- 18 SIX TO NINE MONTHS AFTER THAT?

19

20 RICHARD SLAUSON: YES.

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: JUST EXPLAIN TO ME WHY WOULD YOU NOT -- WHY
- 23 WOULD I NOT GET HEALTH BENEFITS FOR THE FIRST THREE MONTHS
- 24 THAT I'M WORKING BUT I GET HEALTH BENEFITS FOR THE SIX TO NINE
- 25 MONTHS THAT I'M NOT WORKING AFTER I LEAVE THE JOB?



1

- 2 RICHARD SLAUSON: BECAUSE YOU BUILD UP -- OUR PLANS ARE BASED
- 3 ON MONEY IN. SO YOU BUILDUP THE AMOUNT THAT COVERS YOU FOR
- 4 THAT FIRST ELIGIBILITY.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: IS THAT THE MONEY THE EMPLOYER IS GOING TO
- 7 PUT IN?

8

- 9 RICHARD SLAUSON: YES. AND IT'S THE AMOUNT THAT'S BEEN
- 10 NEGOTIATED WITH THE EMPLOYER.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THE EMPLOYER PUTS IN MORE MONEY THAN IT
- 13 REQUIRES TO COVER THE HEALTH COVERAGE FOR THE TIME THAT THAT
- 14 EMPLOYEE IS WORKING? THERE'S AN EXTRA AMOUNT THERE?

15

- 16 RICHARD SLAUSON: NO. IT'S JUST A PER HOUR AMOUNT. IT JUST GOES
- 17 IN UNTIL YOU BUILD UP TO THE THRESHOLD. AND THEN YOU BECOME
- 18 ELIGIBLE.

19

- 20 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW DOES THE SYSTEM PAY FOR THE HEALTH
- 21 BENEFITS FOR SOMEBODY WHO ISN'T WORKING?

- 23 RICHARD SLAUSON: THE TRUST FUND SENDS THE EMPLOYEE, WHEN YOU
- 24 FIRST BECOME ELIGIBLE, A NOTICE THAT YOU'RE ELIGIBLE FOR
- 25 BENEFITS. YOU FILL OUT THE ELIGIBILITY CARDS, THE BENEFICIARY



- 1 CARDS AND ALL THE REST. THEN YOU'RE IN THE PLAN. THE EMPLOYEES
- 2 THEN KNOW HOW TO SUBMIT THEIR MEDICAL BILLS TO THE BENEFIT
- 3 PLAN. AND EVEN IF YOU GET LAID OFF, YOU GET A PLAN BOOK THAT
- 4 EXPLAINS THE WHOLE PROCESS TO YOU. AND EVEN IF YOU GET LAID
- 5 OFF, YOU REALIZE THAT YOU STILL HAVE ELIGIBILITY. DURING THAT
- 6 PERIOD OF TIME, THE TRUST FUNDS, THE BENEFIT TRUST FUNDS ARE
- 7 SENDING YOU AT LEAST QUARTERLY STATEMENTS TELLING YOU WHERE
- 8 YOUR ELIGIBILITY IS. AND IN MOST CASES, I WOULD PROBABLY GUESS
- 9 ALL, AND I DON'T KNOW THIS EXACTLY, BUT IN THE CASE OF MY
- 10 PLAN, IF YOU BECAME CLOSE TO THE END OF ELIGIBILITY, SAY YOU
- 11 WERE OFF FOR THAT NINE MONTHS, THEY WOULD NOTIFY YOU THAT YOU
- 12 ARE ELIGIBLE FOR COBRA, JUST LIKE THE PLAN WITH THE COUNTY
- 13 WHERE THEY HAVE RESPONSIBILITY TO NOTIFY YOU.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I UNDERSTAND ALL THAT. BUT WHAT I'M NOT
- 16 GETTING IS HOW DOES THE SYSTEM PAY FOR SOMEBODY'S HEALTH
- 17 BENEFITS WHEN THEY'RE NOT WORKING FOR SIX MONTHS BUT THEY
- 18 DON'T PAY FOR IT WHEN THEY ARE WORKING FOR THE FIRST THREE
- 19 MONTHS?

20

- 21 RICHARD SLAUSON: BECAUSE YOU'VE BUILT UP A BANK OF HOURS MORE
- 22 THAN WHAT IT TAKES TO COVER YOUR MONTH-TO-MONTH BENEFIT COSTS.

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHEN YOU BUILDUP THAT BANK OF HOURS, YOU'RE
- 25 ALSO BUILDING UP CASH IN THE TRUST FUND?



2 RICHARD SLAUSON: YEAH. YES.

3

1

- 4 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND WHO IS PUTTING THE MONEY IN THE TRUST
- 5 FUND? THE EMPLOYERS?

6

7 RICHARD SLAUSON: CONTRACTORS, YES.

8

- 9 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THE CONTRACTOR. SO HE'S PUTTING IN NOT JUST
- 10 ENOUGH MONEY TO COVER THE HEALTH BENEFITS FOR THE TIME THAT
- 11 THIS GUY'S WORKING, BUT THERE'S AN EXTRA AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT
- 12 HE'S PUTTING IN TO CREATE A CUSHION? TO BUILD A CUSHION?

13

- 14 RICHARD SLAUSON: YES. AND IT'S THE AMOUNT THAT IT WOULD TAKE
- 15 THE COVER THE EMPLOYEES FOR THOSE EXTRA MONTHS. SO THE DOLLAR
- 16 AMOUNT PER HOUR THAT IS SENT IN IS HIGHER THAN IT WOULD BE
- 17 JUST FOR THE INDIVIDUAL MONTHLY BENEFIT COSTS SO THAT YOU CAN
- 18 BUILD THAT CUSHION AS GRADUALLY AS YOU CONTINUE EMPLOYMENT
- 19 UNDER THE PLAN.

- 21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN I JUST ASK A QUESTION ON THE -- AND I
- 22 DON'T KNOW WHETHER THE PUBLIC WORKS GENTLEMAN WHO WAS UP HERE
- 23 BEFORE IS STILL AROUND? WHO WAS THAT? I GUESS STAY THERE. BUT
- 24 I JUST WANT TO ASK A QUESTION. YEAH, COME ON UP. IF THERE WAS
- 25 NO PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT, WHY DON'T YOU TAKE THIS



- 1 GENTLEMAN'S SEAT ON MY LEFT? OR GO OVER THERE, YEAH. YOU
- 2 PASSED THAT TEST. IF THERE WAS NO PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT,
- 3 WHAT WOULD THE WAGES OF THE NONUNION CONTRACTOR BE? WOULD THEY
- 4 BE WHATEVER IT WAS BID OUT TO BE WITH THE MASTER CONTRACTOR?
- 5 WITH US? OR WOULD IT BE THE SAME AS IT WOULD BE WITH THE
- 6 PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT?

7

11

14

16

18

8 DAVID HOWARD: WE HAVE TO PAY PREVAILING WAGE BY STATE LAW,

- 9 WHETHER IT'S A P.L.A. OR IT'S NOT. AND WHETHER THEY'RE WORKING
- 10 NONUNION OR UNION WE HAVE TO PAY PREVAILING WAGE.

12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO THERE WOULD NO DIFFERENCE IN THE WAGES

- 13 THAT A NONUNION CONTRACTOR RECEIVES WITH OR WITHOUT A P.L.A.?
- 15 DAVID HOWARD: CORRECT.
- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW ABOUT HEALTH BENEFITS?
- 19 DAVID HOWARD: WE HAVE TO PAY -- ONE OF THE COMPONENTS OF THE
- 20 PREVAILING WAGE IS A SPECIFIED AMOUNT FOR HEALTH BENEFITS. AND
- 21 SO IF THERE'S A P.L.A., THAT SPECIFIC AMOUNT PER HOUR IS PAID
- 22 INTO THE TRUST FUND. IF THERE IS NOT A P.L.A. AND THE
- 23 CONTRACTOR IS NONUNION, THEN THAT NONUNION SUBCONTRACTOR HAS
- 24 TWO CHOICES: EITHER THEY USE THAT COMPONENT THAT WE'RE PAYING
- 25 PER HOUR FOR THAT WORKER TO PROVIDE HEALTH BENEFITS OR THAT



- 1 COMPONENT OF MONEY IS PAID DIRECTLY TO THE EMPLOYEE AND THEY
- 2 CAN USE IT TO BUY THEIR SAME BENEFITS. SO TO THE COUNTY, IT'S
- 3 COST-NEUTRAL. WE HAVE TO PAY THE SAME AMOUNT AND IT'S JUST A
- 4 OUESTION, DOES THE UNION PROVIDE A BENEFIT, DOES THE NONUNION
- 5 SUBCONTRACTOR PROVIDE A BENEFIT OR DOES THE EMPLOYEE GET THAT
- 6 IN CASH?

7

9

11

19

- 8 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: MADAM CHAIR, IF I MAY?
- 10 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: YES.
- 12 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: MR. HOWARD, I THINK YOU ARE AWARE OF THE
- 13 SURVEY DONE BY THE BUREAU OF CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION WITH THE
- 14 DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS, THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, YOUR
- 15 COUNTERPART. I THINK IT'S WORTH NOTING THAT OF THE 73, QUOTE
- 16 QUOTE/UNQUOTE NONUNION CONTRACTORS SUBCONTRACTORS, ONLY NINE,
- 17 THAT IS 12 PERCENT OF THEM, PROVIDED BENEFITS COMPARABLE TO
- 18 THAT WHICH WE'RE DISCUSSING. ARE YOU AWARE OF THAT STUDY?
- 20 DAVID HOWARD: I AM, SUPERVISOR.
- 22 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: I THINK THAT'S INSTRUCTIVE OF THE OVERALL
- 23 OBJECTIVE THAT WE SEEK, MADAM CHAIR AND MEMBERS OF THE BOARD,
- 24 NAMELY, TO PROVIDE A SENSE OF HEALTH AND WELL-BEING TO THE
- 25 WORKFORCE IN THE COMMUNITIES THAT WE ARE TARGETING. THIS HAS



- 1 IMPLICATIONS FOR WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT IN TERMS OF
- 2 DISADVANTAGED WORKERS. IT HAS IMPLICATIONS FOR WHAT WE'RE
- 3 TALKING ABOUT IN TERMS OF THE PARTICULARLY ACUTE AND CHRONIC
- 4 ISSUES OF UNEMPLOYMENT IN THE FIVE-MILE RADIUS THAT IS UNDER
- 5 CONSIDERATION. AND SO WHEN WE THINK ABOUT HOW THE PROJECT
- 6 LABOR AGREEMENT REINFORCES THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE LOCAL
- 7 HIRE PROGRAM, THIS IS A PIECE THAT IS VERY, VERY IMPORTANT.
- 8 AND I THINK IT'S CRITICAL THAT WE PAY ATTENTION TO THAT. TO
- 9 RESTATE THE THRUST OF THE MOTION, IT IS ESSENTIALLY TO HAVE
- 10 THE VERY DISCUSSION THAT IS TAKING PLACE NOW TAKE PLACE IN
- 11 EARNEST, DRILLED DOWN FORENSICALLY TO THE EXTENT THAT IT IS
- 12 WARRANTED, AND I THINK IT IS, THAT IS THE EXPECTATION OF THE
- 13 BOARD, SO THAT THE C.E.O., COUNTY COUNSEL CAN DEFINITIVELY
- 14 ANSWER THESE QUESTIONS BASED ON THEIR INDEPENDENT
- 15 VERIFICATION. REPRESENTATIONS HAVE BEEN MADE BY THE TRADES AND
- 16 THE FEDERATION ITSELF AS WELL AS ONE WHO IS AN EXPERT IN LOCAL
- 17 HIRING. AND I THINK THIS IS THE THRUST OF WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO
- 18 MOVE FORWARD. WE CANNOT ADOPT ANYTHING UNTIL THE SPECIFICS ARE
- 19 HAMMERED OUT AND FULLY UNDERSTOOD. INSTRUCTIONS TO MOVE IN
- 20 THAT DIRECTION IS ESSENTIALLY THE THRUST OF THE MOTION. THAT
- 21 REQUIRES IT TO COME BACK WITH CONSISTENCY TO THE BOARD. AND
- 22 ULTIMATELY IF THERE IS A DETERMINATION TO BE MADE, IT HAS TO
- 23 FINALLY BE AFFIRMATIVELY ACTED ON BY THE BOARD ITSELF. AND SO
- 24 I THINK THERE'S A LOT TO BE LEARNED, AND PROVED POSITIVE AS IS
- 25 INDICATED BY THE CHAIR EARLY ON. SO, MADAM CHAIR, I KNOW THAT



- 1 THERE ARE OTHERS WHO WISH TO BE HEARD. I AM OPEN TO THAT. BUT
- 2 I THINK THE CONVERSATION WE JUST HAD, QUESTIONS FROM YOURSELF
- 3 AND QUESTIONS FROM SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY ARE INSTRUCTIVE AND
- 4 GIVE US A SENSE THAT WE CAN FIGURE OUT HOW TO GET THIS DONE
- 5 AND IT WILL BENEFIT ALL OF OUR CONSTITUENTS.

6

- 7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BEFORE YOU GET TO THE PUBLIC HEARING, LET ME
- 8 JUST ASK ONE QUESTION AND THEN I WANT TO RAISE THE OTHER ISSUE
- 9 THAT'S BEEN ON MY MIND. ONE IS UNDER THE P.L.A., WHEN A
- 10 CONTRACTOR CONTRIBUTES TO THE TRUST FUND, IS THE HEALTH
- 11 BENEFITS TRUST FUND IS THAT WHAT IT IS? THE HEALTH TRUST FUND?

12

13 RICHARD SLAUSON: HEALTH BENEFITS, THEY'RE SEPARATE.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. SO IT CONTRIBUTES TO THE HEALTH TRUST
- 16 FUND. IF THERE IS NO P.L.A., IS THE AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT THE
- 17 CONTRACTOR HAS TO PUT UP FOR HEALTH BENEFITS COMPARABLE TO
- 18 THAT AMOUNT THAT HE WOULD HAVE PUT INTO THE HEALTH TRUST FUND?
- 19 THE UNION TRUST FUND?

20

- 21 DAVID HOWARD: AGAIN THE AMOUNT THAT THE COUNTY WOULD PAY FOR
- 22 THAT IS SPECIFIED IN THE PREVAILING WAGE LAW. SO WE PAY THE
- 23 SAME AMOUNT WHETHER IT GOES INTO THE TRUST FUND, IT GOES TO
- 24 THE SUBCONTRACTOR OR DIRECTLY TO THE EMPLOYEE.



1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WE PAY IT?

2

3 DAVID HOWARD: CORRECT.

4

5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WE PAY IT TO THE SUBCONTRACTOR.

6

- 7 DAVID HOWARD: WE PAY IT TO THE GENERAL. THE GENERAL TO THE
- 8 SUBS. BUT EACH MONTH WHEN THEY SUBMIT THEIR PAY APPLICATION,
- 9 THEY HAVE TO PROVIDE THEIR CERTIFIED PAYROLL THAT IDENTIFIES
- 10 PER EMPLOYEE THAT THEY'VE PAID THE PREVAILING WAGE AND IT
- 11 WOULD BREAK THOSE THINGS OUT.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO IF IT'S \$1,000 INTO THE TRUST FUND WITH A
- 14 P.L.A. THAT WE'RE PAYING TO THE GENERAL TO DISTRIBUTE TO THE
- 15 TRUST FUND, IT'S \$1,000 WITHOUT A P.L.A. THAT WE'D BE PAYING?

16

17 DAVID HOWARD: CORRECT.

18

19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: DOLLAR FOR DOLLAR.

- 21 DAVID HOWARD: THAT'S MY UNDERSTANDING, YES, SUPERVISOR. THAT
- 22 THAT AMOUNT IS SPECIFIED BY THE STATE. AND THEY HAVE TO
- 23 PROVIDE A CERTIFICATION THAT THEY HAVE DONE THAT. AND BENEFITS
- 24 IS ONE OF SEVERAL CATEGORIES THAT'S IDENTIFIED IN A PREVAILING
- WAGE.



- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. BECAUSE CERTAINLY THE IMPRESSION, IT
- 3 WASN'T STATED, BUT THE IMPRESSION THAT ONE GOT FROM THIS IS
- 4 THAT WITHOUT THE P.L.A., YOU GOT -- YOU WOULDN'T GET THE SAME
- 5 BENEFITS THAT -- WHAT THE UNION DUES ARE GOING FOR IS TO
- 6 ENSURE THESE BENEFITS. THE FACT IS THAT THEY GET ESSENTIALLY
- 7 THE SAME BENEFIT EITHER WAY. BY THE SAME TOKEN, THE JEOPARDY,
- 8 HANG ON. THE JEOPARDY THAT WE HAVE BEEN CONCERNED ABOUT TO THE
- 9 COUNTY IS NO GREATER OR NO LESS, BASED ON WHAT YOU'RE SAYING,
- 10 WITH OR WITHOUT A P.L.A. ANYWAY, THE SECOND THING I WANT, JUST
- 11 HOLD THAT THOUGHT. I DON'T WANT TO CUT YOU OFF, BUT I'M GOING
- 12 TO FORGET WHAT I WANT TO SAY. I'M OLDER THAN YOU ARE, BILL.
- 13 THE OTHER ISSUE I HAD AND I DISCUSSED IT WITH SUPERVISOR
- 14 RIDLEY-THOMAS AND WITH MS. DURAZO IS THIS ISSUE OF THE
- 15 JURISDICTIONAL DISPUTES. AND I DON'T WANT TO GET INTO A LONG
- 16 DISCUSSION ABOUT IT NOW. JUST TO GET TO THE BOTTOM LINE THAT
- 17 THE INFORMATION WE'RE GETTING -- AND I'VE ALSO GOTTEN IT IN
- 18 WORKING ON THE P.L.A. AT THE EXPO AUTHORITY, IS THAT THERE IS
- 19 A PROPENSITY, MAYBE THAT'S TOO STRONG A WORD BUT I'LL USE IT,
- 20 ANYWAY, A PROPENSITY FOR DELAYS IN LONG MEDIATION PROCESSES
- 21 BECAUSE OF JURISDICTIONAL DISPUTES AMONG YOUR CONSTITUENT
- 22 MEMBERS. AND I'LL LET YOU RESPOND TO THAT. BUT HERE'S WHERE
- 23 I'M GOING. YOU'RE PROBABLY GOING TO TELL ME THERE IS NO SUCH
- 24 THING AND THAT'S FINE. BUT IF THERE IS SUCH A THING, THEN WHAT
- 25 I WANT TO SEE US DEVELOP, IF THIS IS GOING TO GO FORWARD, IS



- 1 SOME MECHANISM WHICH PUTS A VERY TIGHT TIME FRAME ON THE
- 2 RESOLUTION OF THESE DISPUTES THAT IF THEY'RE NOT RESOLVED
- 3 WITHIN SEVEN DAYS OR WHATEVER AN APPROPRIATE NUMBER OF DAYS
- 4 IS, THAT IT BE RETURNED TO US TO ADJUDICATE IT, WHATEVER THE
- 5 APPROPRIATE PLACE IS. BUT THE INFORMATION I'VE GOTTEN OVER A
- 6 PERIOD OF MONTHS NOW IS THAT WHEN THESE DISPUTES HAPPEN,
- 7 YOU'VE GOT THIS NATIONAL UNION MEDIATION PROCESS THAT GOES
- 8 BACK TO WASHINGTON AND PING PONGS BACK AND FORTH AND IT CAN
- 9 JUST DRAG ON AND ON. SO YOU GOT ONE GUY SAYING I CAN DO THE
- 10 JOB, AND THE SECOND GUY SAYING I CAN DO THE JOB FROM ANOTHER
- 11 UNION. YOU GOT TO RESOLVE THIS WITHIN YOUR OWN ORGANIZATION.
- 12 AND WE END UP BEING THE VICTIMS, IF THAT'S TRUE. NOW MARIALENA
- 13 TOLD ME YESTERDAY THAT SHE BETS THERE ARE ZERO SUCH CASES. I
- 14 WOULD LIKE TO TAKE THAT BET BUT I WOULDN'T WANT TO TAKE HER
- 15 MONEY. I DON'T KNOW HOW BIG A PROBLEM IT IS. AND I THINK I
- 16 NEED TO KNOW HOW BIG OF A PROBLEM IT IS. IT'S A SIGNIFICANT
- 17 ENOUGH CONCERN AT EXPO THAT IT'S PART OF THE DISCUSSION, I
- 18 THINK YOU'RE AWARE OF THAT. AND HOPEFULLY WE'LL FIGURE OUT A
- 19 WAY TO DEAL WITH THAT. IT MAY BE ALL MOOT. BUT IF THIS IS
- 20 GOING TO BE GOING FORWARD, THEN THAT'S AN ISSUE THAT I DON'T
- 21 WANT TO GET CAUGHT UP, I DON'T WANT THE COUNTY TO GET UP
- 22 PAYING MORE FOR A PROJECT. THIS IS ALREADY GOING TO COST US A
- 23 LITTLE MORE BECAUSE OF THE OVERHEAD THAT'S INVOLVED WITH THIS.
- 24 BUT DELAYS ARE COSTLY BOTH FROM A FINANCIAL POINT MUCH VIEW
- 25 AND ALSO FROM A DELAY IN GETTING THE SERVICE THAT THIS PROJECT



1 IS SUPPOSED TO PROVIDE FOR THE COMMUNITY. EVERY MONTH THAT

- 2 IT'S LATE IS A MONTH THAT THE COMMUNITY IS DENIED VITAL
- 3 SERVICE. SO I DON'T KNOW WHERE THIS IS GOING. I GUESS WE'LL
- 4 HEAR THE PUBLIC TESTIMONY. BUT THAT WOULD BE ONE ISSUE THAT
- 5 I'D WANT TO FOCUS ON. SURE.

6

- 7 RICHARD SLAUSON: I COULD JUST TAKE A SECOND AND EXPLAIN TO
- 8 YOU. THE NATIONAL PLAN FOR SETTLEMENT OF JURISDICTIONAL
- 9 DISPUTES WAS PUT IN PLACE SPECIFICALLY TO ADDRESS YOUR
- 10 CONCERNS, THAT THERE ARE NOT SHUTDOWNS OVER DISPUTES BETWEEN
- 11 INDIVIDUAL TRADES ON WHO SHOULD PERFORM CERTAIN WORK. IF
- 12 SOMEONE HAS A DISPUTE, IT IS SUPPOSED TO BE TAKEN UNDER
- 13 CONSIDERATION AT THE BEGINNING OF A JOB, BEFORE WORK EVEN
- 14 STARTS AT A PRE-JOB CONFERENCE, WHICH IS MANDATORY UNDER THE
- 15 PLAN. SO IF A TRADE, A CONTRACTOR LAYS OUT WHO HE EXPECTS TO
- 16 ASSIGN WORK TO, THE TRADE HAS A CONCERN, AT THAT TIME HE CAN
- 17 GO THROUGH THE PROCESS THAT IS INTERNATIONAL, WHICH USUALLY
- 18 TAKES ABOUT THREE WEEKS TO RESOLVE IT BETWEEN THE TWO CRAFTS
- 19 THAT MIGHT BE INVOLVED. AND THE OTHER PART OF THE PLAN THAT IS
- 20 MOST IMPORTANT AND ADDRESSES YOUR CONCERN IS THAT AT NO TIME
- 21 CAN A PROJECT BE SHUT DOWN. IF A TRADE ATTEMPTED TO DELAY THE
- 22 PROJECT, THEY WOULD AUTOMATICALLY LOSE ANY CLAIM TO THE
- 23 PARTICULAR WORK THAT WAS UNDER CONSIDERATION. THEY KNOW THAT.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: SO WHAT DO YOU DO WHEN YOU HAVE A STEEL
- 2 WORKER WHO SAYS "I CAN PUT THAT TOILET IN" AND A PLUMBER WHO
- 3 SAYS "I CAN PUT THAT TOILET IN." HOW DO YOU KEEP THE JOB
- 4 GOING?

5

6 RICHARD SLAUSON: WELL, THE JOB ALWAYS KEEPS GOING.

7

8 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: YOU CALL AN ELECTRICIAN.

9

- 10 RICHARD SLAUSON: THEY SUBMIT IT IN WRITING WASHINGTON. AND
- 11 THEN IT CAN GO TO A A HEARING AND EVERYBODY HAS THEIR
- 12 REPRESENTATIVE THERE TO ARGUE THEIR CASE.

13

- 14 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHILE THAT GOES ON, THE TOILET DOESN'T GET
- 15 INSTALLED.

16

17 RICHARD SLAUSON: CONTINUES ON

18

19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHO INSTALLS THE TOILET?

20

21 RICHARD SLAUSON: THERE IS NO BACK PAY INVOLVED.

22

- 23 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHO DOES THE ACTUAL WORK THAT THE DISPUTE IS
- 24 OVER?



- 1 RICHARD SLAUSON: WHOEVER THE CONTRACTOR HAD ASSIGNED IT TO.
- 2 THE CONTRACTOR HAS THE RESPONSIBILITY TO MAKE THE ASSIGNMENTS.
- 3 THEY'RE SUPPOSED TO MAKE THE ASSIGNMENTS BASED ON THEIR
- 4 KNOWLEDGE OF WHO SHOULD PROPERLY PERFORM.

5

- 6 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I GOT IT. WHAT HAPPENS AT THE END OF YOUR
- 7 MEDIATION PROCESS?

8

- 9 RICHARD SLAUSON: THEN A DECISION COMES OUT. AND YOUR
- 10 DEFINITION I CAN GUARANTEE YOU I WOULD BET ON THAT 100
- 11 PERCENT, THAT IF THE IRONWORKER WAS PERFORMING WORK THAT A
- 12 PLUMBER WAS DOING OR VICE VERSA, THAT THE DECISION WOULD COME
- 13 OUT IF IT WAS IRON WORK IN FAVOR OF THE IRONWORKER AND IF IT
- 14 WAS INSTALLING A TOILET IN FAVOR OF THE PLUMBER. IF THAT WAS
- 15 THE ASSIGNMENT ORIGINALLY, THERE'S NO CHANGE. IF THE
- 16 ASSIGNMENT WAS A GRAY AREA WHERE THERE MIGHT BE A POSSIBLE
- 17 DIS-ASSIGNMENT.

18

19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THEN WHAT HAPPENS?

20

- 21 RICHARDS SLAUSON: THEN THE CONTRACTOR IS BOUND TO MAKE THE
- 22 CHANGE. BUT HE HAS NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR --

- 24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BUT THE CHANGE, IF IT'S TOO LATE AND THE
- 25 TOILET'S BEEN INSTALLED.



1

- 2 RICHARD SLAUSON: THEN ALL THE UNION HAS TO DISPUTE IT IS A
- 3 PIECE OF PAPER THAT SAYS THEY SHOULD HAVE PERFORMED THE WORK.
- 4 THERE IS NO RESPONSIBILITY MONETARILY FOR THE CONTRACTOR
- 5 WHATSOEVER. MR. DIXON FROM PARSONS, WHICH IS A WELL KNOWN
- 6 INTERNATIONAL COMPANY AND DOES PROJECT MANAGEMENT, HAS
- 7 INTIMATE KNOWLEDGE OF THESE PROCESSES, AS WELL, FROM A
- 8 MANAGEMENT VIEW. AND HE COULD EXPLAIN TO YOU THE SAME PROCESS.

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OKAY. LAST QUESTION ON THIS. WHAT GOOD DOES
- 11 IT DO THE UNION THAT DIDN'T DO THE WORK TO WIN A MEDIATION
- 12 AFTER IF JOB IS DONE?

13

- 14 RICHARD SLAUSON: THEY THEN HAVE A LETTER THAT SAYS THAT THAT
- 15 IS PROPERLY THEIR WORK AND IN FUTURE PROJECTS WHERE A
- 16 CONTRACTOR WAS MAKING AN ASSIGNMENT THEY WOULD SHOW THEM THAT
- 17 THAT SHOULD PROPERLY BE THEIR WORK, EXACTLY THE WORD.

18

- 19 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: MADAM CHAIR AS IT RELATES TO THE MOTION,
- 20 THAT ISSUE IS CALLED FORTH FOR OUR NEGOTIATING TEAM TO COME
- 21 BACK WITH A SPECIFIC SET OF RESPONSES AS INDICATED IN B OF THE
- 22 MOTION WITH THE INSTRUCTIONS.

- 24 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: I WAS ALSO GOING TO SUGGEST THAT IF THIS IS
- 25 APPROVED THAT IN OUR REPORT BACK WE WOULD GIVE YOU A SIDE-BY-



- 1 SIDE COMPARISON OF WHAT A WORKER WOULD GET UNDER A P.L.A. WITH
- 2 RESPECT TO WAGES AND BENEFITS AND WHAT A WORKER WOULD GET IF
- 3 NOT UNDER A P.L.A., SO WE CLEARLY SHOW THAT SIDE BY SIDE
- 4 COMPARISON.

5

- 6 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: OKAY. ALL RIGHT. NEXT WE HAVE BRAD CARSON
- 7 AND ARTURO YBARRA, YANCY COOKS AND LESLIE GERSICOFF. PLEASE
- 8 JOIN US. IS MR. CARSON HERE? COME ON UP, SIR.

9

- 10 ARTURO YBARRA: GOOD AFTERNOON, MADAM CHAIR, HONORABLE
- 11 SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS ARTURO YBARRA, I'M THE DIRECTOR AND
- 12 COFOUNDER OF THE THE WATTS/CENTURY LATINO ORGANIZATION A
- 13 NONPROFIT SERVING WATTS, WILLOWBROOK AND SOUTH LOS ANGELES FOR
- 14 OVER 18 YEARS. I'M HERE TO URGE THIS HONORABLE BOARD OF
- 15 SUPERVISORS TO APPROVE A PROPOSAL TO ESTABLISH A PROJECT LABOR
- 16 AGREEMENT TO IMPLEMENT THE NEW LOCAL WORKER POLICY AT THE
- 17 MULTISERVICE AMBULATORY CARE CENTERS AT THE NEW MARTIN LUTHER
- 18 KING, JR. MEDICAL CENTER. OUR COMMUNITY IS EXTREMELY
- 19 FRUSTRATED DUE TO A HIGH NUMBER OF FORECLOSURES, THE GREED OF
- 20 INVESTORS AND BANKS AND ONE OF THE HIGHEST UNEMPLOYMENT RATES
- 21 IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. I STRONGLY BELIEVE THAT
- 22 APPROVING THESE PROPOSALS TO PROVIDE QUALITY JOBS WITH ALL THE
- 23 BENEFITS OUR LOCAL WORKERS CAN GET WILL BE JUST AN ACT OF
- 24 JUSTICE FOR OUR COMMUNITY. THANK YOU.



1 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU, SIR. MR. CARSON?

- 3 BRAD CARSON: GOOD AFTERNOON, HONORABLE MADAM CHAIR AND ALL THE
- 4 HONORABLE SUPERVISORS. MY NAME IS BRAD CARSON. I'VE BEEN
- 5 GETTING JOBS FROM GANG MEMBERS FOR WELL OVER 20 YEARS MOSTLY
- 6 THROUGH THE CONSTRUCTION, MOSTLY THROUGH LOCAL HIRING, AND
- 7 THEN AS OF RECENT, THE 10 YEARS, MOSTLY THROUGH PROJECT LABOR
- 8 AGREEMENTS. AS WELL I'M THE FOUNDER OF P.B. JOBS. I HELPED
- 9 NEGOTIATE THE P.L.A. AT THE PLAYA VISTA DEVELOPMENT, THAT WAS
- 10 WELL OVER 10 YEARS AGO. WE PUT WELL OVER 800 GANG MEMBERS INTO
- 11 THE UNIONS. WE PUT WELL OVER 1300 FELONS INTO THE UNIONS AT
- 12 THAT ONE SITE. SO WE ARE REALLY, REALLY WELL-INFORMED ON HOW
- 13 LOCAL HIRING WORKS AND HOW PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENTS WORK. I
- 14 WAS BEFORE THIS COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS BACK IN '97 TO GET
- 15 ESSENTIALLY LOCAL HIRING ON PROPOSITION A THE SAFE GATE
- 16 NEIGHBORHOOD PARKS ACT IN 1992 AND 1996. I KNOW THE DIFFERENCE
- 17 BETWEEN LOCAL HIRING AND PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENTS AS WELL AS
- 18 FAR AS THIS PERTAINS TO ACTUALLY GETTING THE AT-RISK
- 19 DISADVANTAGED WORKER MORE AND MORE BETTER PAYING JOBS AND
- 20 EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES TO A MIDDLE CLASS CAREER OPPORTUNITY.
- 21 WITH THAT SAID, I'M IN AGREEMENT WITH THE HONORABLE MARK
- 22 RIDLEY-THOMAS. IT APPEARS TO ME THAT FURTHER KNOWLEDGE OF
- 23 LOCAL HIRING AND P.L.A.S IS NEEDED BY THIS HONORABLE BOARD OF
- 24 SUPERVISORS, AND I OFFER MY SERVICES IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS
- 25 ON HOW IT AFFECTS THE DISADVANTAGED WORKER. THANK YOU.



1

2 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU. SIR?

3

- 4 YANCY COOKS: GOOD EVENING. FIRST OF ALL I'D LIKE TO THANK GOD
- 5 FOR THIS OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK. GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISORS. MY
- 6 NAME IS YANCY COOKS. I'M HERE FROM THE OTHER YOUTH FROM SOUTH
- 7 L.A. AREA. WE ARE HERE TODAY TO ASK ALL OF YOU TO PLEASE
- 8 SUPPORT THE PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT, WHICH WILL HELP PROVIDE
- 9 MIDDLE CLASS JOBS FOR EVERYONE IN OUR COMMUNITY. WE
- 10 DESPERATELY NEED THE OPPORTUNITY. THESE JOBS WILL HELP US HAVE
- 11 LONG-TERM GOALS AND CAREERS THAT WILL PROVIDE HEALTH AND
- 12 RETIREMENT BENEFITS. WITH THIS OPPORTUNITY, WE CAN ALL
- 13 TRANSITION OUT OF THE CURRENT SYSTEM WE'RE IN. I WOULD LIKE TO
- 14 THANK ALL THE SUPERVISORS HERE TODAY FOR MAKING THINGS BETTER
- 15 IN THE COMMUNITY. SPECIAL THANKS TO OUR SUPERVISOR, MARK
- 16 RIDLEY-THOMAS, FOR MAKING JOBS IN OUR COMMUNITY AND GIVING
- 17 EVERY HUMAN BEING EQUAL RIGHTS. WE NEED MORE JOBS SO PEOPLE IN
- 18 THE COMMUNITY WILL HAVE HOPE, FREEDOM AND WILL NOT HAVE TO
- 19 DEPEND ON OTHER SOURCES THAT ARE NOT GOOD. THANK YOU.

20

- 21 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU, SIR. IF WE COULD HAVE RONALD
- 22 PRICE JOIN US, ROBERT SMITH AND DAVID GOMEZ. MISS GERSICOFF?

- 24 LESLIE GERSICOFF: I'M LESLIE GERSICOFF. I'M THE EXECUTIVE
- 25 DIRECTOR OF THE JEWISH LABOR COMMITTEE, WESTERN REGION. I ALSO



- 1 CHAIR AN ORGANIZATION OF N.G.O.S, A COALITION CALLED LANES,
- 2 LOS ANGELES NETWORK AGAINST SLAVERY, TO END SLAVERY. AND I
- 3 ALSO AM ON A COMMITTEE WITH THE JOB CREATION CENTER IN SOUTH
- 4 L.A. TO CREATE EMPLOYMENT. I WOULD LIKE TO -- NORMALLY I TALK
- 5 ABOUT HUMAN TRAFFICKING. BUT 30 SECONDS IS NOT GOING TO BE
- 6 ENOUGH TIME, SO I WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT LESS THAN TWO
- 7 HOURS AGO YOU AWARDED A GROUP OF PEOPLE FOR BEING ABLE TO
- 8 ENABLE THE COLLECTION OR THE POSSIBLE COLLECTION OF MONIES FOR
- 9 SUPPORT FOR CHILDREN. THE FATHERS AND THE MOTHERS WHO LIVE IN
- 10 THESE AREAS NEED GOOD UNION JOBS IN ORDER TO SUPPORT THOSE
- 11 FAMILIES STABLY AND THROUGH THE FUTURE. THEY'LL GET THAT
- 12 TRAINING THROUGH THE P.L.A. THANK YOU.

14 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU. MR. PRICE? NO MR. PRICE. OKAY.

15 HOW ABOUT MR. SMITH?

13

16

17 ROBERT SMITH: GOOD MORNING. I SAY GOOD MORNING, IT'S ALMOST

- 18 NOON, MADAM CHAIR AND BOARD MEMBERS. MY NAME IS ROBERT SMITH,
- 19 I'M THE GOVERNMENTAL AFFAIRS DIRECTOR FOR THE PAINTERS AND
- 20 ALLIED TRADES UNION. AND AS I LISTEN TO THE DISCUSSION, A LOT
- 21 OF GREAT CONCERNS HERE. BUT THERE IS -- YOU KNOW I CAN ONLY
- 22 SPEAK FROM PERSONAL EXPERIENCE. PERSONAL EXPERIENCE, FOR SEVEN
- 23 YEARS I DID PUBLIC WORKS COMPLIANCE WHERE I DID THE DAY-TO-DAY
- 24 INTERVIEWS WITH FOLKS, ET CETERA. AND WHAT I DID FIND, AND
- 25 THIS IS VERY VERIFIABLE, IS BESIDES LABOR VIOLATIONS FROM A



- 1 LOT OF OUR A.B.C. CONTRACTORS, WHAT I FOUND WAS WHEN SOMEONE
- 2 DID HAVE A MEDICAL BENEFIT, IT GENERALLY WAS FOR THAT PERSON
- 3 ALONE. IT NEVER INCLUDED THE FAMILY. OUR MEDICAL BENEFITS
- 4 INCLUDE THE FAMILY. YOU KNOW, I'M ONE OF 13 KIDS. I WATCHED MY
- 5 MOTHER DIE AT 42 YEARS OLD BECAUSE WE COULD -- HEY, I KNOW
- 6 WHAT FOOD STAMPS ARE, I GREW UP ON THEM. I KNOW WHAT IT'S LIKE
- 7 TO NOT HAVE A CAREER PATH TO THE MIDDLE CLASS. AND I THANK GOD
- 8 FOR THE UNIONS LETTING ME HAVE A MIDDLE CLASS JOB AND
- 9 PROVIDING FOR MY CHILDREN. THANK YOU.

10

- 11 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU, SIR. LET ME CALL UP VANCE
- 12 WEBSTER, WILL SCOTT AND DAVID FRELOW. MR. GOMEZ?

- 14 DAVID GOMEZ: YES. MY NAME'S DAVE GOMEZ I'M WITH THE NATIONAL
- 15 ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION AND I.B.W. LOCAL 11. I'VE
- 16 BEEN AN ELECTRICIAN FOR OVER 27 YEARS. I KNOW THE DIFFERENCE
- 17 BETWEEN HAVING A HEALTH PLAN AND NOT. HAVING A HEALTH PLAN
- 18 SAVED MY LIFE, IS ONE THING. BECAUSE IF YOU DON'T HAVE A
- 19 HEALTH PLAN, YOU'RE GOING TO THINK TWICE ABOUT GOING TO THE
- 20 HOSPITAL. ANOTHER THING, TOO, IS I WANT TO TALK A LITTLE BIT
- 21 ABOUT A.B.C. AND THE BUILDING TRADES. THE BUILDING TRADES HAVE
- 22 AN AVERAGE OF 89 PERCENT GRADUATION FROM APPRENTICESHIP
- 23 PROGRAM. A.B.C. HAS BETWEEN 3 PERCENT AND 30 PERCENT. THERE'S
- 24 A DIFFERENCE. IF YOU HAD A HIGH SCHOOL WITH A GRADUATION RATE
- 25 OF 30 PERCENT, YOU'D BE LOOKING AT THAT. SO I REALLY THINK YOU



- 1 SHOULD CONSIDER A P.L.A. I'M FOR IT. I THINK IT'S GOOD LOCAL
- 2 JOBS. IT DOESN'T DISCRIMINATE AGAINST UNION CONTRACTORS AND
- 3 NONUNION. THANK YOU.

4

5 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU, SIR. MR. WEBSTER?

6

- 7 VANCE WEBSTER: YES. MY NAME IS VANCE WEBSTER. I'M HERE ON
- 8 BEHALF OF FATHER GREGORY BOYLE FROM HOMEBOYS INDUSTRIES. AND
- 9 WE'RE HERE IN SUPPORT OF THE LABOR AGREEMENT PROJECT OR
- 10 PROPOSAL. THE HOMEBOYS INDUSTRY, WE HAVE OVER -- WE SEE OVER
- 11 12,000 GANG MEMBERS A YEAR, ANOTHER 8,000 INDIVIDUALS WHO WALK
- 12 THROUGH THAT DOOR SEEKING JOBS, TATTOO REMOVALS, ANGER
- 13 MANAGEMENT AND OTHER PROGRAMS THAT WE HAVE IN HOMEBOYS
- 14 INDUSTRIES. ONE SECOND HERE. OKAY. I GOT EVERYTHING. OH,
- 15 EXCUSE ME. THIS IS THE FIRST TIME. WE'RE HERE IN SUPPORT OF
- 16 IT. LIKE MY BACK OF MY SHIRT SAYS, THE HOMEBOY SLOGAN, NOTHING
- 17 STOP A JOB LIKE A BULLET. NOTHING STOP A BULLET LIKE A JOB.
- 18 AND WE INVITE ANYBODY AND EVERYBODY TO COME DOWN THERE AND SEE
- 19 THE WORKS THAT WE'RE DOING DOWN THERE AND THE SUPPORT THAT WE
- 20 NEED DOWN THERE. SO I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU.

21

22 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU, SIR. MR. SCOTT?

- 24 WILL SCOTT: THANK YOU, HONORABLE SUPERVISORS. CONTRACTOR,
- 25 MANAGEMENT AND LABOR ORGANIZATIONS HAVE FULLY COMPLIED WITH



- 1 THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA LABOR CODE WHEN THEY HAVE
- 2 PARTICIPATING APPRENTICESHIPS ON PUBLIC WORKS PROJECTS. AND
- 3 FOR OVER 70 YEARS, APPRENTICESHIP PROGRAMS HAVE PROVIDED A
- 4 MECHANISM FOR YOUNG PEOPLE FROM ALL WORKS OF LIFE TO BECOME A
- 5 PROFESSIONAL IN THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY. BUT IT IS ONLY WHEN
- 6 THERE'S A PARTNERSHIP BETWEEN MANAGEMENT, LABOR AND GOVERNMENT
- 7 THAT THIS BENEFITS TO PRESENT AND FUTURE GENERATIONS CAN FULLY
- 8 BE REALIZED. PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENTS HELP FACILITATE THAT
- 9 VEHICLE TO CAREERS AND APPRENTICESHIP PROGRAMS. WITHOUT A
- 10 PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT, YOUR LOCAL HIRE PROGRAM THAT YOU
- 11 RECENTLY APPROVED IS REALLY NOTHING MORE THAN A SHORT JOBS
- 12 PROGRAM FOR THESE NEIGHBORHOODS. AND I REALLY URGE YOU TO LOOK
- 13 AT APPROVING THE PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT. THANK YOU.

- 15 DAVID FRELOW: GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS DAVID FRELOW. I
- 16 REPRESENT THE LABORERS INTERNATIONAL UNION NORTH AMERICA. I'M
- 17 ALSO A LOS ANGELES COMMUNITY RESIDENT. I'M HERE TODAY IN FULL
- 18 SUPPORT OF A COMPREHENSIVE PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT. WE LIKE
- 19 THE COMPONENT, THE LOCAL HIRE COMPONENT. IT ENSURES THAT
- 20 PEOPLE THAT HAVE FACES LIKE MINE, UNDERUTILIZED WORKERS, AT-
- 21 RISK YOUTH, FOSTER CHILDREN, GANG MEMBERS, EX-FELONS, THOSE
- 22 ARE THE PEOPLE THAT WE LIKE TO TARGET. WE LIKE TO -- WE
- 23 BELIEVE IN GIVING THEM A SECOND CHANCE TO GIVE THEM HOPE THAT
- 24 THERE IS A SUSTAINABLE JOB AND A CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY WITH
- 25 GOOD PAY AND GREAT BENEFITS. ALSO PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENTS,



- 1 THEY'RE PROVEN. THEY ENSURE THAT HARMONY IS CREATED THROUGHOUT
- 2 THE PROJECT. THEY SAVE THE OWNERS MONEY. THEY ALSO ENSURE A
- 3 PROFESSIONAL PROJECT AT THE END OF THE COMPLETION. SO, AGAIN,
- 4 WE WOULD LIKE FOR YOU TO PLEASE CONSIDER APPROVING THIS
- 5 PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

6

- 7 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU. NEXT WE HAVE JAMES IRWIN,
- 8 JACKIE NUTTING, JUAN SANTANA, AND DANIEL VANDERWAL, PLEASE
- 9 JOIN US.

10

- 11 JAMES IRWIN: MY NAME IS JAMES IRWIN AND I REPRESENT THE
- 12 PAINTERS AND ALLIED TRADES UNION. WE HAVE OVER 10,000 MEMBERS
- 13 IN THE SOUTHERN HALF OF THE STATE. WE REPRESENT DRYWALL
- 14 FINISHERS, FLOOR LAYERS, PAINTERS AND GLAZERS WHO DO WORK ON
- 15 THESE CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS. SO I'M DEFINITELY IN SUPPORT. I'D
- 16 LIKE TO ADVOCATE SUPPORT OF THE PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT TODAY.
- 17 THANK YOU.

18

19 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: MISS NUTTING ?

- 21 JACKIE NUTTING: HELLO, BOARD MEMBERS. MY NAME IS JACKIE
- 22 NUTTING WITH ASSOCIATED BUILDERS AND CONTRACTORS, SOUTHERN
- 23 CALIFORNIA CHAPTER. I'D LIKE TO POINT OUT TO YOU THAT THE
- 24 ASSOCIATED BUILDERS AND CONTRACTORS IS A MEMBER OF THE
- 25 METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY'S TRANSPORTATION



- 1 BUSINESS ADVISORY COUNCIL, OR T.B.A.C. SEVERAL MEMBERS OF THE
- 2 COUNCIL HAVE QUALIFIED FOR THE SMALL BUSINESS ENTERPRISE
- 3 PROGRAM. AS OF THE NOVEMBER 4 T.B.A.C. MEETING AN AD HOC
- 4 COMMITTEE WAS FORMED BY T.B.A.C. TO OPPOSE THE PROJECT LABOR
- 5 AGREEMENT UNDER DEVELOPMENT BY EXPO AND THE PROJECT LABOR
- 6 AGREEMENT BEING CONSIDERED BY MEMBERS OF THE M.T.A. BOARD FOR
- 7 MEASURE R FUNDS. THEY ARE OPPOSING IT BECAUSE THEY KNOW THAT A
- 8 P.L.A. WILL MEAN THAT THEY WILL BE SEVERELY HANDICAPPED IN
- 9 BIDDING AND WILL BE REQUIRED TO VIRTUALLY TURN OVER THEIR
- 10 BUSINESSES TO THE UNIONS IN ORDER TO BE ALLOWED TO WORK ON A
- 11 JOB THEY HAVE BID. THIS HAPPENS BECAUSE THEY HAVE TO PAY UNION
- 12 DUES AND FEES. THEY HAVE TO HAVE THEIR EMPLOYEES REGISTER AT
- 13 UNION HIRING HAULS. AND THEY HAVE TO WORK UNDER COLLECTIVE
- 14 BARGAINING AGREEMENT AS WELL AS PAY INTO PENSION PLANS THAT
- 15 THEIR EMPLOYEES WILL NEVER BENEFIT FROM. I'M GLAD THAT THEY
- 16 POINTED OUT RIVERSIDE COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT AS OF THE
- 17 EXAMPLES. I'M DOING A STUDY ON RIVERSIDE COMMUNITY COLLEGE
- 18 DISTRICT. AND I CAN TELL YOU THAT THEY'VE LOST HALF OF THEIR
- 19 BIDDERS AND THEIR COST FACTOR HAS GONE UP BY APPROXIMATELY 20
- 20 PERCENT. ALSO, I'D LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT THE L.A. COUNTY
- 21 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AND THE TAXPAYERS WILL SUFFER FINANCIALLY
- 22 UNDER THE P.L.A. IF YOU'LL LOOK AT PAGE 8 ON MR. FUJIOKA'S
- 23 REPORT, YOU WOULD JUST SEE THAT IN HIS REPORT, HE STATES THAT
- 24 "BECAUSE THE P.L.A. SETS STANDARDS, LABOR COSTS, AND WORK
- 25 RULES, NONUNION CONTRACTORS CANNOT WIN BIDS BASED ON LOWER



- 1 COSTS. THAT MEANS THAT THE COUNTY CANNOT BENEFIT BY LOWER
- 2 COSTS BY THE CONTRACTORS, EITHER. THE REASON WHY, I QUICKLY U
- 3 WANT TO FINISH BY JUST TELLING YOU THAT I'VE GIVEN YOU THREE
- 4 DOCUMENTS THAT YOU SHOULD HAVE IN YOUR HANDS, PLEASE IF YOU
- 5 WOULD LOOK AT IT. I'VE GIVEN YOU THE SAN GABRIEL UNIFIED
- 6 SCHOOL DISTRICT'S PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT. AND THE REASON WHY
- 7 IS BECAUSE A PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT A TEMPLATE. WHAT YOU HAVE
- 8 IN YOUR HANDS IS WHAT YOU WILL BE GIVEN INTO YOUR HANDS IF YOU
- 9 GO AHEAD WITH THE PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT. YOU JUST TAKE OUT
- 10 THE WORD "SAN GABRIEL UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT" AND INSERT
- "MARTIN LUTHER KING HOSPITAL" AND THERE'S YOUR P.L.A. MAY I BE
- 12 GIVEN THE SAME AMOUNT THAT MR. SLAUSON GOT, WHICH WAS TWO AND
- 13 A HALF MINUTES?

14

- 15 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: I UNDERSTAND, WE WERE ASKING QUESTIONS OF
- 16 MR. SLAUSON.

17

- 18 JACKIE NUTTING: OKAY. AND I WOULD JUST RESPECTFULLY ASK THAT I
- 19 BE ALLOWED TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS SHOULD THE BOARD HAVE THEM.

20

- 21 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: SURE, IF THERE ARE QUESTIONS. ALL RIGHT.
- 22 SANTANA?

- 24 JUAN SANTANA: HI, MY NAME IS JUAN SANTANA. I'VE LIVED IN L.A.
- 25 COUNTY ALL MY LIFE. I'M AN A.B.C. GRADUATE. A.B.C. IS A STATE-



- 1 RECOGNIZED PROGRAM. I DO GET MEDICAL BENEFITS AND FULL
- 2 BENEFITS WITH THE NONUNION CONTRACTOR I WORK WITH, HELIX
- 3 ELECTRIC. I'VE WORKED WITH THEM FOR FOUR YEARS. I THINK THE
- 4 P.L.A. IS NOT FAIR TO NONUNION CONTRACTORS THAT ARE VERY
- 5 OUALIFIED, BECAUSE IT'S JUST NOT FAIR. IT GETS RID OF
- 6 COMPETITIVE BIDDING. AND AS FAR AS PAYING INTO UNION DUES ON
- 7 LIKE A TWO-YEAR PROJECT, IN TWO YEARS YOU PROBABLY WON'T BE
- 8 VESTED. AND AFTER THOSE TWO YEARS, YOU COULD GO ON ANOTHER
- 9 PROJECT AND YOU WON'T GET ANY RETIREMENT BECAUSE YOU'RE NOT
- 10 VESTED. THANK YOU.

11

- 12 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU. BEFORE I CALL ON MR. VANDERWAL,
- 13 COULD WE HAVE ANDREW WELLS AND KEVIN KORENTHAL JOIN US. MR.
- 14 VANDERWAL?

- 16 DANIEL VANDERWAL: GOOD MORNING. THANK YOU FOR GIVING ME A
- 17 CHANCE TO SPEAK. MY NAME IS DANIEL VANDERWAL, I'M A VETERAN OF
- 18 THE UNITED STATES MARINE CORPS, I'M A CITIZEN, TAXPAYER AND A
- 19 VOTER. AMERICA WAS BUILT AS A FREE MARKET ECONOMY. COMPETITION
- 20 DRIVES THE BEST PRODUCT AT THE BEST PRICE. BETTER RESULTS AND
- 21 ABOVE ALL, INNOVATION. LACK OF COMPETITION ALLOWS FOR
- 22 MONOPOLISTIC TRADES TO RISE, BREEDS COMPLACENCY AND IN MY EYES
- 23 GOES AGAINST AMERICA'S FUNDAMENTAL VALUES. A P.L.A. GIVES
- 24 UNIONS A MONOPOLY ON WORK, FORCING ME TO JOIN ONE AND GET
- 25 CHARGED TO TAKE PART IN PROJECTS MY TAXES HELP PAY FOR. PLEASE



- 1 VOTE NO ON THIS AND ANY P.L.A., IT'S DISCRIMINATORY, IT'S
- 2 NONESSENTIAL, AND GOES AGAINST AMERICA'S CORE VALUES. AND NOT
- 3 TO BE CLICH , BUT THE WRITING'S ON THIS WALL. THIS COUNTRY IS
- 4 FOUNDED ON FREE ENTERPRISE. CHERISH AND HELP PRESERVE IT.
- 5 ALSO, I THOUGHT THE HEALTHCARE POINT WAS MOOT SINCE YOU'RE
- 6 PAYING THE SAME REGARDLESS. I'M ALSO AN A.B.C. GRADUATE. SO
- 7 THREE PERCENT TO 30 PERCENT I CAN GUARANTEE YOU THOSE ARE
- 8 INCORRECT STATISTICS. AND A.B.C. IS A SCHOOL AND THE
- 9 CONTRACTORS ARE THE ONES THAT CORRUPT SO YOU SHOULDN'T BASH
- 10 A.B.C. FOR CONTRACTORS' ACTIONS. THANK YOU.

11

12 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU. MR. WELLS?

- 14 DREW WELLS: GOOD AFTERNOON. THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING ME TO
- 15 SPEAK. MY NAME IS DREW WELLS. I WORK FOR HELIX ELECTRIC ALONG
- 16 WITH THE OTHER GENTLEMEN IN THE BLUE SHIRTS. WE ARE ONE OF THE
- 17 LARGEST AND MOST SUCCESSFUL ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS IN THE
- 18 NATION, HAVING RANKED IN THE TOP 15 NATIONWIDE THE LAST 10
- 19 YEARS. WE SPECIALIZE IN LARGE, COMPLEX ELECTRICAL CONSTRUCTION
- 20 PROJECTS LIKE HOSPITALS. LIKE WE HAVE ACCOMPLISHED U.C.L.A.
- 21 REPLACEMENT HOSPITAL, U.C. DAVIS, U.C.S.D. MEDICAL CENTER,
- 22 RIVERSIDE GENERAL, SUTTER REGIONAL HOSPITAL ARE A FEW EXAMPLES
- 23 OF THE PROJECTS WE HAVE COMPLETED ON TIME, ON BUDGET, SAFELY
- 24 AND ALL THE WHILE USING A LOCAL WORKFORCE. WE ARE A NONUNION
- 25 COMPANY. WE PROVIDE OUR WORKERS A CHOICE OF MEDICAL AND DENTAL



- 1 PLANS, TWO RETIREMENT PLANS. WE MAINTAIN HELIX UNIVERSITY,
- 2 WHERE WE ENSURE A HIGHLY TRAINED WORKFORCE. WE UTILIZE
- 3 APPRENTICES FROM STATE-APPROVED APPRENTICESHIP PROGRAMS WE
- 4 INVEST HEAVILY IN THEIR SUCCESS. AND FINALLY, OUR SAFETY
- 5 RECORD IS SIMPLY STELLAR, AS WE HAVE A HIGHLY-SOPHISTICATED
- 6 SAFETY PROGRAM TO PROTECT OUR WORKERS. WE WILL NOT BID A
- 7 PROJECT WITH A P.L.A. FOR MANY REASONS. ONE, WE DO NOT BELIEVE
- 8 IT'S FAIR TO FORCE OUR WORKERS TO PAY UNION DUES AND
- 9 ASSESSMENTS. SOME WILL SAY THAT THE WAGE ISSUE IS MOOT BECAUSE
- 10 THIS IS PREVAILING WAGE. BUT NOTHING COULD COULD BE FURTHER
- 11 FROM THE TRUTH. OUR WORKERS RECEIVE PREVAILING WAGES WITHOUT
- 12 HAVING TO PAY UNION INITIATION FEES AND MONTHLY DUES. SO IF
- 13 YOU WERE TO PUT A PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT FORWARD AND OUR
- 14 WORKERS WERE TO WORK IT THEY WOULD ACTUALLY MAKE LESS MONEY
- 15 THAN A UNION WORKER. WE ALREADY MAINTAIN A BENEFITS PACKAGE
- 16 FOR OUR EMPLOYEES. A P.L.A. WOULD REQUIRE THAT WE PAY INTO THE
- 17 UNION PLAN AS WELL. THIS IS FAIRLY RIDICULOUS, ESPECIALLY IN
- 18 LIGHT OF THE FACT THAT THE WORKERS WILL NOT ANY OF THE
- 19 BENEFITS OF THOSE PLANS BECAUSE THEY WILL NOT HAVE ACHIEVED
- 20 THE RETIRED YEARS OF SERVICE TO VEST.

21

23

22 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: DO YOU WANT TO SUMMARIZE?

24 DREW WELLS: AND JUST TO FINISH, ON THE LOCAL HIRE. I RAN A ZIP

25 CODE SEARCH. WE HAVE 100 WORKERS RIGHT NOW IN THOSE ZIP CODES

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 THAT YOU HAVE IDENTIFIED AS DISADVANTAGED OR HAVING HIGH
- 2 UNEMPLOYMENT RATES. YOU YOU PASS THIS P.L.A. OR YOU PUT A
- 3 P.L.A. ON THE PROJECT, THOSE LOCAL WORKERS WILL NOT BE ABLE TO
- 4 WORK ON THIS PROJECT AND THAT'S NOT FAIR.

5

- 6 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU. WE ALSO NEED RANDY RHODES AND
- 7 JOSE GAITAN AND ERIC CHRISTEN. MR. KORANTHAL?

- 9 KEVIN KORANTHAL: YES, GOOD AFTERNOON. MY NAME IS KEVIN
- 10 KORANTHAL. I AM THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE CALIFORNIA
- 11 COOPERATION COMMITTEE. THE CALIFORNIA COOPERATION COMMITTEE
- 12 WAS CHARTERED IN 2004 BY THE ASSOCIATED BUILDERS AND
- 13 CONTRACTORS IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL
- 14 RELATIONS AND LABOR CODE SECTION 1776. WE WERE AUTHORIZED BY
- 15 THE DEPARTMENT OF INDUSTRIAL RELATIONS TO USE PUBLIC FUNDS TO
- 16 AUDIT PUBLIC WORKS' PROJECTS IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. WE'VE
- 17 AUDITED MANY PROJECTS THROUGHOUT THE STATE. OUR RECENT WORK
- 18 HAS INVOLVED PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT PROJECTS IN THE LOS
- 19 ANGELES AREA. THE MOST RECENT OF THOSE PROJECTS WAS L.A.U.S.D.
- 20 VALLEY REGIONAL HIGH SCHOOL NO. 5. A.B.C., C.C.C. AS WE'RE
- 21 KNOWN, AUDITED THIS PROJECT STEM TO STERN. AND EVEN THOUGH
- 22 THIS PROJECT HAD NOT ONLY A PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT ON IT, BUT
- 23 ITS OWN MILLION DOLLAR A YEAR LABOR COMPLIANCE PROGRAM, MORE
- 24 THAN 50 CONTRACTORS WERE FOUND TO HAVE VIOLATED THE LAW. 16
- 25 CONTRACTORS MISSED WAGE CHANGES IN THE LAWS. THEY DID NOT



- 1 EMPLOY OR DID NOT REQUEST APPRENTICES FOR THE JOB AND DID NOT
- 2 PROVIDE FRINGE BENEFIT STATEMENTS THAT WOULD ALLOW A THIRD-
- 3 PARTY LIKE A.B.C. C.C.C. TO VERIFY. ALL PROJECT LABOR
- 4 AGREEMENT LANGUAGE SAYS THAT WAGES WILL BE PAID AS PER THE
- 5 PREVAILING WAGE AND THAT APPRENTICES WILL BE REQUESTED AND
- 6 USED IN THE PROPER ORDER. I SUBMIT EVIDENCE AND I HAVE MANY,
- 7 MANY MORE EXAMPLES OF THIS, WHERE THAT IS INDEED NOT THE CASE.
- 8 SO IF YOU ARE THINKING ABOUT HAVING A PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT,
- 9 YOU KNOW YOU'RE GOING TO PAY EXTRA FOR LABOR COMPLIANCE ON
- 10 THAT PROJECT.

11

12 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU, SIR. MR. RHODES?

- 14 RANDY RHODES: YEAH, RANDY RHODES IS THE NAME. I APPRECIATE THE
- 15 BOARD HERE LETTING ME SPEAK HERE TODAY. I'M A 23-YEAR
- 16 ELECTRICIAN IN CONSTRUCTION. I HAVE THREE KIDS THAT I'VE
- 17 RAISED BY BEING AN ELECTRICIAN. I'VE SPENT ALL 23 YEARS
- 18 WORKING FOR A NONUNION, OPEN SHOP CONTRACTOR. I'VE SUPPORTED
- 19 MY FAMILY. MY FAMILY HAS HAD INSURANCE THROUGH MY ENTIRE
- 20 CAREER. VERY GOOD TRAINING. AND I HAVE WORKED FOR A CONTRACTOR
- 21 FOR THE LAST 20 YEARS THAT'S BASED ON A STRONG CORE OF VALUES,
- 22 NUMBER ONE OF THOSE BEING THAT WE WILL NOT COMPROMISE THE
- 23 SAFETY OF OUR EMPLOYEES OR THE OUALITY OF OUR WORK. AND I
- 24 THINK THAT TO ISSUE A P.L.A. ON A PROJECT IS AN UNNECESSARY
- 25 REQUIREMENT AND QUALIFICATION FOR MYSELF OR MY FELLOW



1 EMPLOYEES TO BE ABLE TO WORK ON THAT PROJECT. SO I ENCOURAGE

2 YOU TO VOTE NO ON THE P.L.A. THANK YOU.

3

4 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU. MR. GAITAN.

5

- 6 JOSE GAITAN: YEAH, THANK YOU FOR LETTING ME SPEAK FOR TODAY.
- 7 MY NAME IS JOSE GAITAN. I'M RAISED IN LOS ANGELES. I'D LIKE TO
- 8 ADDRESS THE CLAIM THAT A P.L.A. WILL ENSURE LOCAL WORKERS TO
- 9 GET WORK ON THIS PROJECT. FIRST OFF, HERE I AM. I AM A LOCAL
- 10 RESIDENT AND TAXPAYER. MY COMPANY, HELIX ELECTRIC USUALLY
- 11 ACTUALLY HAS OVER 100 OF US THAT ARE FROM THE PREFERRED ZIP
- 12 CODE OF THIS HOSPITAL PROJECT. MY COMPANY IS NONUNION. I WILL
- 13 NOT BE ON A P.L.A. PROJECT BECAUSE P.L.A. MAKES IT IMPOSSIBLE
- 14 FOR NONUNION CONTRACTORS TO BE SUCCESSFUL. THE REAL PURPOSE OF
- 15 THE P.L.A. IS TO ELIMINATE COMPETITION AND ENSURE ONLY WORKERS
- 16 WHO PAY PAY UNION DUES GETS TO WORK. MY NONUNION COWORKERS
- 17 REPRESENT 85 PERCENT OF THE CONSTRUCTION WORKFORCE. I LIVE AND
- 18 WORK IN THIS COMMUNITY. IT MAKES NO SENSE FOR MY COMPANY TO
- 19 BRING IN WORKERS FROM OUT OF STATE WHEN THE LOCAL AREA IS
- 20 SUFFERING 10 PERCENT UNEMPLOYMENT. P.L.A. DO NOT NEED TO
- 21 ENSURE THE LOCAL WORKFORCE. IT HAPPENS NATURALLY. I WILL POINT
- 22 OUT THAT WHEN THE SAN DIEGO UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT SENT ITS
- 23 FIRST P.L.A. PROJECT OUT TO BID, THE WINNING BIDDER WAS FROM
- 24 LOS ANGELES.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: SIR, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. YOUR TIME IS UP.
- 2 ALL RIGHT. NEXT WE HAVE GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL, ARNOLD SACHS,
- 3 MICHAEL WILLIAMS, AND RON MILLER. MR. CHRISTEN?

- 5 ERIC CHRISTEN: GOOD MORNING, MY NAME IS ERIC CHRISTEN, I'M THE
- 6 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE COALITION FOR FAIR EMPLOYMENT IN
- 7 CONSTRUCTION. WE WERE FORMED 12 YEARS AGO EXCLUSIVELY TO
- 8 OPPOSE THE MOST CONTROVERSIAL DISCRIMINATORY AGREEMENTS THAT
- 9 THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY HAS EVER SEEN. IT'S WHAT YOU'RE
- 10 DEALING WITH TODAY. SO CONTROVERSIAL THAT IN JUST THE PAST
- 11 YEAR, EIGHT DIFFERENT MUNICIPALITIES HAVE BANNED P.L.A.S,
- 12 INCLUDING THE FIFTH LARGEST COUNTY IN AMERICA, SAN DIEGO
- 13 COUNTY, LAST MONTH ON ELECTION DAY. 72 PERCENT OF VOTERS,
- 14 600,000 PEOPLE VOTED TO BAN THESE EGREGIOUS AGREEMENTS. THAT'S
- 15 HOW CONTROVERSIAL THEY ARE. THE PALMDALE WATER DISTRICT JUST
- 16 AGREED TO BAN P.L.A.S. EIGHT DIFFERENT ENTITIES, AND THAT IS
- 17 JUST THE BEGINNING. YOUR C.E.O.'S DOCUMENT ITSELF. ONLY
- 18 NEGOTIATED BETWEEN THE UNIONS. WHY AREN'T WE ALLOWED AT THE
- 19 NEGOTIATING TABLE? HOW ARE WE SUPPOSED TO BELIEVE THIS ISN'T
- 20 DISCRIMINATION WHEN THE FIRST ITEM THAT IS UNDERTAKEN IS AN
- 21 EXCLUSION OF ANYONE THAT DOESN'T HAPPEN TO BE UNION.
- 22 CARPENTERS HAVE TO SIGN A SEPARATE AGREEMENT, JUST LIKE THEY
- 23 DID AT SAN DIEGO UNIFIED. WHY ARE YOU AGREEING TO AN AGREEMENT
- 24 THAT REOUIRES TWO SEPARATE GROUPS TO SIGN A PROJECT LABOR
- 25 AGREEMENT? UNION DUES. WHY IN THE WORLD IS THIS BEING



- 1 REQUIRED? APPRENTICES CAN ONLY COME FROM UNION APPRENTICESHIP
- 2 PROGRAMS. WHY ARE NONUNION APPRENTICESHIP PROGRAMS EXCLUDED?
- 3 HOW CAN YOU JUSTIFY NONUNION APPRENTICES BEING EXCLUDED FROM
- 4 THESE PROJECTS? THESE ARE ONE OF THE CHIEF CONCERNS OF A
- 5 P.L.A. THE HIRING HALL AND THE MOST THE EGREGIOUS OF ALL THE
- 6 BENEFITS. I THINK THE CHAIRWOMAN AND THE OTHER SUPERVISOR HIT
- 7 THE NAIL ON THE HEAD. WHY SHOULD A COMPANY THE SIZE AND SCOPE
- 8 OF HELIX ELECTRIC BE FORCED TO PAY UNION DUES AND BENEFITS
- 9 WHEN THEY ALREADY HAVE BENEFITS FOR THEIR WORKERS? HOW CAN
- 10 THAT BE JUSTIFIED? I WANT YOU TO KNOW THAT WHILE MR. SLAUSON
- 11 WAS GIVEN TWO AND A HALF MINUTES IN HIS OPENING STATEMENT, I
- 12 WOULD LIKE TO STAY AROUND AND ANSWER QUESTIONS JUST AS HE WAS.

14 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: SIR, EXCUSE ME, FOLKS OUT THERE, YOU'RE

15 INTERRUPTING HIS TIME. GO AHEAD.

13

16

17 ERIC CHRISTEN: SO EVEN THOUGH MR. SLAUSON WAS GIVEN TWO AND A

- 18 HALF MINUTES FOR AN OPENING STATEMENT AND THE LADY THAT
- 19 PRECEDED HIM WAS GIVEN 2 MINUTES AND 15 SECONDS, I WILL END
- 20 NOW WITH THIS YOU'RE BEING ASKED TO PAY \$800,000 FOR THE
- 21 BENEFIT OF DISCRIMINATING AGAINST WORKERS AND A FOUR-MONTH
- 22 DELAY IN THIS PROJECT. PLEASE DO NOT AGREE TO THIS NONSENSE. I
- 23 WOULD BE GLAD TO STAY BY AND ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS ON BEHALF OF
- 24 AN ORGANIZATION THAT WAS FOUNDED EXCLUSIVELY TO OPPOSE
- 25 P.L.A.S. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.



1

- 2 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU VERY MUCH. SIR. MRS. CLAVREUL
- 3 FOLLOWED BY ARNOLD SACHS.

4

- 5 GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL: GOOD AFTERNOON, DR. GENEVIEVE CLAVREUL.
- 6 WELL, I AM TOTALLY OPPOSED TO THAT P.L.A. I THINK IT'S, AS
- 7 USUAL, UNION HERE ARE TREATED A BETTER WAY. THEY WERE ALLOWED
- 8 TO HOLD THE FLOOR FOR QUITE SOME TIME, AND ACTUALLY FOR ONE
- 9 MINUTE. I THOUGHT IT WAS NOT AT A BOARD MEETING BUT AT A UNION
- 10 HALL MEETING BECAUSE DEFINITELY THE UNION WERE DIRECTING THE
- 11 MEETING. I THINK IT'S ASININE THAT PEOPLE WILL BE OBLIGED AND
- 12 THE UNION HAS PERMISSION AND THE RIGHT TO COLLECT DUES AND
- 13 FEES FROM THE WORKERS WORKING WITH THE P.L.A. THAT OF COURSE
- 14 MANY OF YOU GET YOUR SUPPORT FROM THE UNION. I GUESS YOU WANT
- 15 YOUR CAMPAIGN MONEY AS USUAL. THANK YOU.

16

- 17 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: MR. SACHS? IS MR. WILLIAMS? YOU'RE HERE?
- 18 WHAT ABOUT RON MILLER? NOT HERE. OKAY, GO AHEAD.

- 20 ARNOLD SACHS: THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON, ARNOLD SACHS. I'VE
- 21 BEEN TO M.T.A. BOARD MEETINGS WHERE I'VE SEEN THE MEETING HALL
- 22 FILLED WITH UNION WORKERS WHEN IT COMES TO DECIDING ON
- 23 PROJECTS. I'VE BEEN TO CITY HALL WHEN UNION WORKERS WERE
- 24 CRYING IN A SENSE NOT TO HAVE THEIR JOBS ELIMINATED. OTHER
- 25 UNION WORKERS WERE DEMANDING THAT A PROJECT MOVE FORWARD THAT



- 1 WOULD NOT PAY CITY FUNDS, THAT WOULD SAVE UNION JOBS. AND I
- 2 WAS HERE WHEN THIS ITEM CAME UP TWO MONTHS AGO AND THERE
- 3 WASN'T ONE UNION PERSON HERE. THAT'S WHAT I HAVE TO SAY ABOUT
- 4 THAT. THANK YOU.

5

6 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: MR. WILLIAMS?

7

- 8 MICHAEL WILLIAMS: GOOD AFTERNOON. I'M DR. MICHAEL WILLIAMS. I
- 9 AM THE PRESIDENT OF THE LOS ANGELES REGIONAL HOMELESS
- 10 RESTORATION ADVISORY COALITION. WELL, THERE ARE A LOT OF
- 11 HOMELESS PERSONS WHO ARE NOW GROWING IN NUMBER AND IDENTITY
- 12 THAT REPRESENTS THOSE WHO WERE ONCE UNION AND ALL WHO ARE IN
- 13 NEED OF LOCAL HIRING OPPORTUNITIES. WE SEE A GROWING NUMBER OF
- 14 THOSE PERSONS WHO HAVE BEEN MIDDLE CLASS BECOME MODERATE
- 15 CLASS, LOW CLASS AND NOW HOMELESS. WHETHER THIS OPPORTUNITY TO
- 16 CHOOSE BETWEEN P.L.A. OR LOCAL WORKERS FOR HIRE IS A STRUGGLE,
- 17 THE GREATEST OPPORTUNITY WHICH WE SEE FROM SUPERVISOR MARK
- 18 RIDLEY-THOMAS' EFFORTS IS TO MAKE SURE THAT WE START
- 19 ADDRESSING THOSE PERSONS WHO DON'T WANT TO BE HOMELESS BUT
- 20 UNDER THESE CIRCUMSTANCES OF LACK OF EMPLOYMENT AND
- 21 OPPORTUNITIES ARE BECOMING AS SUCH. WITH THE DEMISE OF THE
- 22 FAILURE OF CONGRESS TO PASS AN EXTENSION OF UNEMPLOYMENT
- 23 BENEFITS AND NO JOBS FOR HOPE IN THIS CITY, THIS IS A MUCH-
- 24 NEEDED SHOT IN THE ARM.



1 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU SIR.

2

3 MICHAEL WILLIAMS: AND WE ENCOURAGE YOU TO CONSIDER.

4

5 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU. MR. MILLER?

6

- 7 RONALD MILLER: GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISORS. I'M RON MILLER. I'M
- 8 A BUSINESS REPRESENTATIVE FOR PLUMBERS LOCAL 78. WE'VE BEEN
- 9 AROUND LOS ANGELES FOR OVER 100 YEARS. I REPRESENT YOUR
- 10 PLUMBERS IN THE COUNTY. I'M ALSO AN APPRENTICESHIP INSTRUCTOR
- 11 AT OUR SCHOOL. OUR APPRENTICES ARE PART OF A JOINT LABOR
- 12 MANAGEMENT APPRENTICESHIP TRUST. WHEN THE CORE WORKERS FOR
- 13 THESE PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENTS COME INTO OUR HALL AND SIGN UP,
- 14 WE SIGN THEM UP FOR HEALTH BENEFITS. WE DON'T ASK THEM WHETHER
- 15 THEY HAVE, IF THEY'RE MARRIED, IF THEY HAVE ONE KID OR 20
- 16 KIDS. THEY'RE ALL COVERED. WE HAVE DOCUMENTATION TO THAT
- 17 EFFECT. YOU CAN CONTACT MY OFFICE. ALSO MRS. NUTTING FOR THE
- 18 A.B.C., HER DAD WAS A UNION PLUMBER. HE COLLECTS A UNION
- 19 PENSION TO THIS DAY. [LAUGHTER.] MR. CHRISTEN, HE IS AN A.B.C.
- 20 CONTRACTOR, BUT HE ALSO WORKS FOR A CONTRACTOR THAT DOES WORK
- 21 FOR L.A.U.S.D. AND THAT'S VIOLATED THE STATE LABOR LAW MANY
- 22 TIMES. SO I WISH YOU'D SUPPORT THIS PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT.
- 23 THANK YOU.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU, MR. MILLER. ALL RIGHT. THAT
- 2 CONCLUDES ALL OF OUR SPEAKERS, THANK YOU, FOLKS. WE HAVE THE
- 3 MOTION BEFORE US. AGAIN, I DO THINK THAT IF IN FACT WE ARE
- 4 WRONG, I WANT TO BE CORRECTED. SO I'M GOING TO ACCEPT THAT WE
- 5 ACCEPT SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS'S AMENDMENTS. BUT I THINK THAT
- 6 HOPEFULLY, BILL, YOU UNDERSTAND WHAT WE'RE LOOKING AT. WE WANT
- 7 TO REALLY GET TO THE FACTS OF WHAT THIS IS. FIRST OF ALL, IT
- 8 SHOULD BE A PILOT. WE'VE NOT DONE IT. BUT IF IN FACT THEY ARE
- 9 GOING TO BE UNION FEES INVOLVED THEN THERE SHOULD BE BENEFITS,
- 10 WHAT ARE THOSE BENEFITS? AND HOW LONG DOES IT TAKE TO GET
- 11 THERE? AND ARE THEY LASTING? AND DO THEY HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY?
- 12 I DON'T THINK, AGAIN, MOST OF THEM ARE GOING TO QUALIFY OR BE
- 13 VESTED FOR A PENSION, SO I DON'T THINK THAT WORKS UNLESS THEY
- 14 STAY WITH THE UNION. BUT IF THEY STAY WITH THE EMPLOYER, I
- 15 DOUBT THAT THEY'LL HAVE VESTING PRIVILEGES. BUT WILL THEY HAVE
- 16 COVERAGE DURING THAT PERIOD OF TIME? AND IF THEY'RE PAYING
- 17 UNION DUES, I'D LIKE TO KNOW WHAT THE WAGE SCALE IS GOING TO
- 18 BE AS COMPARED TO A UNION JOB TO SEE WHAT THE DIFFERENCE IS,
- 19 AS WELL. AND THEN I THINK WE ALSO WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT
- 20 THERE'S VALUE-ADDED TO THIS. THE BIGGEST PROBLEM IN
- 21 APPRENTICESHIP PROGRAMS WHEN THEY ARE UNION APPRENTICESHIP
- 22 PROGRAMS IS THAT MOST OF THE TIME THEY AREN'T LOCATED OR AT
- 23 LEAST THE APPRENTICES ARE NOT FROM THE COMMUNITY IN WHICH THIS
- 24 IS GOING TO BE BUILT. THERE SHOULD BE DIRECT CORRELATION TO
- 25 COMMUNITY BENEFIT. THOSE APPRENTICESHIP OPPORTUNITIES ARE



- 1 REALLY GREAT OPPORTUNITIES FOR MANY YOUNG PEOPLE TO GET INTO
- 2 THE TRADES, WHICH ARE LUCKILY GOOD PAYING JOBS FOR THE MOST
- 3 PART. BUT THEY DO NEED TO BE TRAINED AND PREPARED TO BECOME
- 4 THOSE KINDS OF WORKERS. SO I WANT TO MAKE SURE THERE'S REALLY
- 5 GOING TO BE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR LOCAL APPRENTICESHIP PROGRAMS
- 6 FROM THAT AREA. AND ALSO THAT THERE'S NOT GOING TO BE A DELAY
- 7 IN THE PROJECT BUILDING. WE'RE ALREADY ADDING TO THE COST
- 8 BECAUSE YOU ESTIMATED IT'S GOING TO COST US ABOUT A MILLION
- 9 AND A HALF MORE TO DO THIS PROJECT. I'M CONCERNED ABOUT THAT.
- 10 BUT IF WE'RE NOW GOING TO ADD DELAY AND THEN THERE IS NO
- 11 DIRECT BENEFIT TO THE RESIDENTS OF THIS AREA, THEN I'M
- 12 CONCERNED. SO MY INTEREST IS THAT IT ISN'T JUST SOMETHING THAT
- 13 WE'RE PAYING FOR ADMINISTRATIVE COSTS OF THE UNION. AGAIN,
- 14 THAT IS NOT WHAT I'M INTERESTED. I'M INTERESTED IN DIRECT
- 15 BENEFITS THAT GO INTO THE EMPLOYEES AND THAT THERE'S
- 16 ENHANCEMENT FOR THE COMMUNITY TO BENEFIT FROM. AND IF THERE
- 17 ISN'T CLEARLY A PATHWAY TO LOCAL HIRING AND THERE ISN'T
- 18 CLEARLY A PATHWAY TO MAKE THIS PROJECT GET DONE ON TIME AND ON
- 19 BUDGET, IF THIS CREATES THE KIND OF DELAYS THAT POTENTIALLY IT
- 20 CAN CREATE AS I'M TOLD IN OTHER JURISDICTIONS, THEN I THINK IT
- 21 WILL BE A TELLING PILOT AS TO WHETHER IN FACT THIS COUNTY WILL
- 22 EVER ADOPT A P.L.A. IN THE FUTURE. SO I THINK THAT WE NEED TO
- 23 HAVE SOME SET OF STANDARDS. I'M NOT SURE THAT WE'RE GETTING
- 24 THE CLEAREST OF INFORMATION ON SOME OF THOSE THINGS. I'D LIKE
- 25 PEOPLE WHO ARE GOING TO PAY A UNION FEE TO GET SOME BENEFIT



- 1 FOR THEIR REPRESENTATION OTHER HAND THE FACT THAT WE ARE
- 2 FORCING THEM TO PAY IT UNDER THIS P.L.A.

3

- 4 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE CAN ADD YOUR CONCERNS AND ISSUES IN OUR
- 5 REPORT BACK AND JUST AS I MENTIONED IN A SIDE BY SIDE
- 6 COMPARISON.

- 8 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: RIGHT, BUT CLEARLY, CHECKING AND NOT JUST
- 9 WE-- I WANT YOU TO FIND OUT EXACTLY. I UNDERSTAND YOU CAN'T DO
- 10 ANYTHING ON PENSIONS BECAUSE I THINK THAT'S A VESTED. BUT ON
- 11 BENEFITS AND HEALTH BENEFITS. AND IF IN FACT THESE NONUNION
- 12 WORKERS ARE NOT PROVIDING HEALTHCARE COVERAGE, I ALSO WANT TO
- 13 UNDERSTAND WHY UNDER A P.L.A. YOU CAN FORCE A NONUNION
- 14 EMPLOYER TO PAY HEALTHCARE COVERAGE, WHEN I CAN'T FORCE NOW
- 15 ANY NONUNION EMPLOYER TO PROVIDE HEALTHCARE COVERAGE BECAUSE
- 16 I'M TOLD BY FEDERAL LAW I'M PROHIBITED FROM DOING IT. I WANT
- 17 TO UNDERSTAND THAT ISSUE, AS WELL. AND SO I'M WILLING TO
- 18 SUPPORT IT WITH THAT PROVISION. IT'S GOING TO COME BACK. BUT
- 19 IF I SEE THERE'S NO DIRECT BENEFIT AND IT'S ONLY ADDING COSTS
- 20 WITHOUT ANY REAL BENEFIT TO THE COMMUNITY, THEN I'M TRULY NOT
- 21 GOING TO BE INTERESTED IN SUPPORTING IT. THESE ARE VERY
- 22 SIGNIFICANT PROJECTS. IT TAKES US A LOT OF TIME TO GET THE
- 23 KIND OF DOLLARS THAT WE NEED TO PUT THIS. MARTIN LUTHER KING
- 24 HOSPITAL HAS BEEN CLOSED. WE NEED TO REOPEN IT. WE NEED THE
- 25 M.A.C.C., AS WELL, NEEDS TO GET GOING ON THIS. BUT VERY,



- 1 FRANKLY, IF IT'S GOING TO COST US MORE MONEY AND THERE ISN'T
- 2 ANY BENEFIT TO THE DIRECT COMMUNITY, THEN WHILE ALL OF US --
- 3 WE KNOW THAT THESE PROJECTS WILL CREATE JOBS. WE KNOW THAT
- 4 THESE PROJECTS WILL CREATE OPPORTUNITIES. BUT IF IN FACT IT'S
- 5 NOT THE KIND OF OPPORTUNITY THAT AFFECTS THE LOCAL OR AT LEAST
- 6 INCORPORATES THE LOCAL COMMUNITY, THEN WITH ALL DUE RESPECT,
- 7 IT'S NOT ANYTHING I WOULD SUPPORT. BUT I THINK A PILOT WILL
- 8 TELL US AS TO WHETHER WE'RE GOING TO MOVE FORWARD OR NOT IN
- 9 ANY FUTURE KIND OF OPPORTUNITIES LIKE THIS. SO I WOULD INCLUDE
- 10 THAT PART OF IT. AND I THINK, ZEV, I THINK YOU WANTED TO
- 11 INCLUDE SOMETHING? AND THEN SUPERVISOR KNABE.

12

- 13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ON THE JURISDICTIONAL DISPUTE ISSUE, A
- 14 MECHANISM, A VERY TIGHT LIMIT ON THE AMOUNT OF TIME IT TAKES
- 15 TO RESOLVE THE DISPUTE. AND A MECHANISM BY WHICH TO RESOLVE
- 16 THEM IF THROUGH THEIR MEDIATION PROCESS THEY DON'T. NOW I'M
- 17 TAKING WHAT MR. SLAUSON SAID AT FACE VALUE THAT THE PROJECTS
- 18 DON'T GET STOPPED. BUT I THINK YOU NEED TO VERIFY THAT WHILE
- 19 THERE'S A DISPUTE. I'VE HEARD DELAYS ARE ASSOCIATED WITH IT. I
- 20 DON'T KNOW, IF THE PROJECT DOESN'T STOP, I DON'T KNOW WHAT
- 21 CAUSES THE DELAY. SO IF YOU CAN JUST ADDRESS THAT ISSUE IN
- 22 YOUR REPORT BACK. THANKS.

23

24 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: OKAY.



1 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: SUPERVISOR KNABE?

2

- 3 SUP. KNABE: I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT SINCE WE'RE GOING
- 4 TO GET A REPORT BACK. HIS MOTION IS MUCH MORE EXTENSIVE THAN
- 5 THAT. I WOULD ASSUME THAT THE INFORMATION WE'RE REQUESTING WE
- 6 WOULD NOT BE REQUIRED TO SPEND MONEY ON PARSONS TO CONDUCT THE
- 7 NEGOTIATIONS OR OUTSIDE LEGAL COUNSEL AT THIS POINT, AM I
- 8 CORRECT? I MEAN, THIS IS AN INTERNAL REPORT BACK. THIS IS NOT
- 9 MOVING FORWARD.

10

- 11 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: IT IS AN INTERNAL REPORT BACK BEFORE WE CAN
- 12 MOVE FORWARD, FROM WHAT I UNDERSTAND, HAVING LOOKED AT THE
- 13 MOTION. WE NEED TO COME BACK TO THIS BOARD FOR FINAL APPROVAL.

14

- 15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL THAT'S NOT WHAT THE MOTION SAYS. LET'S
- 16 BE CLEAR. IT SAYS IT'S TO AUTHORIZE THE C.E.O., COUNTY
- 17 COUNSEL, PUBLIC WORKS TO NEGOTIATE WITH REPRESENTATIVES OF THE
- 18 VARIOUS BUILDING TRADES ALONG THESE LINES AS PART OF THE
- 19 REPORT BACK.

- 21 SUP. KNABE: SO THEN THAT WOULD BE THE PARSON'S PIECE AND THE
- 22 OUTSIDE LEGAL. I HAVE NO PROBLEM SUPPORTING THE INFORMATION
- 23 COMING BACK, YOUR CONCERNS AND SUPERVISOR MOLINA'S CONCERNS.
- 24 BUT HOPEFULLY THAT DOESN'T REQUIRE OUTSIDE LEGAL COUNSEL OR A
- 25 PROFESSIONAL NEGOTIATOR AT THIS PARTICULAR POINT TO GET THE



- 1 SIDE-BY-SIDE COMPARISONS AND THE ANSWERS TO THE VARIOUS
- 2 QUESTIONS. OBVIOUSLY IN THIS ECONOMY, THE LABOR AVAILABILITY
- 3 IS NOT GOING TO BE AN ISSUE, WE KNOW THAT. SO I SUPPORT YOUR
- 4 QUESTION, BUT I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE WE'RE NOT MOVING
- 5 FORWARD.

6

- 7 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: DO YOU HAVE TO HAVE A PROFESSIONAL
- 8 NEGOTIATOR ON THIS KIND OF THING?

9

- 10 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: WE WILL REQUIRE SOME ASSISTANCE. THAT'S WHY WE
- 11 HAVE THE REPRESENTATIVE FROM PARSONS TODAY. WHAT I MENTIONED
- 12 IS THAT ON THE VERY LAST I THINK IT'S C, IT STATES THAT THIS
- 13 AGREEMENT, WHICH IS THE PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENT, SHALL NOT BE
- 14 FINALIZED WITHOUT THE BOARD'S FINAL APPROVAL. SO WE WILL BE --
- 15 WE WILL SIT DOWN, WE'LL NEGOTIATE THE AGREEMENT BEFORE IT CAN
- 16 BE IMPLEMENTED, CONSUMMATED, THEN WE'LL HAVE TO BRING IT BACK
- 17 TO THIS BOARD.

18

- 19 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: NO, I UNDERSTAND. BUT THERE ARE TWO
- 20 DIFFERENT ISSUES, BILL. ONE IS TO GET REPORTS BACK ON SOME OF
- 21 THE SIDE-BY-SIDE COMPARISON.

22

23 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: YES.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: AND THEN THERE'S THE ISSUE OF NEGOTIATING.
- 2 LIKE FOR EXAMPLE, I DON'T UNDERSTAND WHY YOU NEED TO NEGOTIATE
- 3 A DRUG TESTING. YOU SHOULD JUST SAY IT'S RANDOM DRUG TESTING.

4

5 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: I UNDERSTAND.

6

- 7 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: I MEAN, YOU DON'T WANT THESE FOLKS ON THE
- 8 JOB OPERATING BIG EQUIPMENT. I MEAN, I DON'T KNOW WHAT YOU
- 9 NEED TO NEGOTIATE.

- 11 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: MADAM CHAIR, IF I MAY. PART OF THE CONCERN
- 12 THAT WE WANT TO MAKE SURE IS SATISFIED IS THE ACCURACY OF THE
- 13 FEEDBACK THAT WE GET FROM THE C.E.O. WE NEED TO MAKE SURE THAT
- 14 THOSE WHO HAVE THE FULL EXPERTISE IN THIS AREA AID THE
- 15 APPROPRIATE DESIGNATED STAFF PEOPLE TO DO SO. I HAVE SAID
- 16 RATHER EXPLICITLY IN THE MOTION THAT THEY SHOULD BE RETURNING
- 17 TO US IN VERY, VERY SHORT ORDER, ACCELERATED. AND THE
- 18 INSTRUCTION SHOULD BE PROMPTLY UNDERSTOOD AS ANSWERING SOME OF
- 19 THE OUESTIONS THAT HAVE ALREADY BEEN ARTICULATED AS RELATES TO
- 20 THE BENEFITS MATTER, THE LOCAL HIRING MATTER, HOW IT GETS TIED
- 21 IN, THE ISSUE OF JURISDICTIONAL DISPUTES AND THE LIKE. BUT ALL
- 22 OF THOSE THINGS HAPPEN IN THE CONTEXT OF HOW THE NEGOTIATIONS
- 23 TAKE SHAPE. I'M SATISFIED AND THE THRUST OF THE MOTION IS LET
- 24 THEM THEN BEGIN. BUT WE WILL HAVE TO HAVE REGULAR REPORT BACKS
- 25 FROM THE C.E.O. ON THAT MATTER. THAT WAY PROGRESS CAN MOVE



- 1 FORWARD AND I THINK OUR QUESTIONS CAN BE ANSWERED. AND IF THEY
- 2 ARE NOT ANSWERED SATISFACTORILY, I THINK IT'S ALREADY BEEN
- 3 MADE CLEAR THAT THERE WOULD BE PROBLEMS WITH THE ULTIMATE
- 4 ADOPTION OF IT. MY SENSE IS A LOT OF THIS IN THE CONTEXT OF
- 5 ERNEST GOOD FAITH NEGOTIATIONS CAN BE RESOLVED AND WE'LL HAVE
- 6 MUCH TO CELEBRATE AT THE CONCLUSION OF IT. SO THE MOTION IS
- 7 ESSENTIALLY TO MOVE FORWARD ALONG THOSE LINES WITH THE
- 8 REGULAR, SUSTAINED FEEDBACK AS SCHEDULED BY THE C.E.O.
- 9 PURSUANT --

10

- 11 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: SO YOU WANT THEM TO PROCEED WITH THE
- 12 NEGOTIATIONS?

13

- 14 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: CORRECT. BUT WANT REGULAR FEEDBACK TO THE
- 15 FULL BOARD.

16

- 17 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: FEEDBACK IS DIFFERENT. WE'RE LOOKING FOR
- 18 VERY SPECIFIC ANSWERS.

19

20 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: THAT'S WHAT I'M -- I THINK IN THE CONTEXT.

21

- 22 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: SO IN TWO WEEKS WILL YOU GET US THOSE
- 23 ANSWERS?



- 1 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: ABSOLUTELY. THAT'S WHAT THE MOTION CALLS
- 2 FOR.

3

4 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: IN TWO WEEKS?

5

6 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: YES.

7

- 8 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: SO COULD YOU NOT BEGIN NEGOTIATIONS UNTIL
- 9 YOU GET THOSE RESPONSES? SO COULD WE GET THOSE ANSWERS FIRST?

10

- 11 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: I THINK THOSE ANSWERS ARE BEST FACILITATED
- 12 IN THE CONTEXT OF THE NEGOTIATION. AND I THINK WE NEEDN'T FEAR
- 13 WHAT ULTIMATELY HAPPENS IN NEGOTIATIONS IN EARNEST BECAUSE IF
- 14 THEY'RE NOT SATISFACTORY TO US, WE ULTIMATELY HAVE THE FINAL
- 15 AUTHORITY TO SAY YEA OR NAY.

16

- 17 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I AGREE WITH THAT. WHAT I WOULD JUST ASK IS
- 18 THAT YOU CONTINUALLY KEEP US INFORMED.

19

20 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: ABSOLUTELY.

- 22 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: OF WHAT'S HAPPENING AND IT NOT BE BROUGHT AS
- 23 A FAIT ACCOMPLI WHENEVER IT COMES BACK AND HAVE THIS WHOLE
- 24 THING BLOW UP AGAIN. SO I THINK IT WOULD JUST BE HELPFUL IF



- 1 WHOEVER, YOU OR YOUR PEOPLE OR PUBLIC WORKS JUST KEEP US
- 2 INFORMED ALONG THE WAY ON HOW THESE DISCUSSIONS ARE GOING.

3

- 4 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: THE MOTION SPECIFIES THE FREQUENCY WITH
- 5 WHICH WE OUGHT TO HEAR A REPORT BACK.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE: BUT THAT BE THAT MOTION ALSO SPECIFIES
- 8 NEGOTIATIONS.

9

- 10 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: AGREED. AND I'M ESSENTIALLY PUTTING THAT
- 11 FORWARD.

12

- 13 SUP. KNABE: I WANT THE ANSWERS TO WHAT SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY
- 14 AND SUPERVISOR MOLINA MENTIONED, AND THE CONCERNS THAT I HAVE
- 15 WITH THOSE TWO ISSUES BEFORE I WOULD AUTHORIZE NEGOTIATIONS.

16

- 17 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: WELL LET ME JUST MAKE CLEAR, I APPRECIATE
- 18 YOUR POINT OF VIEW. WE DIFFER, SUPERVISOR KNABE. I AM
- 19 ESSENTIALLY SAYING THAT THE NEGOTIATIONS OUGHT TO COMMENCE.
- 20 AND IN THE CONTEXT OF THOSE NEGOTIATIONS WE ARE DUE THE
- 21 INFORMATION WITH REGULARITY.

- 23 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. WELL SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS,
- 24 WHAT I HAVE A PROBLEM WITH IS THAT IF YOU'RE NEGOTIATING, YOU



1 NEGOTIATE FROM A CERTAIN POINT AND PLACE. WHAT I'M SAYING IS -

2 -

3

- 4 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: NOBODY IS CONFUSED AS TO WHAT WE'VE
- 5 INSTRUCTED, MADAM CHAIR.

6

- 7 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: WELL YES, THERE IS. FOR EXAMPLE, I DON'T
- 8 WANT THEM TO NEGOTIATE DRUG TESTING.

9

10 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: THAT'S CLEAR.

11

- 12 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: I THINK THERE SHOULD BE RANDOM DRUG
- 13 TESTING.

14

- 15 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: AND MY ASSUMPTION, THEN, IS THAT THE
- 16 C.E.O. UNDERSTANDS THAT.

17

- 18 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: BUT IT DOESN'T SAY THAT. RIGHT NOW IT
- 19 DOESN'T SAY THAT.

20

- 21 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: THE C.E.O. UNDERSTANDS THAT, THE COUNTY
- 22 COUNSEL UNDERSTANDS THAT. AND WITHOUT GETTING INTO THE
- 23 NEGOTIATIONS, I SUSPECT IF THEY WANT TO HAVE QUOTE FULL
- 24 CONSENSUS, THEY WILL CARRY THAT FORTH.



- 1 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: BUT HOW DO YOU NEGOTIATE SOMETHING YOU
- 2 ALREADY WANT AT THE END? WHY ARE YOU NEGOTIATING IT? I WANT IT
- 3 TO COME BACK WITH JUST RANDOM DRUG TESTING. I DON'T WANT TO
- 4 NEGOTIATE THAT THEY PEE ON MONDAY AND THEY DON'T ON WEDNESDAY.
- 5 AND THEY DON'T DO IT ON FRIDAY. I DON'T WANT THAT.

6

- 7 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: CLEAR ENOUGH. LET THE RECORD REFLECT THAT
- 8 MADAM CHAIR SPECIFIES CERTAIN RELEASE TIME ACTIVITIES NEED TO
- 9 BE IN THE P.L.A.

10

11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: BE CLEAR.

12

13 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: WE WERE CLEAR AS WE COULD BE.

14

15 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AT LEAST SOMEBODY'S PAYING ATTENTION.

16

- 17 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: I DON'T THINK ANYBODY, THE NEGOTIATORS,
- 18 MR. FUJIOKA, PERHAPS YOU CAN HELP US BY INDICATING YOUR
- 19 UNDERSTANDING AS TO COMMENCING WITH THE NEGOTIATIONS BUT WHAT
- 20 THE ISSUES ARE IN TERMS OF THE BOARD'S UNREADINESS. BE CAREFUL
- 21 OVER THERE.

22

23 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: BECAUSE HE AIN'T LISTENING.

24

25 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: I'M TRYING MY BEST.



1

- 2 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHY WOULD WE HAVE AN OBJECTION IF ONE OF THE
- 3 INSTRUCTIONS TO THE C.E.O. WAS ON THE DRUG TESTING THAT WE
- 4 SIMPLY -- THAT IT'S RANDOM DRUG TESTING LIKE EVERYBODY ELSE
- 5 GETS.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE: THERE IS NOT AN OBJECTION. THE PROBLEM BEING IS
- 8 THEN WE'RE STARTING TO NEGOTIATE. THAT'S NOT MY ISSUE.

9

- 10 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I UNDERSTAND YOUR VIEW. BUT I'M SAYING
- 11 ASSUMING YOUR VIEW DOESN'T PREVAIL, MAYBE IT WILL, BUT IF IT
- 12 DOESN'T, I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE RETICENCE IS TO JUST SAY ON THE
- 13 DRUG TESTING, FOR EXAMPLE, I DON'T THINK THERE'S ANY
- 14 DIFFERENCE OF OPINION. I THINK IF THERE WAS A P.L.A., YOU'D
- 15 RATHER HAVE RANDOM DRUG TESTING THAN NOT, WOULDN'T YOU?

16

- 17 SUP. KNABE: BUT TO GET TO THE P.L.A., I WANT THE ANSWERS TO
- 18 THE OTHER QUESTIONS. WORK STOPPAGES, THE OTHER ISSUES THAT YOU
- 19 RAISED.

20

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOW LONG WOULD IT TAKE TO GET THE ANSWERS?

22

23 SUP. KNABE: WELL THAT'S WHAT HE SAID TWO WEEKS.



- 1 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: AND I'M SUGGESTING TO YOU IN THE CONTEXT
- 2 OF THE NEGOTIATION, IF YOU WANT THE QUALITY INFORMATION THAT
- 3 WE NEED, IT IS IN OUR BEST INTEREST TO GET THOSE WHO YOU
- 4 MENTIONED, PARSONS BEING A PART OF THAT MIX, BECAUSE PART OF
- 5 YOUR CONCERN IS TO NOT BEGIN TO SPEND MONEY. I DON'T KNOW HOW
- 6 YOU GET AROUND THAT WHEN WE NEED TO GET THIS RIGHT. SO, MADAM
- 7 CHAIR, WITH RESPECT TO THE DRUG TESTING ISSUE, PUT THAT ON THE
- 8 TABLE AS A PART OF AN AMENDING MOTION. AND THEN LET'S DISPOSE
- 9 OF THAT ITEM. I'M FINE WITH THAT. I HAVE NO ISSUE WITH THAT.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CAN I MAKE A SUGGESTION MAYBE THAT MAYBE
- 12 GETS TO THE BOTH OF THEM IF IT'S TWO WEEKS? THAT YOU MODIFY
- 13 YOUR MOTION TO SAY THAT I MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
- 14 AUTHORIZE THE C.E.O., COUNTY COUNSEL, THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC
- 15 WORKS TO CONFER WITH REPRESENTATIVES OF THE VARIOUS BUILDING
- 16 TRADES AND REPORT BACK IN TWO WEEKS ON THESE VARIOUS ISSUES?

17

18 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: ALL RIGHT.

19

- 20 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: DID YOU GET THAT AMENDMENT? IS THAT
- 21 SATISFACTORY TO YOU? SO AS AMENDED?

22

23 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** AS AMENDED?

24

25 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WELL, HE'LL ACCEPT IT, IT'S HIS OWN.



1

- 2 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: I THINK IT'S IN THE SPIRIT OF WHAT'S HERE.
- 3 AND IF IT GETS US TO THE POINT WHERE WE CAN BEGIN TO ANSWER
- 4 THE QUESTIONS AND MOVE THE BALL FORWARD, AS IT WERE, IT SEEMS
- 5 TO ME THAT'S AN APPROPRIATE CONSIDERATION.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE: THEN YOU ELIMINATE THAT WOULD BE THE THEREFORE
- 8 CONFER AND YOU'D ELIMINATE A, B AND C.

9

10 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: NO, SIR.

11

- 12 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YOU MAY JUST HAVE TO RECONCILE SOME OF THE
- 13 LANGUAGE IN A, B AND C.

14

15 SUP. KNABE: A AND B IS DOING IT.

16

- 17 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: WELL IT'S CONFERRING AND GETTING THE
- 18 INFORMATION, AND DOING WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE TO TEE THIS UP
- 19 APPROPRIATELY.

20

21 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: LET ME MAKE ANOTHER SUGGESTION.

22

23 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: ALL RIGHT. I'M LISTENING.



- 1 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: THAT YOU SAY CONFER WITH REPRESENTATIVES OF
- 2 THE VARIOUS BUILDING TRADES IN TERMS OF A POTENTIAL, REGARDING
- 3 THE TERMS OF A POTENTIAL.

4

5 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: IS THE OPERATIVE WORD POTENTIAL?

6

7 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YES.

8

9 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: YEAH.

10

- 11 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: AND THEN IN THE A, B, AND C YOU COULD JUST
- 12 SAY THE POTENTIAL AGREEMENT. ON A, I SHOULD SAY. THE POTENTIAL
- 13 AGREEMENT SHOULD INCLUDE PROTECTIONS, ET CETERA, ET CETERA.
- 14 AND B WITH A REPORT BACK. AND THE FIRST REPORT BACK SHOULD BE
- 15 IN TWO WEEKS.

16

17 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: ALL RIGHT.

18

- 19 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I THINK IT GETS YOU TO WHERE, WITHOUT
- 20 LOCKING YOURSELVES INTO TECHNICALLY A NEGOTIATION.

21

- 22 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: I DON'T SEE ANY REASON WHY THAT SHOULD BE
- 23 CONSTRUED AS INCONSISTENT WITH THE OBJECTIVES WE SEEK AS
- 24 ARTICULATED IN THE MOTION AND THE AMENDING LANGUAGE.



SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I THINK THAT'S VERY CLEAR.

2

3 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: THANK YOU SO MUCH.

4

5 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: CLEAR IS THE OPERATIVE WORD.

6

- 7 SUP. KNABE: AND WHAT? AND NO NET COUNTY COST, THEN? I MEAN,
- 8 WE'RE NOT GOING TO HIRE NEGOTIATORS AND OUTSIDE COUNSEL?

9

10 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: MR. KNABE, I UNDERSTAND.

11

- 12 SUP. KNABE: WE'RE JUST CONFIRMING, WE'RE CONFERRING AND WE'RE
- 13 TRYING TO GET ANSWERS.

14

- 15 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: MR. KNABE, MADAM CHAIR, AT A CERTAIN
- 16 POINT, YOU CAN AMEND IT AND AMEND IT UNTIL IT DOESN'T LOOK
- 17 LIKE IT WAS ORIGINALLY DESIGNED TO LOOK. AND I RESPECT THE
- 18 FACT THAT THERE'S A DESIRE TO BUILD CONSENSUS. BUT AT A
- 19 CERTAIN POINT IF MEMBERS CAN EMBRACE IT, AFTER YOU'VE DONE ALL
- 20 THIS, I WOULD JUST SUGGEST THAT YOU ABSTAIN OR VOTE NO. WE'RE
- 21 TRYING TO MOVE FORWARD.

- 23 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: IF I CAN ADD SOMETHING, GIVEN SOME OF THE
- 24 QUESTIONS, ESPECIALLY THOSE POSED BY THE CHAIR, THE FIRST
- 25 REPORT BACK ADDRESSING THESE ISSUES, WE WILL DO IT WITH OUR



- 1 EXISTING IN-HOUSE RESOURCES. SO WE WILL DO IT WITH IN-HOUSE
- 2 RESOURCES. WE'LL FOCUS ON SOME OF THE QUESTIONS LAID OUT OR
- 3 CONCERNS LAID OUT BY THE CHAIR. WE CAN MOVE THIS FORWARD RIGHT
- 4 NOW AND THEN BRING BACK THE PLAN IN TWO WEEKS. BUT WE'LL USE
- 5 ONLY COUNTY STAFF AND NOT HIRE OUTSIDE STAFF AT THIS TIME.

6

7 SUP. KNABE: SO JUST COME BACK IN TWO WEEKS WITH A REPORT?

8

9 C.E.O. FUJIOKA: YES, SIR.

10

11 SUP. KNABE: WITHOUT THE OUTSIDE?

12

13 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HE SAID HE CAN DO THAT. WITHOUT THE OUTSIDE.

14

- 15 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: HE JUST SAID HE COULD DO IT WITHOUT THE
- 16 OUTSIDE NEGOTIATOR IN TWO WEEKS.

17

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: WHAT'S GOING TO BE THE QUALITY OF THE
- 19 REPONSES WE GET?

20

- 21 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: AS AMENDED BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY,
- 22 MADAM CHAIR.

23

24 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: YOU DO ACCEPT THAT AMENDMENT?



```
SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: SURE.
2
3
    SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT, GOOD.
4
5
    SUP. KNABE: WHICH ONE?
6
7
    SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THAT'S THE ONE THAT THEY WILL GET US THIS
8
    INFORMATION IN TWO WEEKS, RIGHT?
9
    SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: YES, TWO WEEKS. (OFF MIC DISCUSSIONS).
10
11
    SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THAT'S ACCEPTABLE? IS THERE ANY OBJECTION
12
13
    NOW?
14
15
    SUP. ANTONOVICH: YES.
16
    SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: YOU'RE STILL OBJECTING? ALL RIGHT. SO
17
18
    ORDERED ON THAT ITEM. THANK YOU, AS AMENDED. THANK YOU. NEXT
19
    ITEM?
20
    CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MADAM CHAIR, I BELIEVE YOU COULD EITHER
21
```

CALL UP ITEM S-2, THERE'S A SPEAKER. I KNOW WE'RE RECEIVING

AND FILING THE DOCUMENT. BUT THERE IS A SPEAKER ON IT.

24

23



- 1 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. WE HAVE ITEM S-2. MRS.
- 2 CLAVREUL. IS SHE GONE?

3

4 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: SHE'S GONE. SO WE'LL RECEIVE AND FILE.

5

- 6 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: OKAY. WE WILL RECEIVE AND FILE ITEM S-2,
- 7 PLEASE. NEXT ITEM?

8

- 9 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: WE HAVE ITEMS 20, 21 AND 23 THAT WAS HELD
- 10 BY ONE INDIVIDUAL.

11

- 12 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. I'M GOING TO CALL UP ITEM 20,
- 13 MR. SACHS, WOULD YOU COME UP AND JOIN US AND SPEAK ON ITEM 21
- 14 AND 23.

- 16 ARNOLD SACHS: THANK YOU, GOOD AFTERNOON, ARNOLD SACHS. YOU
- 17 HAVE A SITUATION AT COUNTY U.S.C. THAT HAS MET NUMEROUS
- 18 PROBLEMS. YOU'VE HAD SOMEBODY FROM THE DEPARTMENT COME AND
- 19 EXPLAIN SOME OF THE DIFFICULTIES BECAUSE THE HOSPITAL HAS
- 20 BUILT SMALLER, THE NEW HOSPITAL IS SMALLER THAN WHAT WAS
- 21 REPLACED. AND NOW, WITH ALL THE PROBLEMS YOU'VE HAD THERE, YOU
- 22 WONDER IF SOMEBODY IS KEEPING NOTES BECAUSE NOW YOU WANT TO
- 23 SPEND OVER \$5 MILLION WITH A 10 PERCENT CONTINGENCY FEE ON A
- 24 COMBINATION OF M.L.K. HOSPITAL MEDICAL CENTER AND HARBOR
- 25 U.C.L.A. MEDICAL CENTER TO MORE EFFICIENTLY PROVIDE ESSENTIAL



- 1 HEALTHCARE SERVICES AND MEET THE NEEDS OF THE SURROUNDING
- 2 COMMUNITIES. WELL, YOU HAVE A BLUEPRINT. DOESN'T COUNTY U.S.C.
- 3 SERVE AS SOMEWHAT OF A BLUEPRINT THAT WOULD SAY YOU KNOW WHAT?
- 4 INSTEAD OF SPENDING \$5 MILLION, WE CAN SAVE \$5 MILLION BY
- 5 AVOIDING SOME OF THE PROBLEMS THAT OCCURRED AT COUNTY U.S.C.
- 6 YOU KNOW, LIKE THE 24-HOUR TIME WAITING OR THE 22-HOUR WAITING
- 7 TIME? I BELIEVE THE LAST TIME THE DIRECTOR WAS HERE OR TWO
- 8 MEETINGS BEFORE, THEY MENTIONED THAT THERE'S A NEW PROGRAM
- 9 THAT CUT FIVE HOURS OFF THE WAITING TIME. WILL YOU HAVE TO
- 10 SPEND MONEY FOR THESE, PERKINS AND WILL OR WHO IS THE OTHER
- 11 ONE? GENSTLER? WOULD YOU HAVE TO PAY THEM? I'M GLAD YOU'RE
- 12 REALLY PAYING ATTENTION. BUT WOULD YOU HAVE TO PAY A FEE TO
- 13 THE TWO COMPANIES THAT YOU WANT TO HIRE SO THAT THEY CAN SAY
- 14 HEY, YOU KNOW WHAT? WE COULD FOLLOW THE PROCEDURES THAT THEY
- 15 USED IN L.A. COUNTY U.S.C. AND SAVE WAITING TIME. OR WE CAN
- 16 FOLLOW THE PROCEDURES THAT THEY USE IN COUNTY U.S.C. BECAUSE
- 17 WE DOWNSIZED THE HOSPITAL AND WE HAVE DONE CERTAIN THINGS. THE
- 18 ONLY PROCEDURE YOU MAY NOT WANT TO FOLLOW AT COUNTY U.S.C. WAS
- 19 WHERE YOU LOCATED THE HELICOPTER LANDING PAD? REMEMBER THAT
- 20 WAS RIGHT BY THE AIR SHAFT WHERE THE FEDERAL OVERSEER SAID
- 21 DON'T PUT HOSPITAL LANDING PADS THERE. BUT NEVERTHELESS THE
- 22 POINT AGAIN IS IF YOU HAVE WHAT'S TURNED OUT TO BE AN
- 23 EXPERIMENT, AND BLAH, BLAH, BLAH, BLAH, BLAH, BLAH, BLAH,
- 24 BLAH, BLAH, WE'RE REALLY PAYING ATTENTION. UNIONS RULE.
- 25 BLAH, BLAH, BLAH, BLAH.



1

- 2 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU, FOR ALL OF YOUR BLAH, BLAH,
- 3 BLAHS.

4

5 ARNOLD SACHS: YEAH, BLAH BLAH BACK TO YOU, SUPERVISOR.

6

- 7 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ON ITEMS 20, 21 AND 23, MOVED BY
- 8 SUPERVISOR KNABE, SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

9

- 10 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: MADAM CHAIR, ON 20 AND 21, AGAIN,
- 11 SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH IS ABSTAINING FROM THE VOTE AND 23 HE'S
- 12 A NO VOTE.

13

- 14 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: ALL RIGHT. ON THE REMAINING ITEMS, MOVED
- 15 BY SUPERVISOR KNABE. SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY. IF
- 16 THERE IS NO OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

17

18 CLERK SACHI HAMAI: THANK YOU. NO ADJOURNMENTS?

- 20 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: YES, WE DO. I'D LIKE TO ADJOURN IN THE
- 21 MEMORY OF ALSO A RESIDENT IN MY DISTRICT AS WELL AS A FRIEND,
- 22 CONNIE DESTITO. CONNIE WAS A BELOVED MEMBER OF THE LINCOLN
- 23 HEIGHTS COMMUNITY. SHE IS A REALTOR, A CIVIC ADVOCATE, A CIVIC
- 24 ACTIVIST, EXCUSE ME, AND WAS A LONGTIME MEMBER OF THE LINCOLN
- 25 HEIGHTS CHAMBER OF THE COMMERCE. THROUGHOUT THE YEARS, CONNIE



- 1 WORKED TIRELESSLY TO IMPROVE THE NEIGHBORHOOD, TO ENSURE
- 2 BETTER QUALITY OF LIFE FOR ALL THE RESIDENTS. WE WANT TO
- 3 EXTEND OUR THOUGHTS AND PRAYERS TO HER FAMILY, FRIENDS AS WELL
- 4 AS HER PROFESSIONAL COLLEAGUES. THAT'S ARE THE ONLY
- 5 ADJOURNMENT I HAVE. SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS, YOUR
- 6 ADJOURNMENTS?

- 8 SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: I WISH TO THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR. MAY I
- 9 ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF TWO INDIVIDUALS, HELEN BRECKENRIDGE, BORN
- 10 APRIL 23, 1924, IN GREENVILLE, SOUTH CAROLINA. SHE PASSED ON
- 11 NOVEMBER 12 OF THIS YEAR AT THE AGE OF 86. SHE RELOCATED TO
- 12 PASADENA WITH HER FAMILY IN 1928. SHE ATTENDED OAKWOOD COLLEGE
- 13 IN HUNTSVILLE, ALABAMA AND RETURNED TO CALIFORNIA TO RECEIVE A
- 14 BACHELOR'S OF ARTS DEGREE IN BUSINESS FROM LA SIERRA
- 15 UNIVERSITY. SHE ALSO RECEIVED EXTENSIVE TRAINING AND EDUCATION
- 16 IN COSMETOLOGY, ENABLING HER TO BE A SELF-EMPLOYED LICENSED
- 17 BEAUTICIAN FOR MANY YEARS IN THE LOS ANGELES AREA. SHE WORKED
- 18 FOR THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES IN THE 15TH COUNCIL DISTRICT UNDER
- 19 COUNCIL PRESIDENT JOHN GIBSON BEGINNING IN 1969. SHE LATER
- 20 BECAME A FIELD DEPUTY FOR JOAN MILKE FLORES UNTIL HER
- 21 RETIREMENT IN 1993. SHE WAS A FAITHFUL MEMBER OF THE
- 22 UNIVERSITY SEVENTH DAY ADVENTIST CHURCH, WHERE SHE SERVED AS
- 23 PRESIDENT OF THE USHER BOARD, SABBATH SCHOOL TEACHER, A VOICE
- 24 OF HOSPITALITY AND THE CHURCH CLERK FOR MANY YEARS. SHE WILL
- 25 BE FONDLY REMEMBERED FOR HER BEING A COMMUNITY ADVOCATE AND



- 1 WHO SERVED THE COMMUNITY WITH EXCELLENCE AND INTEGRITY. SHE
- 2 LEAVES TO CHERISH HER MEMORY HER CHILDREN, CLAUDIA, SHARON,
- 3 AND JERRY; HER NIECE, HELEN; AND HER GRANDCHILDREN, AS WELL AS
- 4 TWO GOD DAUGHTERS. SECONDLY, MADAM CHAIR AND COLLEAGUES,
- 5 PLEASE JOIN ME IN ADJOURNING IN MEMORY OF SYLVIA BERGHOFF, WHO
- 6 LIVED IN THE COUNTY FOR OVER 50 YEARS AND PASSED ON THIS PAST
- 7 NOVEMBER 16 AT THE AGE OF 94. SHE WORKED FOR MANY YEARS WITH
- 8 HER LATE HUSBAND, BEN BERGHOFF, TO BUILD A SUCCESSFUL PRINTING
- 9 BUSINESS IN VAN NUYS, A. & B. PRINTING AND STATIONERY COMPANY.
- 10 SHE WAS ACTIVE IN JEWISH CHARITIES AND WAS A LONGTIME MEMBER
- 11 OF TEMPLE MOUNT ZION. SHE ALSO STRONGLY SUPPORTED THE AMERICAN
- 12 DIABETES ASSOCIATION IN HONOR OF HER GRANDDAUGHTER, LAURA, WHO
- 13 HAS HAD TYPE 1 DIABETES SINCE SHE WAS FOUR YEARS OLD. SHE IS
- 14 SURVIVED BY HER SONS, ARNIE AND HERB; HER FOUR GRANDCHILDREN
- 15 AND FIVE GREAT GRANDCHILDREN. AND I WOULD ASK ALL MEMBERS TO
- 16 SUPPORT IF YOU'RE SO INCLINED. THANK YOU MADAM CHAIR.

- 18 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ALL MEMBERS ON THAT. YES, I HAVE TWO. JOANNE
- 19 AGOGLIA, WHO IS A RESIDENT, WAS A RESIDENT OF OUR DISTRICT WHO
- 20 PASSED AWAY. SHE HAD A LONG-STANDING INTEREST IN CHILDREN'S
- 21 ISSUES, A PASSION SHE SHARED WITH HER HUSBAND JOHN AGOGLIA,
- 22 LONGTIME N.B.C. TELEVISION EXECUTIVE, WHO CURRENTLY SERVES AS
- 23 THE VICE CHAIR FOR THE BOARD OF L.A. UNIVERSAL PRESCHOOL,
- 24 PREVIOUSLY SERVED AS PRESIDENT OF THE LOS ANGELES CITY BOARD
- 25 OF AIRPORT COMMISSIONERS. JOANNE IS SURVIVED BY HER HUSBAND.



- 1 IRVING GELLERT, A LONGTIME RESIDENT OF OUR DISTRICT WHO PASSED
- 2 AWAY AT THE AGE OF 93. BORN IN PENNSYLVANIA, GRADUATE OF
- 3 TEMPLE UNIVERSITY, AND WORLD WAR II ARMY VETERAN. RECEIVED HIS
- 4 LAW DEGREE FROM N.Y.U. 1949. IN 1957 ADMITTED TO THE
- 5 CALIFORNIA BAR. HE WAS AN AVID SPORTS FAN AND PARTICULARLY AN
- 6 AVID LAKER FAN AND EARLY ADOPTER AND ADAPTER TO NEW TECHNOLOGY
- 7 AND A POLITICAL JUNKIE WHO EAGERLY FOLLOWED ELECTION RESULTS
- 8 ONLINE. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS SON, JAY, WHO CURRENTLY SERVES
- 9 AS C.E.O. FOR HEALTHNET, AND HIS WIFE OF 53 YEARS, HARRIET,
- 10 PREDECEASED HIM.

11

- 12 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: SO ORDERED ON THOSE ADJOURNMENTS.
- 13 SUPERVISOR KNABE?

- 15 SUP. KNABE: THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR, MEMBERS OF THE BOARD.
- 16 BEFORE I GO TO MY ADJOURNMENTS, I DO HAVE A READ-IN MOTION.
- 17 "ON MONDAY, AUGUST 30, 2010, HEATHER BROADUS, 27, WAS FOUND
- 18 LYING DECEASED ON THE SIDEWALK IN FRONT OF THE RESIDENCE ON
- 19 56TH STREET IN LONG BEACH. THE VICTIM HAD BEEN SHOT IN THE
- 20 UPPER TORSO AND WAS DISCOVERED A SHORT DISTANCE AWAY FROM HER
- 21 HOTEL ROOM. DETECTIVES CONDUCTED AN EXTENSIVE INVESTIGATION
- 22 AND WERE UNABLE TO IDENTIFY THE PERSON OR PERSONS WHO KILLED
- 23 THE VICTIM OR DETERMINE THE MOTIVE FOR THE SENSELESS KILLING.
- 24 NO ONE HAS BEEN ARRESTED IN THE CASE. INVESTIGATORS BELIEVE IT
- 25 WOULD BE BENEFICIAL TO CONTINUE TO OFFER A REWARD IN THE CASE



- 1 THAT MAY PROMPT RELUCTANT WITNESSES TO COME FORWARD AND
- 2 PROVIDE INFORMATION TO IDENTIFY THE INDIVIDUALS RESPONSIBLE
- 3 FOR THIS HORRIFIC CRIME. AND THEY CAN REMAIN ANONYMOUS. I
- 4 THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE BOARD EXTEND THE OFFER OF A \$10,000
- 5 REWARD FOR INFORMATION LEADING TO THE ARREST AND CONVICTION OF
- 6 THE PERPETRATORS OF THIS HORRIFIC CRIME. AS FAR AS MY
- 7 ADJOURNMENTS, MADAM CHAIR, FIRST OF ALL, ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF
- 8 A LONGTIME FRIEND, MARTY GIBSON, WHO PASSED AWAY AT THE YOUNG
- 9 AGE OF 54. HE WAS THE OWNER OF PEPE'S MEXICAN RESTAURANT AND
- 10 CANTINA IN CANYON LAKE. HE SERVED THE COMMUNITY AS MAYOR,
- 11 POLICE OFFICERS' ASSOCIATION PRESIDENT, PRESIDENT OF THE
- 12 CHAMBER, CITIZEN OF THE YEAR, BUSINESSMAN OF THE YEAR. HE WAS
- 13 AN ENTREPRENEUR AND PHILANTHROPIST. PRIOR TO MOVING TO CANYON
- 14 LAKE HE WAS A MEMBER OF THE CERRITOS OPTIMIST CLUB WHICH I
- 15 BELONGED TO FOR 40 YEARS. HE WAS A FORMER CHAMBER BOARD
- 16 MEMBER, FORMER RESTAURANT OWNER IN THE CITY OF CERRITOS WITH
- 17 HIS BROTHER AND HIS FAMILY. HE WILL BE MISSED BY HIS FAMILY
- 18 AND FRIENDS. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE JEAN, THREE CHILDREN,
- 19 LISA, LAURA, CRIS; AND SIX SIBLINGS, JERRY, HARRY, DON, TOM,
- 20 ELIZABETH AND SUE. GREAT GUY. HE'S GOING TO TRULY BE MISSED.
- 21 LONG BATTLE WITH CANCER. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN TODAY IN MEMORY
- 22 OF MARTIN ZILINSKAS WHO PASSED AWAY ON NOVEMBER 18. HE RETIRED
- 23 FROM THE NATIONAL GUARD, VERY ACTIVE MEMBER OF THE BELLFLOWER
- 24 KIWANIS. HE AND HIS LATE WIFE, JULIA, WERE LONGTIME SUPPORTERS
- 25 OF THE Y.M.C.A. OF GREATER LONG BEACH AND JUST GREAT CITIZENS



- 1 OF THE CITY OF BELLFLOWER REGION. HE IS SURVIVED BY HIS TWO
- 2 SIBLINGS, JOHN AND MARIA. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF
- 3 OFELIA CERDA, A LONGTIME RESIDENT OF THE SOUTH BAY. BELOVED
- 4 AUNT OF MY STAFF MEMBER, HECTOR ADAME, WHO PASSED AWAY
- 5 SUDDENLY LAST WEEK AT HARBOR U.C.L.A. SHE LOVED COOKING AND
- 6 SPENDING TIME WITH HER CHILDREN AND GRANDCHILDREN. SHE WILL BE
- 7 MISSED BY HER FAMILY AND FRIENDS. SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER
- 8 HUSBAND OF OVER 50 YEARS, FRANCISCO, AND EIGHT CHILDREN. ALSO
- 9 THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF GARY OTTO PARSONS, A LONGTIME
- 10 RESIDENT OF LAKEWOOD, WHO PASSED AWAY AT THE YOUNG AGE OF 61.
- 11 HE LOVED REAL ESTATE, FOR 36 YEARS HELPED FAMILIES ACHIEVED
- 12 THEIR AMERICAN DREAM OF OWNING A HOME. PAST PRESIDENT OF THE
- 13 RANCHO SOUTHEAST ASSOCIATION OF REALTORS. HE WAS INVOLVED WITH
- 14 THE BIKERS AGAINST DIABETES RIDE. AMERICAN CANCER SOCIETY'S
- 15 RELAY FOR LIFE AND ESTABLISHED A SCHOLARSHIP FUND. HE IS
- 16 SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE OF 40 YEARS, ANNETTE; TWO CHILDREN, DINA
- 17 AND JONATHAN; THEIR SPOUSES; TWO BROTHERS, RICHARD AND PERRY;
- 18 AND A GRANDDAUGHTER, HALLIE. ALSO WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF
- 19 CHUCK CHAMBERS, RUSS URBAN, SEAN MARTIN KELLY, RESIDENTS OF
- 20 THE SOUTH BAY WHO WERE KILLED SUNDAY NIGHT IN A PLANE CRASH IN
- 21 NEWPORT BEACH WHILE RETURNING FROM A TRIP TO MEXICO WHERE THEY
- 22 OFTEN CONDUCTED CHARITABLE MISSIONS. PILOT, CHUCK AND RUSS,
- 23 ANNUAL FLEW TO TIJUANA TO DELIVER DONATIONS FOR OPERATION
- 24 MERRY CHRISTMAS. THEY WERE ACCOMPANIED ON THIS TRIP TO A SMALL
- 25 FISHING VILLAGE IN BAJA BY SEAN MARTIN KELLY, A 44-YEAR-OLD



- 1 HERMOSA BEACH RESIDENT. THEY WILL BE MISSED BY FAMILY AND
- 2 FRIENDS. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF ROSALIE ZITTEL, WHO
- 3 PASSED AWAY IN HER HOME ON NOVEMBER 14. SHE SPENT NEARLY 20
- 4 YEARS OF SERVICE TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WITH THE LONG BEACH
- 5 NAVAL SHIPYARD IN THE SEAL BEACH NAVAL WEAPONS STATION. SHE
- 6 WAS AN ARTIST, AND ENTREPRENEUR, OWNED THE FASHION TREES FOR
- 7 MANY YEARS IN EAST LONG BEACH. SHE WAS A LOVING MOTHER AND
- 8 GRANDMOTHER AND DEVOTED MEMBER OF ST. BARTHOLOMEW'S CATHOLIC
- 9 CHURCH. SHE IS SURVIVED BY HER FOUR CHILDREN, GERALD, JOANNE,
- 10 DAVID, KARL; FOUR GRANDCHILDREN AND TWO BROTHERS AND MANY
- 11 OTHER FAMILY MEMBERS. ALSO THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF LYLE
- 12 POPE WHO PASSED AWAY IN LAKEWOOD AT THE YOUNG AGE OF 58. HE
- 13 WAS BORN IN WASHINGTON, SERVED IN THE UNITED STATES NAVY. HE
- 14 WORKED FOR THE LONG BEACH SHIPYARD, CATERPILLAR. HE WAS A U.S.
- 15 COUNTY MARSHAL DEPARTMENT AND THEN A LASTING CAREER WITH THE
- 16 LOS ANGELES COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, WHERE HE RETIRED
- 17 AFTER 30 YEARS OF SERVICE. HE LOVED HIS FAMILY COACHING, DOING
- 18 THE PANCAKE BREAKFASTS AND LOTS OF OTHER INTERESTS. HE'S
- 19 SURVIVED BY HIS WIFE OF 34 YEARS, THERESA; FOUR CHILDREN,
- 20 SHANNON, MARY, KELLIE, JOHN; THREE GRANDSONS. ALSO FINALLY
- 21 THAT WE ADJOURN IN MEMORY OF JOSE ANGEL, A RESIDENT OF SOUTH
- 22 BAY WHO PASSED AWAY AT THE AGE OF 80. HE MOVED TO REDONDO
- 23 BEACH IN THE 60S, HAS BEEN IN THE SOUTH BAY EVER SINCE. HE
- 24 WORKED AND RETIRED FROM ROCKWELL. LOVED PLAYING SOCCER AND
- 25 SPENDING TIME WITH HIS FAMILY AND FRIENDS. HE'S SURVIVED BY



- 1 HIS WIFE OF 56 YEARS, FELICIA; EIGHT CHILDREN, FOURTEEN
- 2 GRANDCHILDREN; AND SIX GREAT GRANDCHILDREN. THANK YOU, MADAM
- 3 CHAIR.

4

- 5 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: SO ORDERED ON THOSE ADJOURNMENTS. MR.
- 6 YAROSLAVSKY?

- 8 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: I JUST LEARNED THAT SUSAN CHRISTINE DELMONT,
- 9 OTHERWISE KNOWN AS HEATHER DELMONT, WHO I THINK SOME OF US
- 10 KNEW AT CITY HALL, PASSED AWAY LAST MONTH. I HAVE JUST BEEN
- 11 INFORMED OF HER PASSING. SHE PASSED AWAY ON OCTOBER 11 IN
- 12 SANTA MONICA AFTER A VALIANT FIVE-YEAR BATTLE WITH BREAST
- 13 CANCER. HEATHER WORKED FOR JOEL WACHS FOR A NUMBER OF YEARS
- 14 AND WORKED ON ISSUES INCLUDING LAND USE, TRANSPORTATION,
- 15 HOUSING, ARTS, AMONG OTHER THINGS. IN 1995, SHE LEFT
- 16 COUNCILMAN WACHS' OFFICE TO JOIN COUNCILMAN JACKIE GOLDBERG'S
- 17 OFFICE, WHERE SHE WORKED ON PROJECTS IN THE HOLLYWOOD AREA.
- 18 SHE WAS ALSO LIAISON BETWEEN THE CITY COUNCIL OFFICE AND THE
- 19 HOLLYWOOD AREA COMMUNITY REDEVELOPMENT AGENCY, DOING
- 20 RECONSTRUCTION PROGRAMS AFTER THE 1994 NORTHRIDGE EARTHQUAKE.
- 21 HER SURVIVORS INCLUDE HER DEVOTED SISTER, NANCY KRUEGER; HER
- 22 NIECE, AMELIA TOMLINSON; GODCHILDREN, ADELE AND PAUL BAGELY;
- 23 AND TONY AND ELISA HARPER; AND MANY AND CHERISHED COUSINS,
- 24 INCLUDING KAREN KRUGER, MARK CARLSON, KATHY DEVELIN AND MIKE
- 25 MCNAMARA. THAT'S IT.



1

- 2 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: SO ORDERED ON THAT ADJOURNMENT. ALL RIGHT.
- 3 PUBLIC COMMENT? IF WE COULD HAVE VEDA HALL JOIN US? HAROLD
- 4 HALL. KYNDALL BROWN AND LEGRAND CLEGG? MS. HALL, PLEASE HAVE A
- 5 SEAT.

- 7 HAROLD HALL: GOOD AFTERNOON TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, AND A
- 8 SPECIAL GOOD AFTERNOON TO SUPERVISOR MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS. MY
- 9 NAME IS HAROLD HALL. I'M A RETIRED LIEUTENANT WITH L.A.P.D.
- 10 FOR 36-1/2 YEARS OF SERVICE. THE VAST MAJORITY OF THOSE YEARS
- 11 WERE SPENT IN INVESTIGATIVE ASSIGNMENTS. EIGHT OF THOSE YEARS
- 12 WERE SPENT IN THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION. AND HOPEFULLY MY
- 13 EXPERIENCE IN THE INVESTIGATIVE FIELD WILL GIVE ME SOME SMALL
- 14 CREDIBILITY WITH YOU. MY WIFE AND I THE PATERNAL AUNT AND
- 15 UNCLE OF TWO MINOR CHILDREN, A NIECE AND A NEPHEW WHO ARE
- 16 CURRENTLY UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY
- 17 DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES. I WILL TELL YOU,
- 18 FRANKLY, WITHOUT THE HELP OF SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS AND HIS
- 19 OFFICE, OUR NIECE AND NEPHEW WOULD HAVE BEEN TAKEN FROM MY
- 20 FAMILY, PARCELED OUT THROUGH AN EXCEEDINGLY CONNIVING AND
- 21 DEVIOUS AND UNUSUALLY DETERMINED FOSTER CAREGIVER WHO IN ALL
- 22 CERTAINTY WOULD HAVE DONE AND IS CURRENTLY DOING EVERYTHING IN
- 23 HER POWER WITH THE ASSISTANCE AND THE COOPERATION OF A CORRUPT
- 24 SYSTEM TO DEPRIVE US OF OUR NIECE AND NEPHEW. THIS INCLUDES
- 25 THEIR FATHER AND THEIR GRANDMOTHER. LET ME REITERATE. THE



- 1 CURRENT D.C.F. SYSTEM IS CORRUPT FOR IT CONTINUES TO ALLOW A
- 2 SOCIAL WORKER WHO CONTINUES TO DEMONSTRATE THAT SHE WILL NOT
- 3 FOLLOW THE DEPARTMENT'S OWN GUIDELINES AND REGULATIONS. SHE
- 4 DOES NOT, AND I REPEAT, DOES NOT ENSURE THE SAFETY OF NEPHEW
- 5 AND NIECE. SHE HAS ALLOWED THE CAREGIVER TO MISS HER FOLLOW-UP
- 6 MEDICAL EXAMINATION. WE HAD TO RELAY THE MESSAGE FROM THE
- 7 CHILD'S DOCTOR TO HER OURSELVES. SHE FAILED TO TAKE OUR NIECE
- 8 FOR MEDICAL TREATMENTS EVEN AFTER SHE WAS OBSERVED WITH BURN
- 9 MARKS ON HER LEGS. AND WE HAVE THE PICTURES. WE HAD TO
- 10 TRANSPORT OUR NIECE TO A MEDICAL FACILITY FOR EXAMINATION IN
- 11 LANCASTER THE NEXT DAY AS DIRECTED BY THE SOCIAL WORKER. THE
- 12 CARETAKER, WHO IS THE COUNTY EMPLOYEE NOT ONLY DID NOT TAKE
- 13 THE CHILD A MEDICAL FACILITY AFTER SHE GOT BURNED AT THE
- 14 CARETAKER'S RESIDENCE, SHE DID NOT EVEN REPORT IT. OUR NEPHEW
- 15 CONSTANTLY COMPLAINED THAT HE HAD PROBLEMS WITH HIS EYES BUT
- 16 ACCORDING TO HIM HE HAS NEVER BEEN TAKEN TO A DOCTOR FOR HIS
- 17 EYES. THE SOCIAL WORKER HAS SURREPTITIOUSLY AND IN CONCERT
- 18 WITH THE CARETAKER, ALLOWED PATERNAL GRANDMOTHER, WHO HAS A
- 19 CONVICTION FOR CHILD ABUSE AT LEAST ONE UNMONITORED OVERNIGHT
- 20 VISIT WITH THE CHILDREN. THE SOCIAL WORKER IS THE EPITOME OF
- 21 ARROGANCE. AFTER SHE RECEIVED NOTIFICATION OF A LETTER OF
- 22 COMPLAINT WE HAD FILED WITH SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS'S OFFICE,
- 23 SHE TOLD MY WIFE THAT SHE DID NOT CARE WHO WE CALLED, HER
- 24 SUPERVISOR, HIS SUPERVISOR, THE BOARD, THE A.R.A. OR ANYONE
- 25 ELSE WE WANTED TO CALL. AND SHE RETALIATED BY WRITING THIS

7

9



- 1 LETTER BY DENYING US THE CHILDREN'S VISITATION PRIVILEGES. AND
- 2 WHEN SHE DID THIS, SHE SAID IT WAS IN THEIR BEST INTEREST.
- 3 THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME AND I REALLY REQUEST YOUR ASSISTANCE
- 4 IN HELPING US. AND THANKS TO SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS FOR
- 5 CARING AND THE COMPASSION YOU FEEL ABOUT TAKING CARE OF
- 6 INNOCENT AND DEFENSELESS CHILDREN. THANK YOU.
- 8 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU. MISS HALL?
- 10 VEDA HALL: YES. I WANT TO THANK YOU ALSO FOR YOUR ATTENTION.
- 11 MY PURPOSE TODAY HERE IS THREE FOLD. ONE, I WANT TO PERSONALLY
- 12 ALSO LIKE TO PUBLICLY THANK AND APPLAUD MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS AND
- 13 HIS STAFF FOR BEING SO PROFESSIONALLY AND GENUINELY ATTENTIVE
- 14 TO THE CONCERNS OF HIS CONSTITUENTS. TWO, TO APPLAUD THE
- 15 EFFORTS OF SUPERVISOR MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS AND ALL OF THE OTHER
- 16 SUPERVISORS WHO HAVE BEEN DILIGENT IN THEIR PROBE INTO THE
- 17 NUMBER OF CHILDREN WHO HAVE BEEN SERIOUSLY INJURED AND KILLED
- 18 WHILE UNDER THE SUPERVISION AND CARE OF D.C.F.S., THE
- 19 DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN AND FAMILY SERVICES. THIRDLY, TO RAISE
- 20 PUBLIC AWARENESS AND TO ASK YOU TO ALSO KEEP WATCHFUL EYES ON
- 21 THE THOUSANDS OF CHILDREN WHO STILL REMAIN UNDER THE
- 22 SUPERVISION OF OUT OF CONTROL EGOTISTICAL SELF-SERVING SOCIAL
- 23 WORKERS, WHILE ALSO KEEPING WATCHFUL EYES ON THEIR
- 24 SUPERVISORS, DIRECTORS AND DEPARTMENT HEADS WHO WOULD RATHER
- 25 DEFEND RATHER THAN INVESTIGATE THE UNETHICAL PRACTICES OF



- 1 THEIR SUBORDINATES WHILE ALSO TAKING NOTICE THAT THEY
- 2 CONSTANTLY VIOLATE THEIR OWN POLICIES AND PROCEDURES AND
- 3 REFUSE TO PROVIDE ANY EXPLANATIONS -- AN ABOVE THE LAW
- 4 ATTITUDE. WHILE I AM SURE THERE ARE MANY DEDICATED AND
- 5 EFFECTIVE SOCIAL WORKERS WHO TRULY CARE ABOUT THE WELFARE OF
- 6 THESE CHILDREN AND THEIR FAMILIES, MY EXPERIENCE WITH THE
- 7 PALMDALE D.C.F.S. OFFICE HAS BEEN OTHERWISE. TRULY AN
- 8 UNBELIEVABLE NIGHTMARE. I AM NOT A SOCIAL WORKER BASHER. I
- 9 WORKED AS AN INTAKE WORKER AND ELIGIBILITY CONTROL WORKER FOR
- 10 THE DEPARTMENT FORMERLY KNOWN AS THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC
- 11 SOCIAL SERVICES FOR EIGHT YEARS OF MY 30 YEAR L.A. COUNTY
- 12 SERVICE. I AM ALSO NOT NAIVE TO THE ISSUES PLAGUING FAMILIES
- 13 OF CHILDREN REMOVED FROM PARENTAL CUSTODY. I WORKED 20 YEARS
- 14 AS AN L.A. COUNTY DEPUTY SHERIFF AND SERGEANT. I SPECIALIZED
- 15 IN CHILD ABUSE INVESTIGATIONS AND OTHER JUVENILE MATTERS. I
- 16 HAVE TESTIFIED AS AN EXPERT IN CHILD ABUSE IN SEVERAL COURTS
- 17 IN LOS ANGELES. SO NAIVE TO INVESTIGATIONS AND CHILD ABUSE
- 18 WELFARE MATTERS I AM NOT. AFTER ALL OF THIS, I FIND THAT I
- 19 HAVE A NIECE AND NEPHEW CAUGHT UP IN THIS DEPENDENCY SYSTEM
- 20 WHO NEVER SHOULD HAVE BEEN THERE IN THE FIRST PLACE EXCEPT
- 21 THAT D.C.F.S. DID NOT DO DUE DILIGENCE SEEKING SUITABLE FAMILY
- 22 MEMBERS WHO WERE IDENTIFIED IN THE CHILD'S FILE. NOW BECAUSE
- 23 WE HAVE EXPOSED THIS DEPARTMENT INJUSTICE, BIAS, INCOMPETENCE
- 24 AND BROUGHT IT TO THE ATTENTION OF THE SECOND DISTRICT
- 25 SUPERVISOR, WE HAVE BEEN MET WITH INDIGNATION AND RETALIATION.

12

15

The Meeting Transcript of The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors



- 1 D.C.F.S. HAS CUT OFF ALL PARENTAL FAMILY CONTACT WITH THE
- 2 CHILDREN. THE ENDANGERING PARENT, THE MOTHER, HAS ALSO BEEN
- 3 ALLOWED TO MOVE INTO THE HOME OF THE CHILDREN'S FOSTER
- 4 CAREGIVER, WHO HERSELF IS OF QUESTIONABLE CHARACTER. ALL OF
- 5 THIS FOR THE SAKE OF RETALIATION AND NOT WANTING TO RECOGNIZE
- 6 THEIR ERRORS IN JUDGMENT. IN CLOSING, WE WILL NOT STOP
- 7 FIGHTING TO RECTIFY THIS SITUATION AND HOPE THAT YOU WILL DO
- 8 YOUR UTMOST TO SEE THAT THIS DOESN'T HAPPEN TO ANY OTHER
- 9 FAMILIES. WHILE SOME ERRORS IN JUDGMENT ARE CONSIDERED HUMAN,
- 10 TO DELIBERATELY CONCEAL THEM AND JUSTIFY THEM IS INEXCUSABLE.
- 11 ESPECIALLY WHEN THE LIVES OF INNOCENT CHILDREN ARE AT RISK.

13 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU, MS. HALL. COULD WE ALSO HAVE

- 14 PATRICK GREEN JOIN US, AS WELL AS JOHN KINNEY? MR. BROWN?
- 16 KYNDALL BROWN: GOOD AFTERNOON. I'M DR. KYNDALL BROWN. I'M A
- 17 MATHEMATICS EDUCATOR AND DIRECTOR OF THE U.C.L.A. MATHEMATICS
- 18 PROJECT, AND A LOCAL TAXPAYER. I'M THE FIRST OF A NUMBER OF
- 19 PEOPLE WHO ARE APPEARING BEFORE YOU TODAY REPRESENTING VARIOUS
- 20 AFRICAN-AMERICAN ORGANIZATIONS. WE'RE CONCERNED ABOUT WHAT WE
- 21 PERCEIVE TO BE A LONG-STANDING RACIST PRACTICE AT THE LOS
- 22 ANGELES COUNTY MUSEUM OF ART, THE SUPPRESSION, DISTORTION OR
- 23 ADMISSION OF THE BLACK ORIGINATION OF, PRESENCE IN OR
- 24 CONTRIBUTIONS TO VARIOUS CIVILIZATIONS AROUND THE WORLD. IN
- 25 2003, SEVERAL OF US APPEARED BEFORE THIS BODY TO PROTEST THE



- 1 DISTORTIONS FOUND IN THE EXHIBIT, PHARAOHS OF THE SUN. IN
- 2 2005, WE APPEARED TO PROTEST THE DEPICTION OF BLACK EGYPTIAN
- 3 KING TUTANKHAMEN AS WHITE. IN BOTH CASES, THEN SUPERVISOR
- 4 YVONNE B. BURKE IN WHOSE DISTRICT L.A.C.M.A. LIES, WENT TO
- 5 GREAT LENGTHS TO ADDRESS OUR CONCERNS. CURRENTLY L.A.C.M.A. IS
- 6 SPONSORING THE EXHIBIT, OLMEC: COLOSSAL MASTERWORKS OF ANCIENT
- 7 MEXICO. ON DISPLAY ARE TWO GIGANTIC STONE HEADS AND SEVERAL
- 8 FIGURINES WITH PROMINENT AFRICAN FACIAL FEATURES YET NO
- 9 MENTION IS MADE OF THE OBVIOUS AFRICAN INFLUENCE. IN 1996, A
- 10 SIMILAR EXHIBIT WAS HELD AT THE SMITHSONIAN INSTITUTE IN
- 11 WASHINGTON, D.C. THE EXPERTS THERE INTRODUCED THE EXHIBIT WITH
- 12 RECOGNITION OF THIS VIEWPOINT. TO RECTIFY THE OMISSION AT
- 13 L.A.C.M.A. WE ARE REQUESTING THE FOLLOWING: ONE, THAT THE
- 14 CURRENT NARRATIVES ON DISPLAY BE REVISED TO MENTION THE
- 15 ANCIENT AFRICAN INFLUENCE. TWO, THAT A PANEL OF EXPERTS ON THE
- 16 SUBJECT OF THE AFRICAN PRESENCE IN PRE-COLUMBIAN AMERICA BE
- 17 CONVENED TO HOLD A SYMPOSIUM ON THIS SUBJECT AT L.A.C.M.A. AND
- 18 THREE THAT AN INVESTIGATION BE UNDERTAKEN TO DETERMINE THE
- 19 LEVEL OF DIVERSITY THAT IS PRESENT ON L.A.C.M.A.'S ADVISORY
- 20 BOARD AND SEVERAL OF THE MEMBERS OF OUR ORGANIZATION DID MAIL
- 21 LETTERS TO MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. I'D LIKE AT THIS TIME TO PASS
- 22 OUT SOME MATERIALS IN SUPPORT OF MY PRESENTATION. AND WHAT I
- 23 HAVE ARE POSTERS OF THE 17 OLMEC HEADS THAT DEPICT THESE
- 24 AFRICAN FEATURES THAT WE'RE DESCRIBING. THANK YOU.



1 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: MR. CLEGG.

- 3 LEGRAND CLEGG: YES TO THE HONORABLE BOARD OF TRUSTEES AND
- 4 ESPECIALLY TO OUR DISTINGUISHED REPRESENTATIVE MARK RIDLEY-
- 5 THOMAS, WITHIN THE PAST FEW WEEKS, THE COUNCIL, THE GREAT CITY
- 6 SCHOOLS ISSUED A REPORT ENTITLED "A CALL FOR CHANGE." IT
- 7 BEGINS BY SAYING THAT, QUOTE, "THE NATION'S YOUNG BLACK MALES
- 8 ARE IN A STATE OF CRISIS, " END QUOTE AND DESCRIBES THEIR
- 9 CONDITION AS A NATIONAL CATASTROPHE. MORE THAN ONE-THIRD OF
- 10 OUR BLACK BOYS LIVE IN POVERTY. 70 PERCENT ARE BORN TO UNWED
- 11 MOTHERS. BY THE TIME THEY REACH THEIR MID 30S, THE MAJORITY OF
- 12 BLACK MEN WITHOUT A HIGH SCHOOL DIPLOMA HAS SPENT TIME IN
- 13 PRISON. THE GREAT RECESSION HAS MADE MATTERS WORSE. I CITE
- 14 THIS REPORT FOR THE PURPOSE OF INTRODUCING MY BRIEF COMMENTS.
- 15 HAVING GROWN UP AS A BLACK MALE IN THIS SOCIETY, I KNOW WHAT A
- 16 CHALLENGE IT WAS FOR ME AND IT IS EVEN MORE SO TODAY FOR YOUNG
- 17 BLACK MEN TO HAVE A MODICUM OF SELF-RESPECT. FORTUNATELY I HAD
- 18 A STABLE FAMILY, DEVOTED TEACHERS AND A SOLID SPIRITUAL
- 19 FOUNDATION. NEVERTHELESS, I WAS AFFECTED BY MEDIA THAT
- 20 DEPICTED AND STILL DEPICTS BLACK MALES AS CRIMINALS, DERELICTS
- 21 AND BUFFOONS. I WAS DISGUSTED BY A SYSTEM THAT JUDGED ME BY MY
- 22 YOUTH AND COLOR, AND I WAS APPALLED BY ACADEMIC INSTITUTIONS
- 23 THAT IGNORED BLACK HISTORY, SUGGESTED THAT I WAS INFERIOR, AND
- 24 EVEN DENIED THAT I COULD BE THE ATTORNEY THAT I ULTIMATELY
- 25 BECAME. BETWEEN 1963 AND 1966 I READ THE GREAT WORKS OF DR.



- 1 W.E.B. DUBOIS, CARTER J. WOODSON, WILLIAM L. HANDSBERRY AND
- 2 J.A. ROGERS ON BLACK HISTORY AND CULTURE. THESE OUTSTANDING
- 3 SCHOLARS COMPLETELY CHANGED MY LIFE. FOR NEARLY 50 YEARS NOW I
- 4 HAVE WRITTEN AND LECTURED ON BLACK HISTORY AND CULTURE IN
- 5 ORDER TO INSTILL PRIDE INTO THE LIVES OF BLACK CHILDREN AND
- 6 YOUTH, ESPECIALLY MALES. IN MY RESEARCH, I HAVE FOUND
- 7 COMPELLING EVIDENCE TO THE EFFECT THAT AFRICANS DID INDEED
- 8 SAIL TO AMERICA THOUSANDS OF YEARS BEFORE COLUMBUS. AS A
- 9 MATTER OF FACT, AS EARLY AS 1966, I RECEIVED AN A ON A PAPER I
- 10 WROTE AT U.C.L.A. ON THE SUBJECT. HOW INSPIRING IT WOULD BE TO
- 11 BLACK YOUNGSTERS AND OTHERS FOR THAT MATTER IF L.A.C.M.A. AND
- 12 OTHER INSTITUTIONS REMOVED THEIR BLINDERS AND ACKNOWLEDGED
- 13 THAT BLACK NAVIGATORS CROSSED VAST EXPANSES OF WATER TO SPREAD
- 14 THEIR VERSION OF CIVILIZATION ABROAD. THIS MIGHT BE ONE STEP
- 15 TOWARD IMPROVING THE LIVES OF YOUNG BLACK MALES. MOREOVER THE
- 16 KNOWLEDGE THAT NATIVE AMERICANS, LATINOS AND AFRICAN-AMERICANS
- 17 HAVE A SHARED HERITAGE MAY LEAD TO A CULTURALLY ENRICHING BOND
- 18 BETWEEN THE THREE GROUPS. THE CURRENT EXHIBIT AT L.A.C.M.A.
- 19 MUST BE CHANGED TO REFLECT THE FACTS OF HISTORY, NOT THE
- 20 BIASES OF MUSEUM OFFICIALS. THANK YOU.

- 22 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU, SIR. WE HAVE JOHN KINNEY AS
- 23 WELL AS LYNN MOSES JOIN US, PLEASE. MR. TALMADGE? IS TALIB
- 24 TALMADGE HERE? WHAT ABOUT PATRICK GREEN? YOU'RE MR. GREEN?
- 25 PEOPLE WHO ARE NOT SPEAKING.



2 **JOHN KINNEY:** I'M JOHN KINNEY.

3

1

4 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: YOU'RE MR. KINNEY, GO AHEAD, SIR.

- 6 JOHN KINNEY: FIRST I JUST WANT TO THANK YOU FOR GIVING ME THE
- 7 OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK. AND I WANTED TO GO AHEAD AND SAY ON
- 8 OCTOBER 22ND, 2010, A NUMBER OF BLACK PEOPLE FROM VARIOUS
- 9 AFRICAN-AMERICAN ORGANIZATIONS APPEARED BEFORE A PANEL HELD AT
- 10 L.A.C.M.A.'S BING AUDITORIUM, WITH THE CURRENT OLMEC EXHIBIT.
- 11 DURING THE QUESTION AND ANSWER PERIOD, SEVERAL OF US RAISED
- 12 ISSUES AND PRESENTED EVIDENCE REGARDING THE AFRICAN PRESENCE
- 13 IN THE AMERICAS BEFORE COLUMBUS. SINCE THE MOST OBVIOUS
- 14 EVIDENCE OF THE ANCIENT BLACK CULTURES ARE THE GIANT OLMEC
- 15 HEADS, WE EMPHASIZED THEIR NEGROID FEATURES. IN RESPONSE TO
- 16 THIS, TWO MEMBERS IN THE AUDIENCE TRIED TO DISCREDIT OUR
- 17 POSITION BY CLAIMING THAT THERE IS NO EVIDENCE, THAT ONLY
- 18 BLACK PEOPLE HOLD OUR VIEWPOINT. EVEN IF ONLY BLACK PEOPLE
- 19 HELD THIS OPINION, THIS WOULD NOT AUTOMATICALLY INVALIDATE IT.
- 20 BUT MORE IMPORTANT, THERE WAS A MUTINY ON THE PANEL ITSELF.
- 21 ONE OF THE PANELISTS STATED THAT WESTERN ARCHAEOLOGY IS RIFE
- 22 WITH RACISM AND THAT IT IS ABSURD TO VIEW OLMEC HEADS AND
- 23 CLAIM THAT THEY WERE NOT AFRICANS. SINCE WE CANNOT IGNORE THE
- 24 FACT THAT THE STATEMENTS OF WHITE AUTHORITIES ON THE ETHNICITY
- 25 OF THE OLMEC HEADS WILL LEND MORE CREDIBILITY TO OUR ARGUMENT,



- 1 HERE ARE A FEW OUOTES FROM CAUCASIAN SCHOLARS OF GOOD WILL.
- 2 ANTHROPOLOGIST SHARON MCKINNON "ESCAPABLY NEGROID." ROBERT
- 3 QUIRK OF INDIANA UNIVERSITY, NEGROID HISTORIAN, NICHOLAS
- 4 CHEATHAM. EXAGGERATEDLY NEGROID. HISTORIAN, SADAM RODMAN,
- 5 NEGROID. NEW WORLD SPECIALIST JONATHAN LEONARD, NEGROID
- 6 FEATURES. DAVID HATCHER CHILDRESS, WHO HAS WRITTEN A BOOK
- 7 ENTITLED "THE MYSTERY OF THE OLMECS," IN WHICH THEY CONCLUDE
- 8 THE GIANT HEADS WERE DECIDEDLY NEGROID. FINALLY, IN ADDITION
- 9 TO THE GIANT STONE HEADS, ART HISTORIAN ALEXANDER VON WUTHENOW
- 10 HAS WRITTEN TWO BOOKS IN WHICH HE STATES FIGURINES
- 11 REPRESENTING BLACK PEOPLE HAVE BEEN UNEARTHED IN VERY ANCIENT
- 12 SITES ALL OVER MEXICO AND CENTRAL AMERICA AS WELL AS COLUMBIA,
- 13 ECUADOR AND PERU. HE ALSO NOTES THAT THESE PEOPLE APPEAR TO
- 14 HAVE OCCUPIED THE HIGHEST STRATA OF THESE SOCIETIES. IN THE
- 15 SAME VEIN AN INDIAN SCHOLAR, R.A. JARAVAI, HAS WRITTEN THE
- 16 BLACK BEGAN HIS CAREER IN AMERICA NOT AS A SLAVE BUT AS A
- 17 MASTER AND IS SAYING THAT I FEEL THAT WE SHOULD HAVE EDUCATION
- 18 SYSTEMS SET UP AT L.A.C.M.A. AND OTHER MUSEUMS THAT GO INTO
- 19 THESE HISTORICAL PRECEDENCES WITH SO MUCH ARTIFACTS, SO MANY
- 20 BOOKS WRITTEN ON THESE SUBJECTS THAT WE SHOULD HAVE A LOT MORE
- 21 OF THIS TYPE OF COMMENTARY AND PANELS AND DISCUSSIONS ON THESE
- 22 SUBJECTS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

23

24 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU. MR. MOSES?



- 1 LYNN MOSES: THANK YOU. MY NAME IS LYNN MOSES. THE L.A.C.M.A.
- 2 MISSION STATEMENT READS: "TO SERVE THE PUBLIC THROUGH THE
- 3 COLLECTION, CONSERVATION, EXHIBITION AND INTERPRETATION OF
- 4 SIGNIFICANT WORKS OF ART FROM A BROAD RANGE OF CULTURES AND
- 5 HISTORICAL PERIODS." TO SERVE THE PUBLIC. THIS STATEMENT OF
- 6 MISSION MAKES NO MENTION OF THE DELIBERATE ATTEMPT TO DISTORT
- 7 AND OMIT FACT, THE FACT THAT THE OLMECS WERE AND ALWAYS WILL
- 8 BE BLACK AFRICANS. MR. MICHAEL GOVAN, THE C.E.O., MELODY
- 9 KANSCHAT, PRESIDENT, JANE BURRELL AND THE OPINION OF MANY IN
- 10 THE COMMUNITY SHOULD BE THROWN OUT INTO THE STREET. THE OLMEC
- 11 EXHIBIT AT L.A.C.M.A. IS NOTHING MORE THAN AN IGNORANT,
- 12 BARBARIC AND SAVAGE ATTEMPT TO DISTORT AND DEPRESS THE GLARING
- 13 FACT THAT BLACK AFRICAN PEOPLE WERE HERE LONG BEFORE THE
- 14 EUROPEAN WHITE, COLUMBUS IN PARTICULAR. BLACK OLMEC SOCITIES
- 15 LAID THE FOUNDATION FOR NORTH AMERICAN, INDEED SO-CALLED
- 16 CIVILIZED THIS VERY CONTINENT. THE OLMECS WERE AND ALWAYS WILL
- 17 BE BLACK AFRICANS JUST LIKE ME. THE OLMEC EXHIBIT AT
- 18 L.A.C.M.A. GLARINGLY MAKES ABSOLUTELY NO MENTION THAT THE
- 19 OLMECS WERE BLACK PEOPLE OR ANY RACE. THEY MAKE NO MENTION OF
- 20 ANYTHING. THIS IS BARBARIC. THE PSYCHOPATHIC RACISTS CANNOT
- 21 STAND THE THOUGHT AND FACT THAT CIVILIZATION ITSELF AND INDEED
- 22 ALL NON-CAVE DWELLING KNOWLEDGE AND ADVANCEMENT CAME THROUGH
- 23 US, THE BLACK OLMECS, BLACK ANCIENT EGYPTIANS, ET CETERA.
- 24 CHINA, INDIA, IRAN, JAPAN ARE COMING ONLINE AND ADVANCING WITH
- 25 ALMOST EXPONENTIAL RATES OF GROWTH THROUGH TECHNOLOGY,



- 1 EDUCATION AND UNITY. THE UNITED STATES, OUR SO-CALLED LEADERS
- 2 ARE ENGAGED IN THE VERY HEIGHTS OF MADNESS BY ATTEMPTING TO
- 3 SUPPRESS KNOWLEDGE AT THIS DAY AND AGE. LADIES AND GENTLEMEN,
- 4 IT'S ALL CIRCLING THE DRAIN. CALIFORNIA HAS RECENTLY HAD TO
- 5 BORROW BILLIONS OF DOLLARS JUST TO SUSTAIN ITS UNEMPLOYMENT
- 6 SYSTEM. WHERE ARE YOU GOING TO GET THE BILLIONS TO REPLACE
- 7 THOSE BILLIONS? NOBODY'S WORKING. THIS SYSTEM IS NOT WORKING.
- 8 AND WE NEED TO REPLACE IT. THE MUSEUM OFFICIALS, YOU THE BOARD
- 9 NEED TO COME DOWN AND HAVE US STOP HAVING TO COME DOWN HERE
- 10 AND DEMAND THAT YOU SUPPRESS, STOP SUPPRESSING OUR HISTORY,
- 11 OUR BLACK HISTORY. I WAS NO FAN OF YVONNE BURKE, BUT SHE, MR.
- 12 THOMAS, MR. RIDLEY-THOMAS, SHE GOT ON THIS ISSUE. THUS FAR, WE
- 13 HAVE SEEN NOTHING AND WE ARE WATCHING VERY CLOSELY.

14

- 15 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU, MR. MOSES. NEXT WE HAVE RICHARD
- 16 MERI KA RA BYRD, OMEGA SHEPHERD AND SAKKARA THOMAS. PLEASE
- 17 JOIN US. SIR.

- 19 PATRICK GREEN: HI, THANK YOU FOR HAVING ME SPEAK. MY VOICE IS
- 20 A LITTLE CHAFED, SO PLEASE BEAR WITH ME. IN 1862, JOSE MALGAR
- 21 A MEXICAN CITIZEN DISCOVERED THE FIRST GIANT OLMEC HEAD BURIED
- 22 DEEP BENEATH THE SOIL IN MEXICO. HE WAS SO STUNNED BY THE
- 23 IMPLICATIONS OF HIS DISCOVERY, THAT HE WROTE TWO MAJOR
- 24 MAGAZINE ARTICLES IN SPANISH ON THE SUBJECT. NOT BLINDED BY
- 25 EURO CENTRISM OR SHACKLED BY AN ACADEMIC ESTABLISHMENT THAT



- 1 DEMANDS ADHERENCE TO ITS POLITICAL AGENDA, MALGAR WROTE THE
- 2 FOLLOWING IN THE FIRST ARTICLE. "WE WENT AND I WAS STRUCK WITH
- 3 SURPRISE. AS A WORK OF ART, IT IS WITHOUT EXAGGERATION A
- 4 MAGNIFICENT SCULPTURE. BUT WHAT ASTONISHED ME WAS THE
- 5 ETHIOPIAN TYPE WHICH IT REPRESENTS. I REFLECTED THAT THERE HAD
- 6 UNDOUBTEDLY BEEN BLACKS IN THIS COUNTRY, AND THIS HAD BEEN IN
- 7 THE FIRST EPIC OF THE WORLD. THAT HEAD WAS NOT ONLY IMPORTANT
- 8 FOR MEXICAN ARCHAEOLOGY BUT ALSO WOULD BE FOR THE WORLD IN
- 9 GENERAL". SINCE MALGAR'S DISCOVERY, 16 OTHER STONE HEADS HAVE
- 10 BEEN FOUND IN VARIOUS PARTS OF MEXICO RANGING UP TO 10 FEET IN
- 11 HEIGHT WITH THE CIRCUMFERENCES OF 22 FEET AND WEIGHING 30 TO
- 12 40 POUNDS. THESE COLOSSAL STATUES APPEAR TO REPRESENT PRIESTS,
- 13 KINGS WHO RULED VAST TERRITORIES IN THE ANCIENT NEW WORLD FROM
- 14 PROVINCES NEAR THE GULF OF MEXICO. IN A COUNTRY THAT PERSISTS
- 15 TO INSIST THAT BLACK PEOPLE ARE ONLY DESCENDANTS OF SLAVES AND
- 16 THAT CONSTANTLY DEPICTS AFRICANS AS STARVING, DISEASED-RIDDEN
- 17 PRIMITIVES. THIS TRUE STORY OF THE OLMEC CIVILIZATION SEEMS TO
- 18 BE TOO MUCH TO BEAR. MY RESPONSE TO THIS KIND OF DISEASE-
- 19 RIDDEN THINKING IS IT'S OFFENSIVE. IT'S OUTDATED. IT'S TIME
- 20 FOR IT TO STOP. GET OVER IT. MALGAR WAS RIGHT. MEXICO IS JUST
- 21 ONE EXAMPLE OF HOW AFRICANS LAID THE FOUNDATION OF WORLD
- 22 CIVILIZATION, AND THE EVIDENCE IS CLEAR. IT SPEAKS FOR ITSELF.
- 23 THANK YOU.

24

25 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU. MR. MERI KA RA BYRD.



- 2 RICHARD MERI KA RA BYRD: HONORABLE SUPERVISORS. AMERICA HAS A
- 3 HISTORY OF DEGRADING, DISCREDITING, AND DEFAMING BLACK PEOPLE.
- 4 PRIOR TO THE EMANCIPATION PROCLAMATION, AFRICAN-AMERICANS WERE
- 5 CONSIDERED THREE-FIFTHS OF A MAN. AND BLACK PEOPLE HAD NO
- 6 RIGHTS THAT A WHITE MAN WAS BOUND TO RESPECT. PROTESTANT
- 7 MINISTERS CLAIMED THAT AFRICAN-AMERICANS WERE THE CURSED SONS
- 8 OF HAM. SCIENTISTS AND SCHOLARS CONTENDED, AND SOME STILL DO,
- 9 THAT WE ARE SMALL BRAINED, GENETICALLY INFERIOR, SUBHUMANS
- 10 WITH NO HISTORY. HISTORIANS, ANTHROPOLOGISTS AND
- 11 ARCHAEOLOGISTS TURNED HISTORY ON ITS HEAD BY REMOVING BLACK
- 12 PEOPLE FROM ANCIENT EGYPT, EGYPT FROM AFRICA, AND AFRICA FROM
- 13 WORLD HISTORY. WESTERN SCIENTISTS AND SCHOLARS ARE COMPLETELY
- 14 CONTROLLED BY THIS THINKING, WHICH IS WHY THEY CANNOT CONCEIVE
- 15 OF THE IDEA THAT AFRICANS CAME TO AMERICA BEFORE COLUMBUS. THE
- 16 OLMEC EXHIBIT AT L.A.C.M.A. OFFERED A PERFECT OPPORTUNITY FOR
- 17 THE WORLD TO BE SHOWN THE BRILLIANCE, MAGNIFICENCE AND MAJESTY
- 18 OF BLACK AFRICANS IN ANTIQUITY. HOWEVER, MUSEUM OFFICIALS WILL
- 19 CLAIM THAT THERE IS NO EVIDENCE, NO PROOF. THEY FLATLY REFUSE
- 20 TO READ AND ANALYZE THE EVIDENCE THAT EXISTS. THUS THEY CAN
- 21 PERPETUATE THE LIE THAT THERE IS NOTHING TO BACK UP OUR
- 22 CONTENTIONS. MY POINT HERE IS THAT THESE PEOPLE ARE NOT
- 23 SCIENTISTS. THEY ARE THE UNFORTUNATE CLOSED-MINDED VICTIMS OF
- 24 THEIR MYOPIC EDUCATION AND THEIR OWN PROPAGANDA. THE OLMEC
- 25 STONE HEADS REPRESENT AFRICANS. WHAT MORE DO THESE SCHOLARS



- NEED TO SEE. THE FIGURINES ARE EVEN MORE AFRICAN. WHETHER AT 1
- L.A.C.M.A. OR AT THOUSANDS OF INSTITUTIONS OF HIGHER LEARNING 2
- 3 ACROSS THIS COUNTRY, SCIENTISTS AND ACADEMIANS CANNOT GET PAST
- THE DEEPLY INGRAINED MYTH OF BLACK INFERIORITY. THEREFORE NO 4
- 5 MATTER HOW BLACK OR NEGROID KING TUT'S MUMMY IS, HE MUST BE
- DEPICTED AS WHITE. NO MATTER HOW BLACK OR NEGROID ARE THE 6
- COINS DEPICTING THE AFRICAN GENERAL HANNIBAL, HE MUST BE SHOWN 7
- 8 AS WHITE. AND NO HOW UNEQUIVOCALLY NEGROID THE GIANT OLMEC
- STONE HEADS ARE, THE BLACK PRESENCE IN AMERICA BEFORE COLUMBUS 9
- MUST BE VIGOROUSLY DENIED AT ALL COSTS. ALL OF THIS IS RACIST, 10
- IDEOLOGICAL, UNSCIENTIFIC, BUT IT IS ALSO THE STATE OF MIND OF 11
- THIS NATION'S BRIGHTEST MEN OF SCIENCE WHEN IT COMES TO THE 12
- PERCEPTION OF BLACK PEOPLE. I AS ONE BLACK PERSON AM NOT GOING 13
- TO TOLERATE THESE LIES ANYMORE IN THE 21ST CENTURY. BLACKS 14
- SAILED TO AMERICA THOUSANDS OF YEARS BEFORE COLUMBUS. THE 15
- 16 EVIDENCE IS AT L.A.C.M.A. TODAY. AND IT SHOULD BE ACKNOWLEDGED
- AS SUCH. WITH THE INTERNET AND THE GROWING AFRO-CENTRIC 17
- 18 MOVEMENT, PEOPLE ARE MORE AWARE THAN EVER BEFORE. L.A.C.M.A.
- 19 HAS BETRAYED THE PUBLIC TRUST. AND THIS BOARD HAS AN
- OBLIGATION TO RESTORE THAT TRUST WITH GOOD FAITH EFFORTS TO 20
- 21 PRESENT THE TRUTH IN THIS AND ALL UPCOMING EXHIBITS.
- 23 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU, SIR.

22

24

RICHARD MERI KA RA BYRD: THANK YOU. 25



1 2

SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: MISS SHEPHERD?

- 4 OMEGA SHEPHERD: GOOD AFTERNOON HONORABLE BOARD. MY NAME IS
- 5 OMEGA SEWARD SHEPHERD. I WAS BORN AND RAISED IN THE CITY OF
- 6 MCALLISTER, OKLAHOMA. I GREW UP IN A SEGREGATED SOCIETY. I
- 7 ONLY ATTENDED AN INTEGRATED SCHOOL WHEN I CAME TO CALIFORNIA,
- 8 WHICH WAS AT COMPTON COMMUNITY COLLEGE. BUT WHAT I WOULD LIKE
- 9 TO SAY TODAY IS THAT I THOUGHT THAT CALIFORNIA WOULD BE A LOT
- 10 DIFFERENT FROM WHERE I WAS RAISED. I WAS TAUGHT BY AFRICAN-
- 11 AMERICAN TEACHERS. MY PARENTS ARE AFRICAN-AMERICAN AND I WAS
- 12 TAUGHT AFRICAN-AMERICAN HISTORY BY MY PARENTS. AND IN HIGH
- 13 SCHOOL, IT WAS A REQUIREMENT THAT THE BLACK TEACHERS AND THE
- 14 BLACK ADMINISTRATORS GAVE US. WE WERE TAUGHT BLACK HISTORY AND
- 15 CULTURE ON A REGULAR BASIS AND A CERTAIN AMOUNT OF PRIDE AND
- 16 HERITAGE WAS INSTILLED IN ME AND US FROM THE VERY BEGINNING. I
- 17 LIVED IN A CLOSED SOCIETY, SO I WAS MORE OR LESS INSULATED
- 18 FROM A LOT OF THINGS THAT I THOUGHT THAT MY CHILDREN WOULD
- 19 NEVER SEE. I AM THE MOTHER OF FOUR CHILDREN, THE GRANDMOTHER
- 20 OF FOURTEEN AND THE GREAT GRANDMOTHER OF NINE AND ONE ON THE
- 21 WAY. WHAT I HAVE DONE WHEN MY CHILDREN WENT TO BANNING HIGH
- 22 SCHOOL, I TAUGHT THEM. THE ONLY BLACK HISTORY THEY RECEIVED
- 23 WAS IN FEBRUARY. SO I SAW THAT THEY WERE NOT GETTING WHAT THEY
- 24 NEEDED. THEY COULD NOT EVEN SING THE NEGRO NATIONAL ANTHEM.
- 25 AND I SHOULD NOTE RIGHT NOW THAT EVEN THE ASIAN, LATINO AND



- 1 SAMOAN FRIENDS AND CLASSMATES, THEY KNEW ALMOST JUST AS LITTLE
- 2 ABOUT THEMSELVES AS MY CHILDREN KNEW ABOUT THEMSELVES. ANYONE
- 3 WHO VISITS THE CURRENT OLMEC EXHIBIT AT L.A.C.M.A. OR READS
- 4 THE REAL HISTORY OF MEXICO WOULD SEE THAT THAT PART OF THE
- 5 WORLD WAS AN INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL CENTER, A STOPPING POINT
- 6 IN ANCIENT TIMES. THAT'S THE REASON THOSE FACES LOOK LIKE ME.
- 7 OLMEC HEADS AND OTHER ARTIFACTS CLEARLY SHOW THAT AFRICANS
- 8 AMONG OTHERS SAILED TO THE AMERICAS THOUSANDS OF YEARS BEFORE
- 9 COLUMBUS. AND IT IS HIGH TIME THAT L.A.C.M.A. AND THE ACADEMIC
- 10 ESTABLISHMENT ACKNOWLEDGE THIS FACT, TOGETHER WITH OTHER
- 11 THINGS, ESPECIALLY WHAT OUR BLACK AFRICAN-AMERICAN CHILDREN,
- 12 ASIANS SHOULD KNOW. I APPRECIATE YOUR TIME. THANK YOU VERY
- 13 MUCH.

14

15 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT.

16

- 17 RICHARD MERI KA RA BYRD: MISS MOLINA, MAY I JUST GIVE THESE TO
- 18 YOU?

19

20 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: SURE. ABSOLUTELY. MS. THOMAS?

21

- 22 RICHARD MERI KA RA BYRD: IT'S AFRICAN PRESENCE IN EARLY
- 23 AMERICA BY ONE OF OUR TOP SCHOLARS, DR. IVAN VAN SERTIMA.

24

25 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU SIR. MS. THOMAS?



1

25

SAKKARA THOMAS: THANK YOU FOR THIS OPPORTUNITY TO COME BEFORE 2 3 YOU. WHAT BECAME OF THE BLACK PEOPLE OF SUMER? FOR ANCIENT RECORDS SHOW THAT THE PEOPLE OF SUMER WERE BLACK. WHAT 4 5 HAPPENED TO THEM? THE OLD MAN SIGHED. THEY LOST THEIR HISTORY, SO THEY DIED. I'M HERE TODAY TO TELL YOU THAT WE DON'T BELIEVE 6 THAT WE'VE LOST OUR HISTORY, WE BELIEVE IT HAS BEEN STOLEN ON 7 8 MANY OCCASIONS. I'VE BEEN BEFORE YOU BEFORE REGARDING THE PYRAMID AT CAL STATE LONG BEACH IN TERMS OF HOW THEY OMIT ANY 9 INFORMATION ABOUT THE ORIGINS OF THAT PARTICULAR FACILITY. AND 10 TODAY I'M HERE AND COME FORWARD TO DISCUSS THE CURRENT OLMEC 11 EXHIBIT AT THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY MUSEUM OF ART. BEFORE THE 12 STUDY OF HISTORY BECAME POLITICIZED BY WESTERN WHITE SCHOLARS, 13 WHO CONSTANTLY MINIMIZE THE PRESENCE AND ACHIEVEMENTS OF BLACK 14 15 PEOPLE AROUND THE WORLD, MEXICAN SCHOLARS THEMSELVES WERE VERY 16 HONEST ABOUT THE AFRICAN PRESENCE IN ANCIENT MESOAMERICA. THAT IS MEXICO AND CENTRAL AMERICA. ONE OF THE SCHOLARS IS BEATRICE 17 18 DE LAY FRENZA THIS IS WHAT SHE WROTE IN HER BOOK, LAS CABISAS 19 COLLOSUS OLMECA: IF IN SOME MOMENT, ONE HAPPENED TO PONDER ON THE EXISTENCE OF NEGROES IN EARLY CENTRAL MESOAMERICA, SUCH A 20 21 THOUGHT WOULD SURELY OCCUR AFTER YOU HAVE SEEN THE HEAD OF THE 22 MOST TRES ZAPATES, THE MOST REMOTE IN PHYSIOMETRY FROM OUR 23 INDIGENOUS ANCESTORS. THE ELEVATED POSITION OF THIS PERSONAGE IS REVEALED IN THE HEAD DRESS, FROM THE BACK OF WHICH DANGLES 24

SEVEN BANDS WHICH FIGURE BRAIDS THAT TAPER OFF INTO RINGS AND



- 1 TASSELS." I HAVE FOR EACH OF YOU TODAY, A PICTURE OF THE FRONT
- 2 OF THIS GIGANTIC STONE HEAD TO WHICH PROFESSOR DE LAY FRENZA
- 3 MADE MENTION.

4

- 5 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU. IF WE COULD HAVE BIKBAYE
- 6 INEJNEMA. PLEASE JOIN US. MATHU ALTER, NEHEZ MENIOOTEH. AND
- 7 THEN WE HAVE MR. SACHS, AND MR. PREVEN. I APOLOGIZE IF I
- 8 MISPRONOUNCED YOUR NAMES, PLEASE.

- 10 BIKBAYE INEJNEMA: GOOD EVENING. MY NAME IS BIKBAYE INEJNEMA.
- 11 AND I AM HAPPY TO HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY JUST TO SHARE THE
- 12 THOUGHTS OF THE YOUTH WHO I FEEL THAT I REPRESENT. YOU ALL
- 13 JUST HEARD MY ELDERS AND THEIR THOUGHTS ON THE SUBJECT. BEING
- 14 A FORMER SCHOOL TEACHER, I WAS GIVEN AN ULTIMATUM WHETHER TO
- 15 STICK TO THE CURRICULUM WHICH HAD ME STILL TEACHING OUR
- 16 CHILDREN THAT COLUMBUS DISCOVERED AMERICA, OR SHARING WITH
- 17 THEM WHAT REALLY HAPPENED. I CHOSE TO SHARE WHAT REALLY
- 18 HAPPENED. AND I WAS FORCED TO RESIGN FROM BEING A SCHOOL
- 19 TEACHER. WHAT IS GOING ON NOW, SPECIFICALLY WE'RE TALKING
- 20 ABOUT THE OLMEC HEADS, IS MUCH BIGGER THAN THE TRUTH STILL
- 21 BEING CONCEALED. THESE ARTIFACTS THAT THEY ARE TAKING FROM
- 22 DIFFERENT PARTS OF THE WORLD AND PUTTING IN MUSEUMS ARE VERY
- 23 SACRED ITEMS TO US. THEY'RE VERY SPIRITUAL AND SACRED ITEMS
- 24 THAT SHOULD NOT BE MOVED FROM ITS PLACE BECAUSE IT WAS PUT
- 25 THERE FOR A REASON. WE KNOW THE SECRETS TO THESE ARTIFACTS.



- 1 THE CULTURE HAS NOT DIED. THE CULTURE IS STILL INTACT. AND IT
- 2 IS STILL ALIVE. AND THEY PASSED THESE SECRETS DOWN TO US FROM
- 3 GENERATION TO GENERATION. WHAT WE'RE SEEING IS THE DESECRATION
- 4 OF THE CULTURE ITSELF. NOW, USUALLY THOSE IN MY AGE GROUP,
- 5 THERE IS NOT TOO MANY THAT ARE SHOWING A BIG INTEREST IN THESE
- 6 TYPE OF THINGS. BUT THAT'S ON THE WAY. NOW, I DON'T KNOW HOW
- 7 MUCH POWER THIS BODY HAS TO MAKE THE NECESSARY CHANGES, BUT
- 8 WE'RE JUST ASKING FOR HONESTY IN EVERYTHING IN DECIDING WHAT
- 9 TO DO IN THIS SITUATION. THE INTELLECTUAL HONESTY, IT CAN'T BE
- 10 HIDDEN. IT CAN ONLY BE HIDDEN FOR SO LONG. AND THE EVIDENCES,
- 11 THERE IS NOT EVEN A CASE OF IF THESE WERE AFRICANS OR NOT.
- 12 THAT'S OPEN AND SHUT. AND IT IS SO OBVIOUS. SO WE ARE NOW
- 13 PRESENTING THIS AND HOPING THAT THIS BODY EXERCISE THE
- 14 INTELLECTUAL HONESTY. AND WHATEVER CHANGES ARE NECESSARY AND
- 15 WHATEVER NEEDS TO BE DONE TO RECTIFY THIS BLATANT ACT OF
- 16 DESTRUCTION WHILE WE ARE WATCHING IT, THAT THIS BE RATIFIED
- 17 AND SOLVED. THANK YOU.

18

19 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: MR. ATER.

- 21 MATHU ATER: I APPRECIATE HAVING YOU HERE TODAY. I'VE BEEN
- 22 TRAVELING TO MEXICO SINCE 1986. THE FIRST THING I WANT TO
- 23 CLEAR UP IS THAT THE OLMECS WERE NOT BLACKS. THE OLMEC
- 24 REPRESENTED A POPULATION OF PEOPLE THAT HAVE A PREHISTORY.
- 25 THEIR ANTECEDENTS GO BACK AT LEAST 3,000 YEARS B.C. BUT AT



- 1 THAT PARTICULAR TIME AT 1200 IS WHEN THESE PARTICULAR HEADS IN
- 2 QUESTION MADE THEIR EXISTENCE THERE IN THE GULF COAST OF
- 3 MEXICO. AND WHAT THESE WERE WERE MONUMENTS TO A PEOPLE WHO
- 4 WERE VERY STRANGE TO THAT PARTICULAR CULTURE. AND THE HEADS
- 5 THERE ARE DECISIVELY NEGROID. IT'S IMPORTANT THAT WE CITE THAT
- 6 THAT THE OLMECS, YOU CAN'T SAY THE OLMECS WERE BLACK. THAT'S
- 7 LIKE SAYING ALL AMERICANS ARE WHITE. THERE ARE BLACK
- 8 AMERICANS, LATINO AMERICANS, ASIAN AMERICANS. THE OLMEC
- 9 POPULATION CONSISTED OF PEOPLE IN THAT PARTICULAR REGION UNTIL
- 10 THE ADVENT OF THESE PEOPLE. THIS HEAD HERE FROM -- BOTH OF
- 11 THESE HEADS ARE FROM TRES ZAPOTES. AND THIS PARTICULAR HEAD
- 12 WAS SIGHTED BY MATTHEW STERLING I THINK IN 1936. HE REFERRED
- 13 TO THIS HEAD AS JOE LEWIS BECAUSE THE HEAD RESEMBLED JOE LEWIS
- 14 AT THE TIME WHO WAS BLOCKING PEOPLE OUT LEFT AND RIGHT. AND
- 15 THIS PARTICULAR HEAD IS FROM THE TUKSLA, AND ALSO YOU SEE VERY
- 16 DECIDEDLY NEGROID. AND THIS HEAD ACTUALLY HAS BRAIDED HAIR IN
- 17 THE REAR OF THIS PARTICULAR HEAD. IT WAS INTERESTING TO CITE
- 18 THAT WHEN HE THESE HEADS MADE THEIR APPEARANCES IN THE
- 19 AMERICAS, THAT NOT ONLY DID YOU FIND THE DISTINGUISHING FACTOR
- 20 OF A SO-CALLED NEGROID FEATURE, BUT AN AFRICAN HAIR STYLE,
- 21 WHICH IS BRAIDS IN THE ASSOCIATION WITH THE HEAD. NOW WE KNOW
- 22 FOR A FACT THAT THE NUBIAN AND EGYPTIAN WARRIORS, THE
- 23 PHARAOH'S ARMIES, CONSISTED OF WARRIORS WHICH THEY CALL MOZAI.
- 24 AND THIS PARTICULAR NAME BECAME THE NAME OF THE AVERAGE
- 25 EGYPTIAN SOLDIER. THIS NUBIAN POPULATION POPULATED THE NAVAL



- 1 FORCES OF THE NILE VALLEY, EXTENSIVELY THROUGHOUT THE
- 2 PARTICULAR PERIOD OF 1200 B.C. SO IT'S IMPORTANT TO CITE THAT
- 3 THE FIRST PEOPLE THAT SAID THAT BLACKS WERE IN AMERICA WERE
- 4 NOT BLACKS, THEY WERE ACTUALLY EUROPEANS. AND THIS IS A SIGNED
- 5 COPY OF UNEXPECTED FACES IN AMERICA BY DR. ALEXANDER VON
- 6 WUTHENOW. SAW HIM THE FIRST TIME AT COMPTON COLLEGE. AND ALSO
- 7 THAT IVAN VAN SERTIMA HAS EXTENSIVELY IN HIS BOOK, "THEY CAME
- 8 BEFORE COLUMBUS, " CITED THAT THERE'S BIOLOGICAL EVIDENCE
- 9 SHOWING THAT BLACKS CAME TO AMERICA. THEY HAD THE PLANTAINS
- 10 HERE AND PLANTAINS CAME TO AFRICA. THE ONLY WAY TO GET
- 11 PLANTAINS TO AMERICA WAS BY TRANSPORTATION. WE ALSO HAD
- 12 AFRICAN COTTON. WE HAD 13 CHROMOSOMES FROM THE OLD WORLD. 13
- 13 CHROMOSOMES FROM THE NEW WORLD. THEY TRIED EVERY POSSIBLE WAY
- 14 TO GET THAT COTTON TO AMERICA. THE ONLY WAY THEY FOUND THEY
- 15 COULD GET THE COTTON HERE WAS THROUGH HUMAN TRANSPORT. AND
- 16 FINALLY YOU HAD A GENTLEMAN BY THE NAME OF AUGUSTINE. IN 2003
- 17 I LED A TOUR DOWN TO MEXICO. AND HE SAID BRING MORE PEOPLE TO
- 18 MEXICO BECAUSE THESE HEADS ARE LONELY. HE SAID BRING BLACK
- 19 PEOPLE. MORE BLACK PEOPLE. MORE WHITE PEOPLE. BRING PEOPLE
- 20 HERE BECAUSE THESE HEADS ARE LONELY. EVERYONE GOES TO SEE THE
- 21 MAYAN, THE AZTEC, THE ZAPOTEC, BUT NO ONE COMES TO SEE THE
- 22 OLMEC, THE PARENT CIVILIZATION OF THE AMERICAS. AND BLACK
- 23 PEOPLE REPRESENTED A POPULATION THAT SHOWED UP IN 1200 B.C.
- 24 AND THESE ARE THE LARGEST MONUMENTS EVER BUILT IN ALL OF
- 25 MESOAMERICA DEVOTED TO THESE BLACK PEOPLE. THANK YOU.



2 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU.

3

1

4 MATHU ATER: I'LL SUBMIT THESE TWO AS WELL.

5

- 6 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU SIR. CAN WE ALSO HAVE DAVID
- 7 SERRANO JOIN US ERIC PREVEN. MR. NEHEZ.

- 9 NEHEZ MENIOOTEH: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR. MY NAME IS NEHEZ
- 10 MENIOOTEH. I REPRESENT AN ORGANIZATION CALLED THE EARTH
- 11 CENTER. TODAY'S DISCUSSION ON THE OLMEC HEADS, THE OLMEC
- 12 EXHIBIT AT L.A.C.M.A. IS NOT REALLY A OUESTION OF RACE. THE
- 13 IDENTITY OF THE OLMECS SCREAMS THROUGH THE LIPS OF THE OLMEC
- 14 HEADS. IF THERE WAS ANY INTEREST IN THE -- IN FINDING OUT THE
- 15 IDENTITY OF THE OLMECS, WE WOULD -- WE CAN GO TO THE ELDERS OF
- 16 THE AREA WHERE THE OLMEC HEADS ARE FOUND AND FIND OUT VERY
- 17 CLEARLY FROM THEM. THE REAL QUESTION HERE IS ABOUT HONESTY.
- 18 IT'S ABOUT INTELLECTUAL HONESTY. AND IT'S ABOUT IF WE IN
- 19 AMERICA ARE HONEST ABOUT OUR EDUCATION SYSTEM AND OUR SEARCH
- 20 FOR KNOWLEDGE. AT THIS POINT, WE SHOW THE WORLD THAT WE ARE
- 21 NOT. IT'S IRONIC THAT WE ARE EVEN HAVING THIS CONVERSATION IN
- 22 THE 11TH MONTH OF THE YEAR. NOVEMBER, A NAME FOR A MONTH THAT
- 23 MEANS THE NINTH MONTH OF THE YEAR. TWO DAYS BEFORE A HOLIDAY
- 24 THAT PEOPLE IN CIVILIZATIONS ALL OVER THE WORLD ARE LEAVING
- 25 BEHIND WITH THE REST OF THE MISTAKES THAT HUMANITY HAS MADE.



- 1 THIS IS THE BIGGEST SITUATION THAT WE'RE FACING. FORCING THESE
- 2 TYPES OF DISCREPANCIES ONTO THE MINDS OF OUR YOUTH, ONTO OUR
- 3 OWN MINDS IS WHAT IS DESTROYING HUMAN INTELLIGENCE. AND RIGHT
- 4 NOW WE SEEM TO BE IN A SHIP THAT'S SINKING. AND NO ONE WANTS
- 5 TO GO AGAINST THE POLITICAL AGENDAS OF OUR FOREFATHERS OR OUR
- 6 OWN MODERN POLITICAL AGENDAS TO DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT. TO PUT
- 7 THE WELL BEING OF OUR CHILDREN IN THEIR MINDS AS A PRIORITY.
- 8 SO THE REAL QUESTION TODAY IS MORE ABOUT HONESTY. AND I JUST
- 9 ASK THAT ANY ONE OF YOU WHO HAVE POWER TO AFFECT THAT PLEASE
- 10 DO SO. I KNOW MYSELF AS REPRESENTING THE EARTH CENTER, I WILL
- 11 BE SURE TO DO THAT WITH MY CHILDREN. I'M NOT HERE TO ASK YOU
- 12 TO TEACH MY CHILDREN ABOUT MY ANCESTORS. I WILL BE SURE TO DO
- 13 THAT. BUT I AM ASKING YOU BECAUSE I, AS A HUMAN BEING CANNOT
- 14 SURVIVE ALONE, I'M ASKING YOU FOR THE SAKE OF YOURSELF AND THE
- 15 SAKE OF YOUR CHILDREN TO DO THE SAME TO PROTECT YOUR OWN
- 16 INTELLECTUAL INTEGRITY AND YOUR OWN CHILDREN'S BRAINS. THANK
- 17 YOU VERY MUCH.

18

19 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU. MR. SACHS?

20

- 21 ARNOLD SACHS: THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON. ARNOLD SACHS.
- 22 ALTHOUGH UNAWARE OF WHAT THE LAST 15 SPEAKERS--

23

24 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: HOLD ON. MR. SACHS.



1 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: WE'LL START YOUR TIME AGAIN.

2

- 3 SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: LET ME JUST TELL YOU THAT L.A.C.M.A. IS IN
- 4 MY DISTRICT. I HAVE RECEIVED SOME COMMUNICATIONS PROBABLY FROM
- 5 SEVERAL OF YOU. I'VE ASKED THE EXECUTIVE TEAM AT L.A.C.M.A. TO
- 6 ENGAGE IN SOME FASHION. I APPRECIATE YOUR BEING HERE. IF
- 7 SOMEBODY WANTS TO -- IF THERE IS A LEADER OF THE GROUP OR A
- 8 POINT PERSON WE CAN CONTACT, I'D LIKE TO HAVE MY CHIEF OF
- 9 STAFF DO THAT. IF YOU CAN LEAVE US WITH YOUR NAME AND NUMBER
- 10 AND I'LL TRY TO ENGAGE A CONVERSATION BETWEEN THE LEADERSHIP
- 11 AT THE MUSEUM AND THE REPRESENTATIVES OF YOUR ORGANIZATION OR
- 12 YOUR ORGANIZATIONS. THANK YOU, MADAM CHAIR.

13

14 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU. MR. SACHS?

- 16 ARNOLD SACHS: THANK YOU. AND WHAT I WAS GOING TO SAY WAS THAT
- 17 NOT KNOWING, NOT BEING UP-TO-DATE ON EDUCATED ON WHAT THE
- 18 SPEAKERS WERE TALKING ABOUT BUT BEING HERE FOR THE OPPORTUNITY
- 19 THAT SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH AGENDIZED L.A.C.M.A.'S SUPPORT FOR
- 20 THE WAGNER TRILOGY THAT THEY PUT ON AND THE FACT THAT HE WAS
- 21 CONCERNED ABOUT THE ANTI-SEMITIC CONTENT OF THE OPERAS? THAT
- 22 THE ITEMS THAT THESE SPEAKERS WERE TALKING ABOUT SHOULD AT
- 23 LEAST BE AGENDIZED ON THE COUNTY BOARD SO THAT IT CAN BE
- 24 DISCUSSED HERE. SO SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY STEPPED UP, WHICH IS
- 25 KIND OF REMARKABLE. GET YOUR PENS AND PAPERS OUT. YOUR TIME TO



- 1 WRITE A LETTER. I WAS AT THE CITY COUNCIL TODAY OF L.A. AND
- 2 THIS TIME NEXT YEAR, WELL FROM NOVEMBER 8 THROUGH NOVEMBER 11,
- 3 THE NATIONAL LEAGUE OF CITIES ANNUAL CONGRESS OF CITIES AND
- 4 CONFERENCE AND EXPOSITION WILL BE HELD IN PHOENIX, ARIZONA.
- 5 AND I THOUGHT THAT STRUCK A CHORD WITH ME BECAUSE PHOENIX,
- 6 ARIZONA IS IN ARIZONA. AND THAT'S WHERE YOU HAVE THE SO-CALLED
- 7 BOYCOTT THAT WAS CHAMPIONED BY L.A. CITY AND L.A. COUNTY. SO
- 8 YOU MIGHT WANT TO WRITE A LETTER TO THEM AND ASK THEM: ARE
- 9 THEY GOING? BRINGS ME TO ANOTHER SITUATION. IT'S NOT ALL ABOUT
- 10 METRO. BUT WE'LL GET THERE. YOU MIGHT HAVE READ ABOUT THIS
- 11 ARTICLE IN THE L.A. TIMES, AND A PANEL MAY MONITOR SAFETY AT
- 12 L.A.X. TERMINAL UPGRADE. IT'S FROM NOVEMBER 17. AND IN IT, IS
- 13 INCLUSIVE A PHOTOGRAPH OF THE UPGRADES THAT THEY PLAN AT
- 14 L.A.X., \$10 BILLION WORTH OF UPGRADES. AND WHAT'S INTERESTING
- 15 AND WHY I POINT THIS OUT IS BECAUSE WHEN IT WAS FIRST
- 16 PUBLISHED, ANOTHER GRAND PLAN FOR L.A.X. BACK IN '08,
- 17 NOVEMBER, THE SAME PHOTOGRAPH SHOWS THAT IT GREW A HUMP. THE
- 18 BRIDGE, THIS PASSENGER TERMINAL BRIDGE GOT EXTENDED BY ANOTHER
- 19 HUMP. THEY DOCTORED THE PHOTO. AND THEN THEY CLAIM THEY'RE NOT
- 20 GOING TO BUILD THE LAST SECTION OF THE RENOVATIONS. WELL,
- 21 THEY'RE NOT GOING TO BUILD IT BECAUSE IT WAS NEVER ON THE
- 22 BLUEPRINTS. AND THAT'S \$10 BILLION OF FUNDING GOING ON AT
- 23 L.A.X. SO IT'S NOT ALL ABOUT METRO. BUT I WOULD LIKE TO SAY
- 24 THIS: BELL LEADERSHIP IS UNDER FIRE FOR UNDOCUMENTED



- 1 PAPERWORK. ILLEGAL IMMIGRANTS ARE UNDOCUMENTED. AND SO IS THE
- 2 GOLD LINE CONSTRUCTION AUTHORITY.

3

4 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU, MR. SACHS. MR. PREVEN?

5

6 ERIC PREVEN: YEAH, MY NAME IS --

7

8 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: MR. PREVEN?

9

10 ERIC PREVEN: ARE YOU READY TO GO?

11

12 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: YES.

- 14 ERIC PREVEN: OKAY, FINE. I'M SORRY, MADAM CHAIR. MR.
- 15 YAROSLAVSKY, HELLO. IT'S NICE TO SEE YOU AGAIN ON THIS
- 16 TUESDAY. LAST WEEK WHEN I ADDRESSED THE BOARD, MR. YAROSLAVSKY
- 17 HAD SOME VERY STRONG WORDS FOR ME. AND THE THRUST OF HIS
- 18 PRESENTATION WAS THAT HE FELT THAT I WAS WASTING MY TIME BY
- 19 COMING DOWN HERE TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. HE ALSO INFORMED
- 20 ME THAT HE FELT THAT IT WAS NOT AN APPROPRIATE FORUM FOR MS.
- 21 ORDIN, ANDREA ORDIN, WHO IS THE COUNTY COUNSEL HERE IN LOS
- 22 ANGELES, TO ADDRESS A QUESTION, AS I THINK MR. KNABE, WAS TO
- 23 THE RATIONALE FOR OFFERING 20 PERCENT OF THE CLAIM THAT RUTH
- 24 PREVEN FILED AGAINST THE COUNTY IN EXCHANGE FOR ALL OF THE
- 25 TROUBLE AND DIFFICULTY THAT SHE HAS ENDURED. THERE WAS NO



- 1 EXPLANATION. I'M ASSUMING THAT WAS THE ARBITRARY REASON FOR
- 2 THAT NUMBER. AND I GUESS I'M HERE PRETTY MUCH TO SAY THAT I
- 3 DON'T FEEL THAT I'M WASTING MY TIME. MR. YAROSLAVSKY, YOU SEEM
- 4 TO HAVE SLIPPED BELOW YOUR MONITOR. ARE YOU THERE? SIR? IS HE
- 5 THERE? HE IS THERE. OKAY. WELL HE'S GOT SOMETHING ELSE TO LOOK
- 6 AT, WHICH IS FINE. HE DOESN'T WANT TO LOOK AT MR. PREVEN
- 7 ANYMORE. AND I GUESS NOBODY WANTS TO LOOK AT MR. PREVEN
- 8 ANYMORE. I KNOW MR. FUJIOKA DOES NOT WANT TO LOOK AT MR.
- 9 PREVEN ANYMORE. I THINK THE MAIN REASON THERE IS BECAUSE WHAT
- 10 HAS OCCURRED IN THIS CASE WHICH I HAVE BEEN OVER A NUMBER OF
- 11 TIMES, BUT I WILL JUST DO A SHORT SUMMARY BECAUSE I'VE ONLY
- 12 HAVE A MINUTE AND A HALF LEFT, IS THAT THERE WAS A DUE PROCESS
- 13 VIOLATION, OKAY? IN OTHER WORDS, IT WAS NOT GUARANTEED TO ONE
- 14 OF THE COUNTY RESIDENTS, MS. ORDIN, YOU'RE A MEMBER OF THE
- 15 BAR, SO YOU KNOW WHAT THAT MEANS. THAT MEANS THAT SHE WAS NOT
- 16 GIVEN THE HEARING THAT SHE IS ENTITLED TO UNDER THE LAW. THEN
- 17 WHAT HAPPENED WAS I CAME DOWN HERE AFTER WE TRIED TO GET SOME
- 18 OF THE MONEY BACK FOR ALL OF THE COSTS AND EFFORT THAT WAS PUT
- 19 INTO TRYING TO FREE THE ANIMALS THAT WERE HELD FOR SIX MONTHS.
- 20 THAT WAS THE DUE PROCESS VIOLATION, WE WANTED THE HEARING TO
- 21 GET THE ANIMALS OUT. AND WHAT OCCURRED, WHAT OCCURRED ON
- 22 OCTOBER 15 IS A SHOCKER. IT'S A SHOCKER TO ANY PUBLIC
- 23 OFFICIAL. IT'S GOT TO BE. YOU ALL SAW THE VIDEOTAPE, MR.
- 24 KNABE. YOUR OFFICE IS THE ONLY OFFICE I BELIEVE THAT
- 25 RESPONDED. THANK YOU FOR THAT. MR. NAVAREZ DID CONFIRM THAT HE



- 1 RECEIVED IT. BUT NOBODY CONFIRMED THAT THEY VIEWED THE DVD.
- 2 MS. ORDIN DID YOU VIEW THE DVD OF RUTH PREVEN WHEN THEY TRIED
- 3 TO ARREST HER AND TAKE HER ANIMALS AWAY AS A RETALIATION FOR
- 4 MY COMING DOWN HERE TO TALK ABOUT DUE PROCESS? MR. ANTONOVICH,
- 5 I KNOW YOU'RE AN ANIMAL LOVER, DID YOU GET A CHANCE -- YOU DID
- 6 VIEW IT. OKAY. WELL I APPRECIATE THAT SIR. BECAUSE IT'S NOT A
- 7 GREAT PIECE OF TV ART OR ANYTHING BUT IT TELLS THE STORY. AND
- 8 SHE REFERENCES MS. ORDIN AND IT'S CLEAR WHAT HAPPENED. THERE'S
- 9 10 SECONDS LEFT. SO WHAT CAN I SAY? I CAN ONLY SAY THAT I WILL
- 10 COME HERE UNTIL THIS IS RESOLVED. AND I KNOW THAT YOU PEOPLE
- 11 KNOW WHAT'S GONE ON. I KNOW IT BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, THE LITTLE
- 12 PEOPLE -- AND WHEN I SAY LITTLE PEOPLE, I MEAN THE EMPLOYEES
- 13 WHO ACTUALLY PERPETRATED.

14

- 15 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU, MR. PREVEN. MISS PANG, ARE YOU
- 16 HERE? THANK YOU, SIR. YOUR TIME IS UP. YES. MISS PANG? MR.
- 17 SERRANO?

- 19 DAVID SERRANO: IN THE NAME OF THE FATHER AND OF THE SON AND OF
- 20 THE HIGH SPIRIT, AMEN. THE LORD OUR GOD IS ONE GOD ALMIGHTY,
- 21 AMEN. YAHWEH, ELOHIM IS THE TRUTH. YAHWEH, YESHUA, MACHIACH,
- 22 IS THE TRUTH. GOD IS THE TRUTH, AMEN. THE LORD JESUS CHRIST IS
- 23 THE TRUTH, AMEN. LORD GOD ALMIGHTY JESUS CHRIST IS COMING TO
- 24 JUDGE THE LIVING AND THE DEAD ON THE GREAT DAY OF GOD
- 25 ALMIGHTY, AMEN. I'M NOT BRINGING ABOUT ARMAGEDDON. ARMAGEDDON



- 1 IS INEVITABLE. ARMAGEDDON HAPPENS BECAUSE EVIL PEOPLE REFUSE
- 2 TO LOVE THE TRUTH. EVIL PEOPLE HATE THE TRUTH. AND BECAUSE
- 3 EVIL PEOPLE REFUSE TO DO ANYTHING WHATSOEVER TO MAKE THE TRUTH
- 4 KNOWN. THAT'S WHY IT HAPPENS. AMEN. CHRISTIANS ASCEND INTO THE
- 5 KINGDOM OF HEAVEN, AMEN. IN THE HOLY BIBLE THE APOCALYPSE
- 6 PROPHESIED THAT THE BIGGEST EARTHQUAKE SINCE PEOPLE HAVE BEEN
- 7 ON EARTH WILL HAPPEN IN THE SAN ANDREAS FAULT IN THE MONTH OF
- 8 MAY, DESTROYING LARGE AREAS OF L.A. AND SAN BERNARDINO
- 9 COUNTIES. IN THE APOCALYPSE, THE PROPHECY ABOUT THE
- 10 APOCALYPTIC EARTHQUAKE ON THE SAN ANDREAS FAULT IN MAY IS
- 11 SEVENTH SEA, THEN THE ANGEL OF CHAPTER 10, THEN THE 7TH
- 12 TRUMPET. THEN THE SIXTH BOWL. THEN THE SEVENTH BOWL. AND THEN
- 13 THE SIXTH SEAL. THESE ARE INDIVIDUAL PROPHECIES ABOUT THE SAME
- 14 EVENT. WHILE COLLECTIVELY THEY PROPHESIED THIS CATASTROPHIC
- 15 EVENT IN ITS ENTIRETY, THE FORT TEJON QUAKE IN 1857 WAS THE
- 16 LAST GREAT EARTHQUAKE ON THE SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA SAN ANDREAS
- 17 FAULT. A MAGNITUDE 7.9 EVENT WHICH RUPTURED MORE THAN 225
- 18 MILES OF THE FAULT WITH HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENT UP TO 9 METERS
- 19 BUT THE SOUTHERNMOST SEGMENT OF THE FAULT HAS NOT RUPTURED IN
- 20 MORE THAN 300 YEARS. APOCALYPSE 8 PROPHESIED WHEN THE LAMB
- 21 OPENED THE SEVENTH SEAL THERE WAS SILENCE IN HEAVEN FOR ABOUT
- 22 HALF AN HOUR. ON THE FACE OF A CLOCK THERE ARE 12 HOURS. THERE
- 23 ARE 12 MONTHS IN A YEAR. ABOUT HALF AN HOUR IS THE MINUTE HAND
- 24 ON THE FIVE. MAY IS THE FIFTH MONTH. AND WHEN THE APOCALYPTIC
- 25 EARTHQUAKE HAPPENS IN MAY, THERE WILL BE A GIANT STORM,



- 1 THUNDER AND HEAVY HAIL. AND WHEN THE APOCALYPTIC EARTHOUAKE
- 2 HAPPENS ON THE SAN ANDREAS FAULT IN MAY, THERE WILL BE MANY
- 3 METERS OF HORIZONTAL DISPLACEMENT. THUS THE SAN GABRIEL, SAN
- 4 BERNARDINO, AND SANTA MONICA MOUNTAINS WILL BE MOVED OUT OF
- 5 PLACE. AND THE ISLANDS OFF THE COAST WILL BE MOVED OUT OF
- 6 PLACE. AND THERE WILL BE CATACLYSMIC LANDSLIDES THROUGHOUT THE
- 7 SANTA MONICA, SAN GABRIEL, AND SAN BERNARDINO MOUNTAINS. WHOLE
- 8 MOUNTAINSIDES WILL COME CRASHING DOWN AND ENTIRE CITIES WILL
- 9 BE DESTROYED. THESE LANDSLIDES WILL MAKE THE LA CONCHITA
- 10 LANDSLIDE IN VENTURA COUNTY LOOK VERY SMALL BY COMPARISON. THE
- 11 HOLLYWOOD HILLS WILL BE DESTROYED. HOLLYWOOD STARS FALL FROM
- 12 HEAVEN TO EARTH WHILE A FIG TREE DROPPING ITS UNRIPE FRUIT
- 13 REPRESENTS THE BIG JEWISH COMMUNITIES OF THE SANTA MONICA
- 14 MOUNTAINS. AND THEY FALL, TOO. AND ALL THIS CATACLYSMIC
- 15 DESTRUCTION WILL CAUSE GIANT CLOUDS OF SMOKE, DUST, DIRT AND
- 16 DEBRIS THAT SHALL RISE INTO THE SKY BLOCKING OUT THE SUNLIGHT
- 17 WHILE WORKING WITH THE STORM TO BLOCK OUT THE SUNLIGHT AMEN.
- 18 JESUS IS THE JUDGE ON JUDGMENT DAY, AMEN.

20 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU. MISS PANG?

19

- 22 IRENE PANG: THANK YOU. GOOD AFTERNOON EVERYBODY. I AM IRENE.
- 23 I'M CONCERNED -- AS WELL AS THE PUBLIC SAFETY OF OUR NATION.
- 24 BUT -- AND THE PUBLIC SAFETY HAVE BEEN HARMED AND ATTEMPT TENT
- 25 BY THE REVELATIONS. MY FAMILY PROPERTY, SOME LOCATED IN 11635



- 1 AND 11637 ON VALLEY IN EL MONTE HAS BEEN ILLEGALLY OCCUPIED
- 2 YEARS IN VIOLATION TO MY FAMILY MEMBERS EVEN THOUGH PHYSICAL
- 3 TENT. TO MY PHYSICAL TENT TO MY TWO BROTHERS FAMILY MEMBERS.
- 4 AND EVEN THOUGH MYSELF WHEN I PARK MY CAR IN THE 11635, MY
- 5 FAMILY OWN PROPERTY, THEY GIVE ME THE CITATION PARKING AND
- 6 ALSO I HAD TO GIVE CRIMINAL RECORD AND THEIR CRIMINAL -- AND
- 7 PUT ON ME. -- THE INVESTIGATION OF THE GOVERNMENT AND COUNTY
- 8 AND INVESTIGATION THE PROPERTY AND RETURN TO MY BROTHERS'
- 9 FAMILY. ALSO THE CASE -- HOUSING FOR THE CRIMINAL OF --
- 10 ADDITION THE TEN CITATIONS IN A FEW MONTHS EVEN THOSE THE
- 11 SITUATION WILL NOT FIT MY CASE. HELD IN THE EL MONTE COURT. I
- 12 SUED THOSE CITATIONS IN THE LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT. ON THE
- 13 OCTOBER 25 THIS YEAR. AND THIS IS A PROCESS SIMILAR APPEAL TO
- 14 THE LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT. BUT EL MONTE COURT STILL
- 15 CONTINUOUSLY HELD THEIR CITATIONS CASE ON. EVEN THOUGH I
- 16 APPLIED THE -- TO THE LAW STOP THE CONTINUOUS CASE HELD IN EL
- 17 MONTE COURT IN THE NOVEMBER 30 THIS YEAR AND DECEMBER THIS
- 18 YEAR AND THE JANUARY NEXT YEAR. EL MONTE COURT HAD NOT CHANGED
- 19 THOSE EITHER PROCEDURE. I EXPRESSED EL MONTE COURT SPREAD TO
- 20 THOSE OTHERS LAW EXECUTE AUTHORITY IN THE OTHER COURT. BY THE
- 21 WAY, I LIKE TO SAY I LIKE THAT THOSE PERSONS 10 YEARS AGO THEY
- 22 MENTIONED ABOUT THE HUMAN RIGHT --

24 SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU.

25



1	IRENE PANG: I LIKE THEM TO RESPECT TO THE HUMAN RIGHT BECAUSE
2	MY BROTHER IS THE CLOSING.
3	
4	SUP. MOLINA, CHAIR: THANK YOU. I'M GOING TO HAVE OUR EXECUTIVE
5	OFFICER READ US INTO EXECUTIVE SESSION. THANK YOU, MA'AM.
6	
7	CLERK SACHI HAMAI: IN ACCORDANCE WITH BROWN ACT REQUIREMENTS,
8	NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WILL
9	CONVENE IN CLOSED SESSION TO DISCUSS ITEM NO. CS-1, CONFERENCE
10	WITH LEGAL COUNSEL REGARDING SIGNIFICANT EXPOSURE TO
11	LITIGATION, ONE CASE AND ITEM NO. CS-2, CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL
12	COUNSEL REGARDING INITIATION OF LITIGATION, ONE CASE, AS
13	INDICATED ON THE POSTED AGENDA. THANK YOU.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN IN CLOSED SESSION ON NOVEMBER 23, 2010
2	
3	
4	
5	Item CS-1 was continued one week to November 30, 2010.
6	
7	CS-2. CONFERENCE WITH LEGAL COUNSEL ANTICIPATED LITIGATION
8	(Subdivision (c) of Government Code Section 54956.9)
9	Initiation of Litigation (one case) (10-2778)
10	
11	The Board approved the corrective action plan for the matter
12	entitled Ana Jimenez-Salgado v. County of Los Angeles. The
13	vote of the Board was unanimous with all Supervisors being
14	present.
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	



1	I, JENNIFER A. HINES, Certified Shorthand Reporter Number
2	6029/RPR/CRR qualified in and for the State of California, do
3	hereby certify:
4	That the transcripts of proceedings recorded by the Los
5	Angeles County Board of Supervisors November 23, 2010,
6	were thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my
7	direction and supervision;
8	That the transcript of recorded proceedings as archived
9	in the office of the reporter and which have been provided to
10	the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors as certified by
11	me.
12	I further certify that I am neither counsel for, nor
13	related to any party to the said action; nor
14	in anywise interested in the outcome thereof.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this
16	sixth day of December 2010, for the County records to be used
17	only for authentication purposes of duly certified transcripts
18	as on file of the office of the reporter.
19	
20	JENNIFER A. HINES
21	CSR No. 6029/RPR/CRR